

Kentucky Center for School Safety

In Cooperation with the

Kentucky Department of Education



Kentucky 2014 - 2015 Safe Schools Data Project

September 2016

Kentucky 2014-2015 Thirteenth Annual Safe Schools Data Project

Report Prepared by:

Lynn McCoy-Simandle, Ph.D.
Research Consultant, Kentucky Center for School Safety

Kristie R. Blevins, Ph.D.
Associate Professor, Eastern Kentucky University

Kentucky Center for School Safety in Cooperation with the Kentucky Department of Education

Jon R. Akers
Executive Director

Barbara Gateskill Associate Director

Eastern Kentucky University 111 Stratton Building 521 Lancaster Avenue Richmond, KY 40475-3102

Toll Free 1-877-805-4277 www.kysafeschools.org



TABLE OF CONTENTS

Executive Summary	4
Introduction	5
Section I – Data Collection	6
Section II – Reported Law Violations	8
Section III: Characteristics of Students Involved In Reported Law Violations	14
Appendix A – Definitions of Law Violations	23
Appendix B – Using the Report	35
Appendix C – School District Level Data	40



Of the 655,799 students enrolled in Kentucky public schools the overwhelming majority of students, 650,253 (99.15%), did not have a reported law violation during the 2014 – 2015 school year. Of the 5,546 students who did commit a law violation, only 557, 10.04%, committed more than one violation. With a state rate of less than one student (0.85) per hundred, the commission of law violations is not happening with great frequency in the schools. However, as the goal is to reduce the rate to as low as possible, analysis of the current data reveals the following:

- Marijuana/hashish use and possession is the number one law violation for 2014 2015 and accounted for 23.17% of all law violations. While this rate is consistent with previous years, the popularity of marijuana/hashish with teenagers is a major concern for all communities.
- 2. Alcohol use and possession rose significantly, more than 46%, over 2013 -2014. Although alcohol violations are still much lower than marijuana/hashish violations, further analysis of this rise is warranted at the individual school level.
- 3. Assaults in the third degree increased 51.3% in the 2014-15 school year. This is very troubling since assault in the third degree involves assaults against certified and classified personnel in the school system.
- 4. The disproportionality of race, special education and socio-economic status among student violators continues to be a concern and suggests that a thorough analysis of school-level data is warranted.
- 5. The largest number of law violations occurs with ninth graders. This key transition year is also reported as troublesome in studies of retention, failed subjects, and attendance.
- 6. Although "other" weapon violations, (n=356, 87.68%), predominately involved objects that are capable of being readily used by one person to inflict severe bodily injury upon another person, violations involving a firearm, handgun, or rifle were rare (n=50,12.32%) in the 2014 2015 school year.

INTRODUCTION

School safety concerns everyone.

A spate of articles lamenting the state of student achievement in Kentucky's schools implies that schools need to concentrate solely on achievement. This fixation on achievement runs contrary to the reality that teachers and students cannot be expected to perform at higher levels when they feel unsafe at school. Parents need schools to provide a secure place to send their children to learn. Educators need a safe and civil environment to facilitate learning. Businesses need schools to be safe to attract good workers to the community. Government and social agencies need schools to be safe to reinforce the safety and security of the community. As important as school achievement is, the safety of our students must be a top priority.

It also follows that since school safety is a concern for everyone in the community it must also be everyone's responsibility. Educators, parents, the business community, government officials, and all citizens have a role to play in school safety.

To this end, the Kentucky Center for School Safety (KCSS) provides this report of demographic and contextual data regarding reported law violations involving students in Kentucky's public schools. Every public school and school district in Kentucky contributed data to this report; ideally, schools, school districts, and communities will use this information to maintain and improve the safety for all of Kentucky's school children.

Section I: Data Collection and Using Your Data

he Kentucky Center for School Safety (KCSS) in partnership with the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE) has developed a database using Infinite Campus (IC) data from all school districts in the state. Since 2013 -2014 KDE and KCSS have had the ability to collaborate on school safety data utilizing unique identifiers. The availability of unique identifier data enables us to establish a better link between law violations and individual characteristics such as socioeconomic status, special education status, and race. Moreover, these data allow for examination of the number of violations reported for each student. These are just two of many significant improvements that have become possible through the availability of unique identifier data.

Public schools in Kentucky are required to report all incidents of in-school removal in addition to incidents of expulsion, suspension, and corporal punishment. Schools were also required to report all violations involving assault or violence, bullying or harassment, alcohol, drugs, tobacco, or weapons, regardless of whether the incident resulted in a reportable resolution. Based on the reported data for law and board violations, the KDE publishes an annual safe schools statistical report. The 2014 - 2015 safe schools statistical report provided by KDE can be found at

http://education.ky.gov/school/sdfs/Pages/Safe-Schools-Data-Collection-and-Reporting.aspx.

In order to avoid duplication of the KDE publication, the Kentucky Center for School Safety focuses specifically on the incidences of law violations that occurred for this report regardless of consequences (i.e., board violations are not included in this report). This report provided by KCSS includes an in-depth analysis of reported law violations, and the students associated with the law violations, for the school year 2014 – 2015. The definitions of law violations provided by KDE are included in Appendix A. When considering plans to improve student conduct, school officials are encouraged to use this report, as well as KDE's statistical report, as they both contain very important data based on IC data.

The Kentucky Center for School Safety is committed to working with schools, districts and the Kentucky Department of Education to enhance the quality of the data report. As we continue to review, assess, and improve the data collection process, this report will continue to provide richer data to schools and school districts in order that more effective

interventions can be planned and implemented. Please refer to **Appendix B** for the full section discussing the most effective use of this report at the school and district levels.

Data should always be reviewed in context. For example, a school with an increase in law violations could be experiencing any or all of the following:

- 1. A rising level of student misbehavior and school disorder;
- 2. An administrative emphasis on commission of certain targeted offenses; or
- 3. A building with physical plant or staffing problems that makes effective supervision of student behavior difficult.

Conversely, a school with a decrease in law violations could be experiencing any of the following:

- 1. A decrease in the level of student misbehavior and school disorder;
- 2. The assignment of additional personnel to the school, coupled with a more comprehensive supervision plan.

Additionally, we remind the reader that a slight change in a single or double digit number can have a dramatic impact on percentage changes from one year to the next.

Knowing the context around the data changes is crucial. The context should drive the intervention plan.

We encourage school administrators to use the data presented in conjunction with their own school-level Infinite Campus data to enhance their respective school management and school safety plans.

School level aggregate behavior data can be reviewed and analyzed by using the School Report Card (http://applications.education.ky.gov/SRC/) on KDE's Open House (http://openhouse.education.ky.gov/). The behavior data can be found via Learning Environment | Safety. These data can be generated by the state, district or school level.

Section II: Reported Law Violations

Public schools in Kentucky reported 6,209 law violations during the 2014-2015 school year. Predictably, the largest school district again reported the most law violations (n=1,818), while fifteen school districts, 8.6%, reported no law violations for 2014-2015. The number of law violations reported for each district for the 2014-2015 school year is available in **Appendix C**.

As shown in **Table 1**, the most common law violation reported for the past two years was possession or use of marijuana or hashish and accounted for almost a quarter of all law violations reported (n=1,486, 23.17%). Alcohol possession and use (n=527, 8.49%) was the second most common law violation in the 2014 -2015 school year, followed by fourth degree assault (n=502, 8.09%), terroristic threatening (n=376, 6.10%), and other weapons (n=356, 5.73%). Assaults against school personnel, 3rd degree assault, increased 51.3% (n=227) over the previous year. The top five categories in the 2014-15 report account for 52.30% of all law violations while the top two violations, marijuana and alcohol possession and use account for nearly one-third of all violations, 32.42%. Offenses were not reported for 9 categories of law violations in 2014-2015.


Table 1: Reported Law Violations

REPORTED LAW VIOLATION	2014-2015	2013-2014	Increase/ Decrease
Marijuana/Hashish Possession and Use	1486	1270	+
Alcohol Possession and Use	527	359	+
4th Degree Assault	502	488	+
Terroristic Threat	376	377	-
Weapon - Other	356	341	+
Disorderly Conduct	334	377	-
Other Drug Possession and Use	302	295	+
Vandalism	273	267	+
Other	213	294	-
3rd Degree Assault	227	150	+
Under the Influence	198	167	+
Stolen Property	188	162	+
Prescription Drugs Possession and Use	169	146	+
Marijuana Distribution	163	160	+
Larceny – Theft	135	160	-
Harassing Communications	75	50	+
Other Drug Distribution	60	56	+
Prescription Drugs Distribution	58	85	-
Destruction of Property*	51	-	+
Gambling	50	15	+

REPORTED LAW VIOLATION continued	2014-2015	2013-2014	Increase/ Decrease
Sexual Assault	45	54	-
Alcohol Distribution	42	37	+
Arson	37	37	±
1st Degree Assault	35	43	-
Terroristic Threat - Bomb	33	30	+
Trespassing	33	0	+
Indecent Exposure	32	48	-
Weapon – Handgun	28	26	+
Robbery	18	12	+
Weapon - Other Firearm	18	15	+
2nd Degree Assault	17	16	+
Hallucinogenic Possession and Use	16	12	+
Menacing	16	25	-
Forgery	14	30	-
Inhalant Possession and Use	14	12	+
Burglary	13	15	-
Felony Wanton Endangerment	12	8	+
Misdemeanor Wanton Endangerment	7	14	-
Amphetamines Possession and Use	6	2	+
Barbiturates Possession and Use	6	1	+
Cocaine/Crack Possession and Use	4	1	+
Inhalant Distribution	4	0	+
Weapon – Rifle	4	2	+
Terroristic Threat - Ch/Bio/Nuc	3	0	+
Amphetamines Distribution	1	1	±
Cocaine/Crack Distribution	1	1	±
Embezzlement	1	0	+
Hallucinogenic Distribution	1	3	-
Heroin Possession and Use	1	2	-
Loitering	1	7	-
Misdemeanor Stalking	1	1	±
Motor Vehicle Theft	1	1	±
Criminal Homicide	0	0	±
DUI	0	0	±
Felony Criminal Abuse	0	0	±
Forcible Rape	0	2	-
Felony Stalking	0	0	±
Fraud	0	3	-
Misdemeanor Criminal Abuse	0	2	-
Prostitution	0	0	±
Statutory Rape	0	0	±

^{*}Category was added in the 2014-2015 school year by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE). KDE used the "Other" category when a violation did not fit into a specified law violation category.

Law violations were most frequently reported in the classroom (64.02%), on campus grounds (9.58%), and in a hallway or stairwell (8.32%) during 2014-15 and reflected the order for 2013-14. Fewer violations were reported in gymnasiums (2.13%) and off campus (2.64%). Unspecified "other" locations accounted for fifty violations (0.08%, **see Figure 1**). Four new categories (office, athletic field, playground, and field trip) were added for the 2014-15 school; thus, no data were available for those categories for 2013-14. The increased number of location categories improves the ability of the schools to pinpoint trouble spots in the school and revise supervision schedules.



^{*}The Kentucky Department of Education (KDE) added the categories of office, athletic field, playground and field trip since the 2013-2014 report.

Alcohol and Drug Violations

Twenty categories involve alcohol and other drug violations and account for 49.26% (n=3059) of all law violations reported for the 2014 2015 school year. Taken together the six alcohol and drug violations illustrated in **Figure 2** reflect 45.82% (n=2845) of all law violations. Marijuana/hashish possession or use was again the most common alcohol or drug violation reported (n=1,486) in the 2014-2015 school year, and was reported nearly three times more frequently than alcohol possession and use (n=527), the second most common violation. However, alcohol possession and use rose significantly more, 46.8%, than marijuana/hashish possession and use, 17%. "Other" drug possession or use (n=302), being under the influence (n=198), prescription drug possession or use (n=169), and marijuana distribution (n=163) round out the top six alcohol and drug violations. There were 18 total reports for violations involving amphetamines, heroin, barbiturates, and cocaine or crack, which account for less than one percent (0.59%) of all alcohol and drug violations.





Table 2 shows a comparison of alcohol and drug violations over the past two school years. With the exception of four categories, prescription drug distribution, heroin use and distribution, and heroin distribution, all other alcohol/drug violations increased from 2013-14 to the 2014-15 school year. The two-year reporting shows an increase with marijuana/hashish violations (17.01%) and alcohol possession and use (46.80%). As these are the two most frequent violations, this amount of increase is troubling and requires further examination at the school level.

Table 2: Alcohol and Drugs Violations 2013-2014 and 2014-2015

ALCOHOL/DRUG VIOLATION	2014-2015	2013-2014	Increase/ Decrease
Marijuana/Hashish Possession and Use	1486	1270	+
Alcohol Possession and Use	527	359	+
Other Drug Possession and Use	302	295	+
Under Influence	198	167	+
Prescription Drugs Possession and Use	169	146	+
Marijuana Distribution	163	160	+
Other Drug Distribution	60	56	+
Prescription Drugs Distribution	58	85	-
Alcohol Distribution	42	37	+
Hallucinogenic Possession and Use	16	12	+
Inhalant Possession and Use	14	12	+
Amphetamines Possession and Use	6	2	+
Cocaine/crack Possession and Use	4	1	+
Inhalant Distribution	4	0	+
Heroin Possession and Use	1	2	-
Amphetamines Distribution	1	1	±
Barbiturates Possession and Use	1	1	±
Cocaine/crack Distribution	1	1	±
Hallucinogenic Distribution	1	3	-
Barbiturates Distribution	0	0	±
DUI	0	0	±
Heroin Distribution	0	1	_


Weapons Violations

Weapons violations (n-406) accounted for 6.49 percent of all reported law violations in 2014-15. The vast majority (n=356) of weapons violations were related to "other" weapons, defined as any object that is capable of being readily used by one person to inflict severe bodily injury upon another person. "Other" weapon is frequently a pocketknife. Fifty violations involved firearms, specifically, 28 handguns, 4 rifles, and 18 other firearm violations reported (**see Figure 3**). All categories of weapons increased from 2013-14 to 2014-15.




Other Violations

There were 2.773 (44.67%) reported law violations during 2014-15 that were not related to weapons or drugs and alcohol. Of these other violations, the most commonly reported law violations were fourth degree assault (n=508, 8.08%), terroristic threatening (n=376, 6.06%), and disorderly conduct (n=334, 5.38%) (see Figure 4). Violations for terroristic threatening may be an underestimate of the amount that is occurring in schools. Representatives from the Kentucky Center for School Safety are frequently consulted about anonymous threats that have been uncovered, but cannot be attached to a student. Since only violations that can be assigned to a student are reported, anonymous threats that require school administrators to investigate and respond are not captured in the current data. This may be an area that needs to be addressed in future reporting procedures. Among the other categories for which at least one violation was reported, the least common law violations were misdemeanor stalking (n=1, 0.02%), motor vehicle theft (n=1, 0.02%), embezzlement (n=1, 0.02%), and loitering (n=1, 0.02%).




Section III: Characteristics of Students Involved in Reported Law Violations

During the 2014-2015 school year 5,546 students committed 6,209 law violations. These 5,546 students comprise less than one percent (0.85%) of the student population (Figure 5), indicating that 99.15% of the student population in Kentucky's public schools did not commit a reported law violation. This percentage of violators is similar to the 2013-2014 school year (population=640313, violators=5145). Of those 5,546 students who committed a law violation, most students (n=4,989) only had one violation reported; however, 557 students had multiple law violations reported during the school year. When analyzing multiple violators by gender and race, black males had the highest percentage of multiple violators, (n= 180, 16.30%), while other females had the lowest percentage of multiple violators, (n=7, 6.25). Little difference was found among multiple violators as measured by socioeconomic status, (free lunch 10.43%, reduced lunch 8.37%, and paid lunch 9.31%). Students with a special education status were multiple violators 15.12% of the time while only 8.74% of students without a special education status were multiple violators. Overall, special education status played a larger role as to whether a student would be a multiple violator than socioeconomic status.





Rates for the number of students with law violations per 100 students enrolled were calculated for each school district (**see Appendix C**). The average rate for the entire state indicates that school districts had less than one (0.85) student with at least one reported law violation per 100 students enrolled. The highest district rates were 3.86 and 2.23 students with reported law violations per 100 students enrolled.


Figure 6 shows the grade levels for students who had reported law violations during 2013-2014 and 2014-2015. Over a quarter (25.86%) of these students were in ninth grade, 20.70 percent were in tenth grade, 15.58 percent were in eleventh grade, and 14.41 percent were in eighth grade. In general, most of the students with reported law violations were in grades nine through 12 (74.04%), followed by students in grades six through eight (30.42%), and students in kindergarten through fifth grade (6.38%). Both years of reporting showed a markedly similar trend in commission of law violations by grade level.




For reference purposes, **Figure 7** depicts the same categories of race for the entire student population while **Figure 8** shows the race of student violators. Of the 655,799 students enrolled during 2013-2014, 79.05 percent (n=518,409) were white, 10.54 percent (n=69,121) were black, and 9.68 percent (n=63,481) were classified as a race other than white or black. Statistically, there are significant (p<.01) differences between each category of race when comparing the percentages of students with reported law violations to the percentages of enrolled students in each category. Most of the students with reported law violations were white (69.12%, n=3,556) and black (23.26%, n=1,197). Less than 10 percent (7.62%, n=392) of students with reported law violations were classified as a race other than white or black (see Table 2). Specifically, there is a significantly (p<.01) higher percentage of black students with reported law violations (26.76%) as compared to black students enrolled (10.54%) for the 2013-2014 school year. There are significantly (p<.01) lower percentages of white (6.57%) and other race (8.67%) students with reported violations when compared to overall enrollments (79.05% and 10.41%).










As shown in **Figure 9**, 60.1% of all enrolled students were on free/reduced lunch while 39.9% were on paid lunch for 2014-2015. Almost three quarters (73.80%) of students with reported law violations were on free/reduced lunch while 26.20% were in the paid lunch category (**Figure10**). As with race, there were significant (p<.01) differences in percentages of students with reported law violations in each category of lunch when compared to the percentages of all students enrolled in the state. Particularly, **Figure 10** shows a significantly (p<.01) higher percentage of students with reported law violations on free/reduced lunch (73.80%) as compared to the percentage of enrolled students on free/reduced lunch (60.1%), and there are significantly (p<.01) lower percentages of students with reported law violations on paid lunch (26.20%) as compared to the state student population on paid lunch (39.90%). This is also consistent with the percentages reported for 2013-2014.

Table 3 compares the number of law violations to the socio economic status of student violators. Of the 5546 student violators, 89.96% (n=4989) committed only one law violation in 2014-2015. Students who receive free/reduced lunch (n=4075) represent 73.98% of the total number of student violators.

Table 3: Total Number of Law Violations Per Student by Socio Economic Status

Number of Violations Per Student	Year	Year Free/Reduced Lunch Paid Lunch			Number	
		N	%	N	%	
1	2014-15	3655	73.26	1334	26.74	4989
Violation	2013-14	3387	72.25	1301	27.75	4688
2	2014-15	358	75.21	118	24.79	476
Violations	2013-14	323	80.55	78	19.45	401
3	2014-15	46	74.19	16	25.81	62
Violations	2013-14	37	90.24	4	9.76	41
4 or more	2014-15	16	84.21	3	15.79	19
Violations	2013-14	12	80.00	3	20.00	15
TOTAL		4075		1471		5546

A comparison of the special education status of law violators with the special education status of all students in the state (see **Figure 11**) indicates that the percentage of law violators with special education status is significantly (p<.01) greater than the percentage of the overall student population with special education status. More than three quarters (n=4,415, 79.6%) of the students with reported law violations did not have special education status, while 20.4 percent (n=1,131) of the students did have special education status (**see Figure 12**). This over-representation of students with special education status in the number of students who commit law violations is consistent with last year's data. Schools need to explore whether students with special education status are acting out in a special education setting or in an inclusive setting.






Table 4 contains the number of violations per student broken down by gender and race for 2014-15 and 2013-14. More than three times as many male students had law violations as compared to female students in 2014-15. Additionally, 9.40 percent of male violators had multiple violations as compared to 7.28 percent of female violators. Males had as many as six reported law violations, while no female student had more than four reported law violations. Black males with one violation comprised 18.5% of the violators and 32.32% of students with multiple violations. This overrepresentation of black males as student violators is consistent with last year's report and bears further examination at the district and school levels.

Table 4: Total Number of Law Violations Per Student by Race and Gender

No. of Viola- tions Per Student	Year	White N	• Male %	Blac N	k Male %	Othe N	r Male %	White I	Female %	Black N	Female %	Other I	Female %	Total No.
4	2014- 15	2373	47.56	924	18.52	327	6.55	910	18.24	350	7.02	105	2.10	4989
1	2013- 14	2449	52.23	797	17.00	270	5.76	809	17.26	275	5.87	88	1.88	4688
2	2014- 15	201	42.23	149	31.30	34	7.14	61	12.82	24	5.04	7	1.47	476
	2013- 14	194	48.38	99	24.69	24	5.98	64	15.95	14	3.49	6	1.50	401
3	2014- 15	27	43.55	22	35.48	6	9.68	3	4.84	4	6.45	0	0.00	62
3	2013- 14	22	53.66	9	21.96	2	4.88	6	14.63	1	2.44	1	2.44	41
4 or	2014- 15	4	21.05	9	47.37	2	10.53	2	10.53	2	10.53	0	0.00	19
more	2013- 14	12	80.00	2	13.33	1	6.67	0	0.00	0	0	0	0.00	15
TOTAL	2014- 15	2605		1104		369		976		380		112		5546
IOIAL	2013- 14	2677		907		297		879		290		9		5245

Table 5 contains the number of violations per student by special education status. Regardless of education status, over 91% of students committed only one violation during the two-year reporting period. Within student violators, students with a special education status comprised 20.39% of the total and 44.30% of multiple violators. Since students with special status comprise only 13.9% of the total student population (See Figure 7), they, like black males, are overrepresented in the number of student violators especially those with multiple violations.

Table 5: Total Number of Law Violations per Student by Special Education Status

Number of Violations Per Student	Year	Special Ed	ducation	Non Special Education		
		N	%	N	%	
1 Violation	2014- 2015	960	84.9	4029	91.3	
1 Violation	2013- 2014	1175	87.82	3513	92.28	
2 Violations	2014- 2015	136	12	340	7.7	
2 VIOIATIONS	2013- 2014	135	10.10	266	6.98	
2 Violetians	2014- 2015	25	2.2	37	0.8	
3 Violations	2013- 2014	20	1.49	21	0.55	
4 or more Violations	2014- 2015	10	0.32	9	0.24	
4 or more violations	2013- 2014	8	.59	3	0.19	
TOTAL	2014- 2015	1131		4415		
IOIAL	2013- 2014	1138		3807		

Appendix A – Definitions of Law Violations

Important:

This guide is to serve as clarification for school personnel when coding law violations upon school property. These definitions are not legally binding and should only be used to assist school personnel in coding law violations at their school for Safe School data collection purposes. These definitions are provided by the school district by the Kentucky Department of Education. For any questions that arise regarding the legal definition of a student action, please consult with your School Board Attorney or local law enforcement representative.

Code Description

- **Criminal Homicide** A person is guilty of criminal homicide when he causes the death of another human being under circumstances which constitute murder, manslaughter in the first degree, manslaughter in the second degree, or reckless homicide.
- **Forcible Rape/Forcible Sodomy** A person is guilty of forcible rape when he engages in sexual intercourse/deviate sexual intercourse with another person by forcible compulsion; or he engages in sexual intercourse/deviate sexual intercourse with another person who is incapable of consent because he: is physically helpless; or is less than twelve (12) years old. "Deviate sexual intercourse" means any act of sexual gratification involving the sex organs of one person and the mouth or anus of another; or penetration of the anus of one person by a foreign object manipulated by another person (other than generally recognized health-care practices). Sexual intercourse means sexual intercourse in its ordinary sense and includes penetration of the sex organs of one person by a foreign object manipulated by another person.
- **Robbery** The taking or attempting to take anything of value from the care, custody, or control of a person or persons by force or threat of force and/or putting the victim in fear.
- **Burglary** A person is guilty of burglary when, with the intent to commit a crime, he knowingly enters or remains unlawfully in a building. A building, in addition to its ordinary meaning, means any structure, vehicle, watercraft or aircraft where any person lives or where people assemble for purposes of business, government, education, religion, entertainment or public transportation. Thus, breaking into a bus and stealing something from the bus would be counted as burglary.
- **Larceny/Theft** The intentional taking of the property of another.
- 70 Motor Vehicle Theft The theft or attempted theft of a motor vehicle.
- **Destruction of Property** Intentionally or wantonly defacing, destroying or damaging any property of which the person has no authority.
- **Arson** Any intentional burning or attempt to burn, with or without intent to defraud a dwelling house, public building, motor vehicle, or aircraft.
- **Forgery** Occurs when a person, with intent to defraud, deceive or injure another, falsely makes, completes or alters a written instrument (e.g., checks, transcripts, official identification, currency).
- **Fraud** is obtaining money or property by false pretenses.
- **Embezzlement** Misappropriating or misapplication of money or property entrusted to one's care, custody, or control, to include altering or forging financial records.
- **Stolen Property (Receiving) -** Violations include buying, receiving, possessing of stolen property.
- **Vandalism (Criminal Mischief)** The intentional destruction, injury, disfigurement, or defacement of any public or private property without consent of the owner or person having

custody or control (i.e., by cutting, tearing, breaking, marking, painting, drawing, or covering with filth)

- 151 **Weapon A Handgun** A handgun is any pistol or revolver originally designed to be fired by the use of a single hand, or any other firearm originally designed to be fired by the use of a single hand. A firearm is any weapon that will expel a projectile by the action of an explosive. This offense encompasses manufacture, sale, or possession of handguns on school property or at a school function. By Kentucky law (KRS 527.070), this excludes students 18 years of age and older who have these firearms in their automobile on school property.
- 152 **Weapon B Rifle** A rifle is a weapon designed or redesigned, made or remade, and intended to be fired from the shoulder and designed or redesigned and made or remade to use the energy of the explosive in a fixed metallic cartridge to fire only a single projectile through a rifled bore for each single pull of the trigger. This category also includes **shotguns**. This offense encompasses sale or possession of rifles or shotguns on school property or at a school function. By Kentucky law, this excludes students 18 years of age and older who have these firearms in their automobile on school property.
- 153 **Weapon C Other Firearms** Weapons other than handguns, rifles, or shotguns that will expel a projectile by the action of an explosive. Examples of other firearms include air guns (that use pneumatic pressure or pressurized cartridges to fire a projectile) also known as BB guns; and other homemade firearms. By Kentucky law, this excludes students 18 years of age and over who have these firearms in their automobile on school property.
- 154 **Weapon D Other** Any object that is capable of being readily used by one person to inflict severe bodily injury upon another person. Examples include (but are not limited to) knives, artificial knuckles, club, baton, nunchaku karate sticks. This offense encompasses manufacture, sale, or possession of these types of weapons on school property or at a school function. By Kentucky law, this excludes students 18 years of age and over who have these weapons in their automobile on school property.
- 160 **Prostitution/Vice** A person is guilty of prostitution when he or she engages or agrees or offers to engage in sexual conduct with another person in return for a fee or something of value. Also includes keeping a bawdy house, procuring, or transporting women for immoral purposes.

For the definitions included below, "...a word importing the masculine gender only may extend and be applied to females as well as males" (KRS 446.020). Thus, any reference to "he" in the descriptions below also includes females as well.

170 Sex Offense- Includes the following offenses defined below: indecent exposure, statutory rape, sexual assault/abuse, and other sexual offenses.

Indecent Exposure - A person is guilty of indecent exposure when he or she intentionally exposes his or her genitals under circumstances in which he or she knows or should know that his or her conduct is likely to cause affront or alarm to another person on school grounds or at a school function. This is not to be confused with violation 175.

Statutory Rape - A person is guilty of statutory rape (in KY, rape in the second degree) when: (a) Being eighteen (18) years old or more, he or she engages in sexual intercourse with another person less than fourteen (14) years old; or (b) He engages in sexual intercourse with another

person who is mentally incapacitated; (c) Being twenty-one (21) years old or more he engages in sexual intercourse with another person less than sixteen (16) years old.

Sexual Assault (Abuse) - A person is guilty of sexual assault when: (a) He subjects another person to sexual contact (touching intimate parts) by forcible compulsion; or (b) He subjects another person to sexual contact who is incapable of consent because he: is physically helpless; less than twelve (12) years old; or is mentally incapacitated.

Other Sexual Offenses - A person is guilty of other sexual offenses when they engage in some type of sexual activity not covered above on school grounds or at a school function. (e.g., sexual intercourse or deviate sexual intercourse not covered within other listed offenses) Each of the codes in the 1800 series includes possession and/or distribution of the drug in question on school property or at a school-sponsored function.

- **Other Drug Possession and Use** Includes possession and use of controlled substances or unauthorized possession of over-the-counter drugs not specifically listed elsewhere.
- **Other Drug Distribution** Includes distribution of controlled substances or unauthorized possession of over-the-counter drugs not specifically listed elsewhere.
- **Alcohol Possession and Use** Includes possession and use of a liquor, brew, or mixture containing alcohol. Examples include beer, whiskey, and wine.
- **Alcohol Distribution** Includes distribution of a liquor, brew, or mixture containing alcohol. Examples include beer, whiskey, and wine.
- 1821 Marijuana Possession and Use Includes possession and use of marijuana.
- 1822 Marijuana Distribution Includes distribution of marijuana.
- **Hallucinogenics Possession and Use** Includes possession and use of a psychoactive drug that induces hallucinations or altered sensory experiences (e.g., LSD, PCP).
- **Hallucinogenics Distribution** Includes distribution of a psychoactive drug that induces hallucinations or altered sensory experiences (e.g., LSD, PCP).
- **Amphetamines Possession and Use -** Includes possession and use of amphetamine or methamphetamine.
- **Amphetamines Distribution** Includes distribution of amphetamine or methamphetamine.
- **Barbiturates Possession and Use** Includes possession and use of barbiturates. Barbiturates are organic compounds that produce sedative and hypnotic effects (e.g., Nembutal, Luminal, Seconal, Pentothal).
- **Barbiturates Distribution** Includes distribution of barbiturates. Barbiturates are organic compounds that produce sedative and hypnotic effects (e.g., Nembutal, Luminal, Seconal, Pentothal).

- 1861 Heroin Possession and Use Includes possession and use of heroin.
- 1862 Heroin Distribution Includes distribution of heroin.
- 1871 Cocaine/Crack Possession and Use Includes possession and use of cocaine or crack.
- 1872 Cocaine/Crack Distribution Includes distribution of cocaine or crack.
- 1881 **Prescription Drugs Possession and Use** Unauthorized possession and use of medications that are available only with prescriptions from a doctor or dentist to a pharmacist.
- 1882 **Prescription Drugs Distribution** Unauthorized possession and/or distribution of medications that are available only with prescriptions from a doctor or dentist to a pharmacist.
- 1891 Inhalants Possession and Use Includes possession and use of Inhalants.
- 1892 Inhalants Distribution Includes distribution of Inhalants.
- 190 **Gambling** Staking or risking something of value upon the outcome of a contest, game, gaming scheme, or gaming device which is based upon an element of chance, in accord with an agreement or understanding that someone will receive something of value in the event of a certain outcome, on school property or at a school-sponsored event. This does not include school-sanctioned activities of chance.
- 210 **Driving under the influence** Operating a motor vehicle with alcohol concentration of or above 0.08 for those above 21 and 0.02 for those under age 21, or while under the influence of alcohol or other substance which impairs driving ability.
- 230 **Under Influence** Includes all offenses of intoxication with the exception of driving under the influence. In Kentucky, this offense equates to alcohol intoxication and/or public intoxication, which occurs when a person appears in a public place manifestly under the influence of alcohol, a controlled substance, or other intoxicating substance.
- 240 **Disorderly Conduct** Committing a breach of the peace. In Kentucky, a person is guilty of disorderly conduct when in a public place and with intent to cause public inconvenience, annoyance or alarm, or creating a risk thereof, he: (a) Engages in fighting or in violent, tumultuous or threatening behavior; or (b) Makes unreasonable noise; or (c) Refuses to obey an official order to disperse issued to maintain public safety in dangerous proximity to a fire, hazard or other emergency; or (d) Creates a hazardous or physically offensive condition by any act that serves no legitimate purpose.
- 260 **All Other Offenses** To include (but not limited to) blackmail and extortion; criminal anarchism; criminal syndicalism; and kidnapping.

280 **Loitering** - (Loitering)

A person is guilty of loitering when he:

(a) Loiters or remains in a public place for the purpose of gambling with cards, dice or other gambling paraphernalia, or (b) Loiters or remains in a public place for the purpose of unlawfully using a controlled substance; or (c) Loiters or remains in or about a school, college or university building or grounds, not having any reason or relationship involving custody of or responsibility

for a pupil or student or any other specific legitimate reason for being there and not having written permission from anyone authorized to grant the same

301 Assault in the First Degree

Definition from KRS 508.010:

- (1) A person is guilty of assault in the first degree when:
- a. He intentionally causes serious physical injury to another person by means of a deadly weapon or a dangerous instrument; or
- b. Under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life he wantonly engages in conduct which creates a grave risk of death to another and thereby causes serious physical injury to another person.
- (2) Assault in the first degree is a Class B Felony.

For purposes of the school setting, an individual who intentionally uses a deadly weapon, dangerous instrument, or other means to cause **serious physical injury** to another is guilty of Assault in the first degree. This is the most serious assault; its code should be reserved for situations when the intent of the offender is to cause death or serious injury. To meet these criteria, the victim has to incur potential life threatening injury, serious disfigurement, or prolonged loss of the use of a body part from the incident.

302 Assault in the second degree

Definition from KRS 508.020:

- (1) A person is guilty of assault in the second degree when:
- a. He intentionally causes serious physical injury to another person; or
- b. He intentionally causes physical injury to another person by means of a deadly weapon or a dangerous instrument; or
- c. He wantonly causes serious physical injury to another person by means of a deadly weapon or a dangerous instrument.
- (2) Assault in the second degree is a Class C felony.

For purposes of the school setting, an individual who intentionally causes physical injury to another person with a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument or intentionally causes serious injury to another person will be coded as Assault in the 2nd degree.

303 Assault in the third degree.

A person is guilty of assault in the third degree when he/she: (a) Recklessly, with a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument, or intentionally causes or attempts to cause physical injury to;

- 1. A state, county, city, or federal peace officer
- 2. An employee of a detention facility, or state residential treatment facility or state staff secure facility for residential treatment which provides for the care, treatment, or detention of a juvenile charged with or adjudicated delinquent because of a public offense or as a youthful offender;
- 3. An employee of the Department for Community Based Services employed as a social worker to provide direct client services, if the event occurs while the worker is performing job related duties:
- 4. A probation and parole officer;
- 5. A transportation officer appointed by a county fiscal court or legislative body of a consolidated local government, urban-county government, or charter government to transport inmates when

the county jail or county correctional facility is closed while the transportation officer is performing job-related duties;

- 6. A public or private elementary or secondary school or school district classified or certified employee, school bus driver, or other school employee acting in the course and scope of the employee's employment; or
- 7. A public or private elementary or secondary school or school district volunteer acting in the course and scope of that person's volunteer service for the school or school district; or (b) Being a person confined in a detention facility, or state residential treatment facility or state staff secure facility for residential treatment which provides for the care, treatment, or detention of a juvenile charged with or adjudicated delinquent because of a public offense or as a youthful offender, inflicts physical injury upon or throws or causes feces, or urine, or other bodily fluid to be thrown upon an employee of the facility.

3rd Degree Assault is a Class D felony.

304 Assault in the fourth degree

A person is guilty of assault in the fourth degree when he/she: (a) Intentionally or wantonly causes physical injury to another person; or (b) With recklessness, causes physical injury to another person by means of a deadly weapon or dangerous instrument.

Assault in the fourth degree is a Class A misdemeanor.

305 Menacing

Definition from KRS 508.050:

- (1) A person is guilty of menacing when he intentionally places another person in reasonable apprehension of imminent physical injury.
- (2) Menacing is a Class B misdemeanor.

Menacing in the school environment occurs when one student intentionally engages in an action (or threatens to engage in an action) that has the potential to injure another student or staff member. An example might be when one student threatens another student with physical harm, but does not necessarily follow through immediately with that threat.

306 Felony Wanton Endangerment

Definition from KRS 508.060:

- (1) A person is guilty of wanton endangerment in the first degree when, under circumstances manifesting extreme indifference to the value of human life, he wantonly engages in conduct which creates a substantial danger of death or serious physical injury to another person.
- (2) Wanton endangerment in the first degree is a Class D felony.

Felony Wanton endangerment in the school environment occurs when a student engages in an action that puts others at serious risk of death or serious physical injury because of that action. Examples might include driving a car through a crowded parking lot at a high rate of speed, bringing an angry pit bulldog to school and releasing it in the crowded hallway, or engaging in other intentional activities that put students at risk of death or serious physical injury.

307 Misdemeanor Wanton Endangerment

Definition from KRS 508.070:

- (1) A person is guilty of wanton endangerment in the second degree when he wantonly engages in conduct which creates a substantial danger of physical injury to another person.
- (2) Wanton endangerment in the second degree is a Class A misdemeanor.

Misdemeanor wanton endangerment in the school environment occurs when a student engages in an action that puts others at substantial danger of physical injury. An example might include when one student in a shop setting chases another student with a portable drill.

308 and 309 Felony and Misdemeanor Criminal Abuse

1st, 2nd, and 3rd Degree Criminal Abuse

According to the Kentucky Revised Statues 508.100, 508.110, and 508.120, criminal abuse can be classified in three different ways: Criminal abuse in the first degree, criminal abuse in the second degree, and criminal abuse in the third degree. The level of abuse will depend upon the intent and recklessness of the offender when engaging in the action that is classified as abuse. It should be noted that these are not precise legal definitions. Below we have listed the statutory definitions of abuse followed by the coding and classification criteria for abuse for school personnel.

508.090 Definitions for KRS 508.100 to 508.120.

The following definitions apply in KRS 508.100 to 508.120 unless the context otherwise requires: (1) "Abuse" means the infliction of physical pain, injury, or mental injury, or the deprivation of services by a person which are necessary to maintain the health and welfare of a person, or a situation in which an adult, living alone, is unable to provide or obtain for himself the services which are necessary to maintain his health or welfare.

(2) "Physically helpless" and "mentally helpless" means a person who lacks substantial capacity to defend himself or solicit protection from law enforcement agencies.

Definition from:

KRS 508.100 Criminal abuse in the first degree

- (1) A person is guilty of criminal abuse in the first degree when he intentionally abuses another person or permits another person of whom he has actual custody to be abused and thereby:
 - (a) Causes serious physical injury; or
 - (b) Places him in a situation that may cause him serious physical injury; or
 - (c) Causes torture, cruel confinement or cruel punishment; to a person twelve (12) years of age or less, or who is physically helpless or mentally helpless.
- (2) Criminal abuse in the first degree is a Class C felony.

KRS 508.110 Criminal abuse in the second degree.

- (1) A person is guilty of criminal abuse in the second degree when he wantonly abuses another person or permits another person of whom he has actual custody to be abused and thereby:
 - (a) Causes serious physical injury; or

- (b) Places him in a situation that may cause him serious physical injury; or
- (c) Causes torture, cruel confinement or cruel punishment; to a person twelve (12) years of age or less, or who is physically helpless or mentally helpless.
- (2) Criminal abuse in the second degree is a Class D felony.

KRS 508.120 Criminal abuse in the third degree.

- (1) A person is guilty of criminal abuse in the third degree when he recklessly abuses another person or permits another person of whom he has actual custody to be abused and thereby:
 - (a) Causes serious physical injury; or
 - (b) Places him in a situation that may cause him serious physical injury; or
- (c) Causes torture, cruel confinement or cruel punishment; to a person twelve (12) years of age or less, or who is physically helpless or mentally helpless.
- (2) Criminal abuse in the third degree is a Class A misdemeanor.

In general, criminal abuse in the school environment occurs when (a) a student tortures, confines, or punishes another student under 12 years of age or who is physically or mentally helpless; or (b) a student who has custody or is serving as the custodial individual for another student (e.g., sibling or child) allows that individual to be abused by another person in the school environment. When students engage in the abuse action intentionally, it might legally be classified as criminal abuse in the first degree; when they engage in that action wantonly (e.g., maliciously or unjustifiably), it would be criminal abuse in the second degree. When they engage in the action recklessly (e.g., because of carelessness), it generally would be criminal abuse in the third degree.

Felony abuse in the school setting happens when the above occurs intentionally and should be coded as **308- Felony Criminal Abuse**; misdemeanor occurs when it is because of carelessness and should be coded as **309- Misdemeanor criminal abuse**.

310, 320, and 330 Terroristic threatening, Bomb Threat, and Chemical/Biological/Nuclear Threats

- (1) A person is guilty of one of these offenses when he or she:
- (a) Intentionally makes false statements that he or she or another person has placed weapon of mass destruction on:
- The real property or any building of any public or private elementary or secondary school, vocational school, or institution of postsecondary education:
- 2. A school bus or other vehicle owned, operated, or leased by a school;
- 3. The real property or any building public or private that is the site of an official school sanctioned function; or
- 4. The real property or any building owned or leased by a government agency; or
- (2) Intentionally and without lawful authority, places a counterfeit weapon of mass destruction at any location or on any object specified in paragraph (a) of this subsection.
- (3) Intentionally:
 - (a) With respect to a school function, threatens to commit any act likely to result in death or serious physical injury to any student group, teacher, volunteer worker, or employee of a public or private elementary or secondary school, vocational school, or institution of postsecondary education, or to any other person reasonably

expected to lawfully be on school property or at a school-sanctioned activity, if the threat is related to their employment by a school, or work or attendance at school, or a school function. A threat directed at a person or persons or at a school does not need to identify a specific person or persons or school in order for a violation of this section to occur:

- (b) Makes false statements that he or she has placed a weapon of mass destruction at any location other than one specified in KRS 508.075; or
- (c) Without lawful authority places a counterfeit weapon of mass destruction at any location other than one specified in KRS 508.075.
- (d) He threatens to commit any crime likely to result in death or serious physical injury to another person or likely to result in substantial property damage to another person; or
- (e) He intentionally makes false statements for the purpose of causing evacuation of a building, place of assembly, or facility of public transportation.

Note: Activating fire alarms would be included in category 310 for reporting purposes.

Note: Bomb threats or threats of other explosive devices will be reported under category 320.

Note: Chemical, biological, or nuclear threats will be reported under category 330.

340 and 341- Felony and Misdemeanor Stalking

According to the Kentucky Revised Statues 508.130, 508.140, and 508.150, stalking can be classified in two different ways: Stalking in the first degree and stalking in the second degree. The level of stalking will depend upon whether a protective order has previously been issued, whether there has been a previous stalking offense by the offender, and whether the stalking involved a deadly weapon. It should be noted that these are not precise legal definitions. Below we have listed the statutory definitions of stalking followed by the coding and classification criteria for stalking for school personnel.

508.130 Definitions for KRS 508.130 to 508.150.

As used in KRS 508.130 to 508.150, unless the context requires otherwise:

- (1) (a) To "stalk" means to engage in an intentional course of conduct:
 - 1. Directed at a specific person or persons;
 - 2. Which seriously alarms, annoys, intimidates, or harasses the person or persons: and
 - 3. Which serves no legitimate purpose.
 - (b) The course of conduct shall be that which would cause a reasonable person to suffer substantial mental distress.
- (2) "Course of conduct" means a pattern of conduct composed of two (2) or more acts, evidencing a continuity of purpose. Constitutionally protected activity is not included within the meaning of "course of conduct." If the defendant claims that he was engaged in constitutionally protected activity, the court shall determine the validity of that claim as a matter of law and, if found valid, shall exclude that activity from evidence.
- (3) "Protective order" means:
 - (a) An emergency protective order or domestic violence order issued under KRS 403.715 to 403.785;
 - (b) A foreign protective order, as defined in KRS 403.7521(1);
 - (c) An order issued under KRS 431.064:
 - (d) A restraining order issued in accordance with KRS 508.155; and

(e) Any condition of a bond, conditional release, probation, parole, or pretrial diversion order designed to protect the victim from the offender.

508.140 Stalking in the first degree.

- (1) A person is guilty of stalking in the first degree,
 - (a) When he intentionally:
 - 1. Stalks another person; and
 - 2. Makes an explicit or implicit threat with the intent to place that person in reasonable fear of:
 - a. Sexual contact as defined in KRS 510.010;
 - b. Serious physical injury; or
 - c. Death; and
 - (b) 1. A protective order has been issued by the court to protect the same victim or victims and the defendant has been served with the summons or order or has been given actual notice; or
- 2. A criminal complaint is currently pending with a court, law enforcement agency, or prosecutor by the same victim or victims and the defendant has been served with a summons or warrant or has been given actual notice; or
- 3. The defendant has been convicted of or pled guilty within the previous five (5) years to a felony or to a Class A misdemeanor against the same victim or victims; or
- 4. The act or acts were committed while the defendant had a deadly weapon on or about his person.
- (2) Stalking in the first degree is a Class D felony.

508.150 Stalking in the second degree.

- (1) A person is guilty of stalking in the second degree when he intentionally:
 - (a) Stalks another person; and
 - (b) Makes an explicit or implicit threat with the intent to place that person in reasonable fear of:
 - 1. Sexual contact as defined in KRS 510.010;
 - 2. Physical injury: or
 - 3. Death.
- (2) Stalking in the second degree is a Class A misdemeanor.

In a school setting, a student stalks another student when he or she engages in behavior that intentionally alarms, annoys, intimidates, or harasses the student victim to the point that it causes mental duress for the student that is being stalked. These behaviors may include threatening physical injury or death, sexually harassing or annoying behaviors, or other behaviors that are persistent and intimidating to the student victim.

An offense should be coded as **Felony Stalking – 340** when:

a protective order has been issued (or is pending) by a court to protect the victims(s) from the student allegedly engaged in stalking or;

when the student has been convicted of or pled guilty to a felony or to a Class A misdemeanor against the same victim or victims; or

when the stalking act(s) were committed while the student had a deadly weapon in their possession.


An offense should be coded as Misdemeanor Stalking – 341 when that student is stalking another student but that stalking does not meet any of the conditions outlined for Felony Stalking- 340.

http://education.ky.gov/school/sdfs/Documents/Law%20Violation%20Definitions.pdf

Appendix B - Using the KCSS and KDE Reports

he process that provides the data for this report has developed into a predictable and dependable cycle (see Graphic A). All public schools in Kentucky now use Infinite Campus software to collect discipline data each year beginning July 1 and ending June 30. Throughout the school year, the school enters discipline data daily and performs periodic errors checks to maintain data accuracy. At the local district level, the designated technology coordinator also performs error checks and works with the school to reconcile any discrepancies. At the end of the year, final verifications with the school and district are made before the district transmits the

performs error checks and works with the school to reconcile any discrepancies. At the end of the year, final verifications with the school and district are made before the district transmits the data to KDE, which performs its own verification check. Once KDE has finished inspecting the data and is satisfied with the accuracy, the data are sent to KCSS. The data are checked again and entered into a statistical package for organization and analysis. KCSS staff writes an annual report of the data with a state interpretation. The report is sent to districts in an embargoed form to allow districts to make a final confirmation of their data and to have the Center make any last minute corrections to the report. Following the embargoed period, the report is released to the public during a press conference and posted at www.kycss.org, the Center's website. While the posting of the report is the end of that sequence, collection of school-level data for the next period is ongoing. Schools should also be familiar with the staff perceptions on discipline, which are collected on the TELL Survey.




he passage of HB330 in 1998 not only created the Kentucky Center for School Safety, but also required all Kentucky public schools to create a district code of conduct and school discipline plan. Additionally, schools were mandated to report all discipline data to the Kentucky Department of Education. While the bill does not specifically link these two activities, a school safety plan cannot be considered comprehensive unless there is a direct link between the data collection and the planning processes.

Let's consider what is essential in a comprehensive school safety plan. Graphic B illustrates that three components are necessary for a school safety plan to be considered comprehensive (e.g., a Student Management Plan, a Student Code of Conduct, and a Staff Handbook). However, the over-arching representation of data emphasizes the necessity for data to be collected, analyzed, and reviewed at every step of the planning process.

Data Collection, Analysis and Review

Graphic B



DATA COLLECTION, ANALYSIS AND REVIEW

First and foremost, a school safety plan begins with the collection of data. A school should begin the development of a school safety plan with the collection and/or consolidation of several types of data. A school should use the data collected for this report as a starting point. Data regarding suspensions, expulsions, and corporal punishments, as well as other discipline responses that occurred as a result of an office discipline referral, should be compiled. Next, a format similar to the one below should be used to analyze the data:

- 1. Collect other forms of data (i.e., observational data, student, staff, and parent surveys) and combine those results with the discipline data.
- 2. Sort the data by location, month, time, gender, ethnicity and type. Depict the data graphically or pictorially.
- 3. Analyze the data by posing several questions:
 - 3.1. What are the most frequent infractions?
 - 3.2. Where and when are they occurring?
 - 3.3. What are your least frequent infractions?
 - 3.4. Where and when are they occurring?
 - 3.5. Who are your most frequent offenders?
 - 3.6. Is there a common denominator among repeat offenders, type of infraction occurring most frequently, and location and time of most infractions?
- 4. Sort the data into four categories for possible changes: <u>Structural</u>, Organizational, Instructional, and Rules and Policies.

The goal with the data collection and analysis is to produce information that drives planning and decision-making.

<u>Student Management Plan:</u> Every school should clearly articulate the rules and expectations for positive student behavior. The plan should clearly specify expectations for student behavior that will result in student success. An approach to developing the Student Management Plan includes the following:

- 1. Schools should use the KCSS school safety data report in conjunction with the KDE data report to guide the student management plan. Use the school data to direct the development of a pro-active student management plan that acts as a guide for positive student behavior and sets the stage for student success.
- 2. Include the school's mission and a philosophy of behavior management that treats students with respect and dignity while placing the responsibility for behavior squarely on the shoulders of the student.
- 3. Address misbehavior in the plan. Rather than give a finite listing of infractions with a corresponding consequence, construct a menu of disciplinary consequences for misbehavior. This will provide staff the opportunity to consider the degree and frequency of the misbehavior before choosing an appropriate consequence.

 After reviewing the data compiled in the KDE and KCSS reports, school and district personnel should create an action plan to address areas where improvement is needed.

Staff Handbook: A staff handbook is a vital tool for not only the new teacher, but the seasoned teacher as well. A staff handbook should include everything a teacher needs to know about school rules, routines and procedures. While the rules, routines and procedures are preventive in nature, a major portion of the handbook should address positive student management and extend the student discipline plan to include staff instructional responsibilities. For example, during student arrival, the handbook will clearly identify staff responsibilities for supervision while different responsibilities may be identified for dismissal. Additionally, the handbook should outline a plan for instructing students regarding the student management and emergency management plans.

Appendix C. – School District Level Data

^{*}Rates are reflected per 100 students.

Table C1: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Student Enrollment of 2499 or less.

District		Total Enroll- ment	Number of Violations	Number of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Numb er Other	Rate Whit e	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide											
Information	Year	655799	5687	5546	3581	1484	481	0.69	2.15	0.70	0.85
Anchorage	'14-15	367	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Independent	'13-14	370	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
A avesta Turd	′14-15	273	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Augusta Ind.	′13-14	288	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ballard Co.	′14-15	1267	23	18	16	0	2	1.41	0	2.44	1.42
Banara Co.	′13-14	1276	4	3	3	0	0	0.26	0	0	0.24
Barbourville	′14-15	675	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ind.	'13-14	658	1	1	1	0	0	0.16	0	0	0.15
Bath Co.	'14-15	2105	6	6	6	0	0	.30	0	0	.29
Batti Co.	′13-14	2080	8	8	8	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.38
Beechwood	′14-15	1310	5	5	4	1	0	.34	10.00	0	.38
Ind.	'13-14	1241	1	1	1	0	0	0.09	0	0	0.08
Bellevue Ind.	′14-15	706	4	4	4	0	0	.64	0	0	.57
Believue iliu.	'13-14	711	12	12	11	1	0	1.72	6.25	0	1.69
Berea Ind.	'14-1 5	1083	1	1	1	0	0	.11	0	0	.09
Berea mu.	'13-14	1115	2	2	0	1	1	0	2.17	1.09	0.18
Bracken Co.	'14-1 5	1232	10	10	10	0	0	.84	0	0	.81
Bracken Co.	'13-14	1190	6	6	6	0	0	0.52	0	0	0.5
Breathitt Co.	′14-15	1960	29	26	26	0	0	1.36	0	0	1.33
Breauntt Co.	'13-14	1974	53	48	48	0	0	2.49	0	0	2.43
Burgin Ind.	′14-15	468	6	5	4	1	0	.90	33.33	0	1.07
Burgin ind.	'13-14	459	9	7	7	0	0	1.64	0	0	1.53
Butler Co.	'14-1 5	2141	12	12	12	0	0	.61	0	0	.56
Butter Co.	'13-14	2133	19	17	15	1	1	0.76	3.13	0.71	0.8
Caldwell Co.	'14-1 5	1962	1	1	1	0	0	.06	0	0	.05
Caldwell Co.	'13-14	2000	32	30	27	3	0	1.54	2.16	0	1.5
Campbellsville	'14-15	1125	5	3	2	1	0	.24	.84	0	.27
Ind.	′13-14	1101	5	5	2	2	1	0.24	1.77	0.6	0.45
Carliala Ca	′14-15	739	11	11	10	1	0	1.46	9.09	0	1.49
Carlisle Co.	′13-14	726	4	4	3	1	0	0.45	10	0	0.55
Cornell Co	′14-15	1856	17	16	15	0	1	.98	0	.33	.86
Carroll Co.	′13-14	1865	19	17	13	0	4	0.83	0	1.43	0.91
Casay Ca	′14-15	2226	29	24	22	0	2	1.07	0	1.25	1.08
Casey Co.	′13-14	2216	28	24	23	1	0	1.12	11.11	0	1.08
Caverna Ind.	′14-15	726	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Caverna mu.	′13-14	730	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table C1 continued: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Student Enrollment of 2499 or less.

Total Enroll
State-wide Information Year 655799 5687 5546 3581 1484 481 0.69 2.15 0.70 0.80
Information Year 655799 5687 5546 3581 1484 481 0.69 2.15 0.70 0.85 0.35
Clinton Co. '13-14
Clinton Co. '13-14
Cloverport '14-15
Crittenden Co. '13-14 405 2 2 2 0 0 0.51 0 0 0.4
Crittenden Co. '13-14
Cumberland '13-14 1268 6 5 4 0 1 0.33 0 2.38 0.3 Cumberland '14-15 949 3 3 3 0 0 .34 0 0 .3 Co. '13-14 964 4 4 4 0 0 0.45 0 0 0.4 Danville Ind. '14-15 1807 21 21 10 9 2 .88 2.78 .57 1.1 Dawson '13-14 1794 14 12 6 3 3 0.54 0.91 0.84 0.6 Springs Ind. '14-15 627 2 2 2 0 0 0.34 0 0 0.8
Co. '13-14 964 4 4 4 4 0 0.45 0 0.44 Danville Ind. '14-15 1807 21 21 10 9 2 .88 2.78 .57 1.1 '13-14 1794 14 12 6 3 3 0.54 0.91 0.84 0.6 Dawson Springs Ind. '14-15 627 2 2 2 0 0 0.34 0 0 .3 Springs Ind. '13-14 617 5 5 5 0 0 0.85 0 0 0.8
Danville Ind. '14-15 1807 21 21 10 9 2 .88 2.78 .57 1.1 '13-14 1794 14 12 6 3 3 0.54 0.91 0.84 0.6 Dawson Springs Ind. '14-15 627 2 2 2 0 0 0.34 0 0 .3 Springs Ind. '13-14 617 5 5 5 0 0 0.85 0 0 0.8
Danville Ind. '13-14 1794 14 12 6 3 3 0.54 0.91 0.84 0.6 Dawson Springs Ind. '14-15 627 2 2 2 0 0 0.34 0 0 .3 Springs Ind. '13-14 617 5 5 5 0 0 0.85 0 0 0.8
'13-14 1794 14 12 6 3 3 0.54 0.91 0.84 0.6 Dawson Springs Ind. '14-15 627 2 2 2 0 0 0.34 0 0 0.3 Springs Ind. '13-14 617 5 5 5 0 0 0.85 0 0 0.8
Springs Ind. 13-14 617 5 5 5 0 0 0.85 0 0 0.8
15 17 527 5 5 5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
Deuton Ind. '14-15 912 2 2 2 0 0 .24 0 0 .2
Dayton Ind. 14-13 312 2 2 2 0 0 0.26 0 0 0.2
East Bernstadt '14-15 463 2 2 2 0 0 .44 0 0 .4
Ind. '13-14 459 1 1 1 0 0 0.22 0 0 0.2
Edmonson Co. 14-15 1916 3 3 2 0 1 .11 0 1.22 .1
ranionson Co. ra
Elizabethtown '14-15 2476 13 13 10 2 1 .59 .57 .24 .5
Ind. 13-14 2497 25 18 11 7 0 0.63 2.05 0 0.7
Elliott Co. 14-15 1049 4 4 4 0 0 3.38 0 0 .3
Efficit Co. '13-14 1043 1 1 1 0 0 0.1 0 0.
Eminence Ind. '14-15 789 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Eminence ind. '13-14 745 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Erlanger- '14-15 2260 2 2 0 2 0 0 .97 0 .0
Elsmere Ind. '13-14 2172 2 2 0 0 2 0 0.54 0.0
Tatil Co '14-15 2390 11 10 10 0 0 .42 0 0 .4
Estill Co. 14-13 23-25 11 16 16 17 17 18 19 19 19 19 19 19 19
Televisor In 1 (14-15) 795 1 1 1 1 0 0 .13 0 0 .1
Fairview Ind. 14-13 73 1 1 1 1 0 0 1.13 0 0 1.14 1.15
TI . C '14-15 2331 26 21 20 0 1 .91 1 .96 .9
Fleming Co. 14-13 2351 26 21 26 6 1 .51 1 .50 .5
/14.45 709 1 1 0 1 0 0 76 0 1
Frankfort Ind. 14-15 798 1 1 0 1 0 0 0.76 0 0.1 1 0 0 0.69 0 0.1 1 0 0 0.69 0 0.1 0 0 0.69 0 0.1 0 0 0 0.69 0 0.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
14.15 519 3 3 2 0 1 62 0 2.04 5
Fulton Co. 14-13 518 5 5 5 2 6 1 .02 6 2.04 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .5 .

Table C1 continued: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Student Enrollment of 2499 or less.

				2433 01 1	C33.						<u> </u>
Pintin		Total Enroll-	Number of	Number of	Number	Number	Number	Rate	Rate	Rate	Total
District State-wide		ment	Violations	Violators	White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other	Rate
Information	Year	655799	5687	5546	3581	1484	481	0.69	2.15	0.70	0.85
	′14-15	369	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	0	0.70	0.03
Fulton Ind.	′13-14	363	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	′14-15	1623	3	3	2	0	1	.14	0	.51	.18
Gallatin Co.	′13-14	1619	6	5	4	1	0	0.28	7.14	0	0.31
	′14-15	2539	43	35	32	0	3	1.39	0	1.61	1.38
Garrard Co.	′13-14	2418	38	36	34	1	1	1.55	2.08	0.56	1.49
	′14-15	2121	10	10	9	0	1	.59	0	.31	.47
Glasgow Ind.	′13-14	2017	5	5	4	0	1	0.27	0	0.35	0.25
G G	′14-15	1627	11	11	8	1	2	.53	2.50	2.41	.68
Green Co.	′13-14	1592	9	8	6	1	1	0.4	2.56	1.45	0.5
II. 1.C	′14-15	1621	1	1	1	0	0	.06	0	0	.06
Hancock Co.	′13-14	1630	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
II1 I 4	′14-15	703	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harlan Ind.	′13-14	741	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hout Co	′14-15	2261	16	16	14	0	0	.67	0	1.79	.71
Hart Co.	′13-14	2299	8	8	7	1	0	0.33	2.08	0	0.35
Hazard Ind.	'14-15	931	2	2	2	0	0	.25	0	0	.21
Hazaru IIIu.	′13-14	936	9	8	7	1	0	0.87	1.52	0	0.85
Henry Co.	′14-15	2085	15	15	13	1	1	.67	2.78	.86	.72
Tiemy Co.	′13-14	2081	21	20	18	0	2	0.93	0	1.71	0.96
Hickman Co.	′14-15	739	1	1	1	0	0	.16	0	0	.14
Thekinan Co.	′13-14	720	1	1	1	0	0	0.16	0	0	0.14
Jackson Co.	′14-15	2118	30	28	28	0	0	1.34	0	0	1.32
Jackson Co.	′13-14	2135	23	23	23	0	0	1.09	0	0	1.08
Jackson Ind.	'14-15	376	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jackson ma.	'13-14	375	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jenkins Ind.	'14-15	479	3	3	3	0	0	.64	0	0	.63
Jenkins ind.	'13-14	505	1	1	1	0	0	0.2	0	0	0.2
Ky. School for	'14-15	65	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
the Blind	′13-14	68	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Ky. School for	'14-15	92	1	1	0	1	0	0	20.00	0	1.09
the Deaf	′13-14	98	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	6.67	1.02
Knott Co.	′14-15	2290	13	13	13	0	0	.58	0	0	.57
mon co.	′13-14	2392	11	9	9	0	0	0.39	0	0	0.38
LaRue Co.	'14-15	2366	9	9	7	0	2	.34	0	.82	.38
Larrae Co.	′13-14	2376	15	15	13	1	1	0.63	1.41	0.41	0.63

Table C1 continued: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Student Enrollment of 2499 or less.

2499 or less.											
District		Total Enroll- ment	Number of Violations	Number of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Number Other	Rate White	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide		mem	Violations	Violators	VVIIIC	Diack	Other	VVIIIC	Diack	Other	Nate
Information	Year	655799	5687	5546	3581	1484	481	0.69	2.15	0.70	0.85
, G	'14-15	2461	6	6	6	0	0	.25	0	0	.24
Lawrence Co.	′13-14	2381	2	2	2	0	0	0.09	0	0	0.08
I C	'14-15	984	1	1	1	0	0	.10	0	0	.10
Lee Co.	′13-14	1013	6	6	6	0	0	0.61	0	0	0.59
Leslie Co.	'14-15	1690	1	1	1	0	0	.06	0	0	.06
Lesile Co.	'13-14	1723	1	1	1	0	0	0.06	0	0	0.06
Lewis Co.	'14-15	2249	1	1	1	0	0	.05	0	0	.04
Lewis Co.	′13-14	2286	4	4	4	0	0	0.18	0	0	0.17
Livingston Co.	′14-15	1162	8	7	6	0	1	.55	0	1.30	.60
Livingston Co.	′13-14	1142	13	11	10	0	1	0.93	0	1.61	0.96
Ludlow Ind.	'14-1 5	842	9	9	9	0	0	1.15	0	0	1.07
Ludiow IIId.	′13-14	832	9	9	8	0	1	1.01	0	2.56	1.08
Lyon Co	'14-1 5	862	7	6	5	1	0	.65	3.33	0	.70
Lyon Co.	′13-14	851	13	13	8	3	2	1.04	10.34	3.7	1.53
Magoffin Co.	′14-15	1975	10	10	10	0	0	.51	0	0	.51
Magonin Co.	′13-14	2113	11	10	10	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.47
Martin Co.	′14-15	1965	8	8	8	0	0	.41	0	0	.41
Martin Co.	′13-14	2032	16	16	16	0	0	0.8	0	0	0.79
Mayfield Ind.	'14-15	1631	10	7	3	3	1	.40	1.09	.17	.94
Wiayrieid fild.	′13-14	1589	9	7	5	2	0	0.66	0.74	0	0.44
McLean Co.	′14-15	1570	1	1	1	0	0	.07	0	0	.06
Wickean Co.	′13-14	1569	3	3	3	0	0	0.2	0	0	0.19
Menifee Co.	′14-15	1084	7	7	6	1	0	.60	1.92	0	.65
Wiemiee Co.	′13-14	1065	5	5	4	1	0	0.41	1.92	0	0.47
Metcalfe Co.	'14-1 5	1554	8	8	6	1	1	.40	7.69	2.38	.51
Wietcarre Co.	'13-14	1517	18	17	15	1	1	1.02	5.26	2.94	1.12
Middlesboro	'14-15	1248	6	6	6	0	0	.55	0	0	.48
Ind.	'13-14	1294	6	6	6	0	0	0.52	0	0	0.46
Monroe Co.	'14-1 5	1798	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monroe Co.	'13-14	1820	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Morgan Co.	'14-15	2022	7	6	6	0	0	.30	0	0	.30
wiorgan Co.	′13-14	2051	9	9	9	0	0	0.45	0	0	0.44
Murray Ind.	'14-15	1559	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
wiumay mu.	′13-14	1497	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Newport Ind.	'14-15	1686	23	20	5	10	5	.50	3.39	1.26	1.19
riewport IIIu.	′13-14	1720	23	22	11	6	5	1.05	2.19	1.26	1.28

Table C1 continued: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Student Enrollment of 2499 or less.

				2499 OF 1	ess.						
District		Total Enroll- ment	Number of Violations	Number of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Number Other	Rate White	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide											
Information	Year	655799	5687	5546	3581	1484	481	0.69	2.15	0.70	0.85
Nicholas Co.	'14-15	1111	1	1	1	0	0	.10	0	0	.09
Tylenolus Co.	′13-14	1090	4	4	4	0	0	0.39	0	0	0.37
Owen Co.	'14-15	1844	6	5	5	0	0	.29	0	0	.27
Owen co.	′13-14	1809	17	17	17	0	0	1	0	0	0.94
Owsley Co.	'14-15	728	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Owsley Co.	'13-14	724	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Paintsville Ind.	′14-15	788	2	2	2	0	0	.27	0	0	.25
i amisvine ma.	′13-14	778	2	2	1	0	1	0.13	0	5.88	0.26
D ' I I	'14-15	694	15	11	7	2	2	1.83	1.47	1.14	1.59
Paris Ind.	′13-14	703	2	2	1	0	1	0.24	0	0.66	0.28
D 11 . C	'14-15	2359	5	5	5	0	0	.22	0	0	.21
Pendleton Co.	′13-14	2352	13	12	12	0	0	0.53	0	0	0.51
DI III 7 1	'14-15	1225	2	1	0	1	0	0	7.14	0	.08
Pikeville Ind.	′13-14	1206	1	1	1	0	0	0.09	0	0	0.08
D' '11 T 1	′14-15	487	3	2	1	0	1	.21	0	6.67	.41
Pineville Ind.	′13-14	507	1	1	1	0	0	0.21	0	0	0.2
D 11.C	'14-15	2334	37	35	34	0	1	1.51	0	1.59	1.50
Powell Co.	′13-14	2404	27	25	25	0	0	1.07	0	0	1.04
Raceland-	'14-15	1034	2	2	2	0	0	.20	0	0	.19
Worthington		4040		0	0	0	0	•	•	•	
Ind.	′13-14	1040	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Robertson Co.	′14-15	344	3	3	3	0	0	.89	0	0	.87
	′13-14	356	10	7	7	0	0	2	0	0	1.97
Russell Ind.	′14-15	2167	9	8	7	1	0	.34	7.14	0	.37
	′13-14	2134	24	17	16	0	1	0.8	0	0.93	0.8
Russellville	'14-15	987	23	22	10	7	5	1.80	3.00	2.51	2.23
Ind.	′13-14	1017	17	17	8	6	3	1.35	2.60	1.55	1.67
Science Hill	′14-15	472	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ind.	′13-14	476 169	3	3	3	0	0	1.65	0	0	1.60
Silver Grove Ind.	′14-15			3							
	'13-14 '14-15	187 1596	3 6	5	<u>3</u>	0	0	1.65	0	0	1.6 .31
Somerset Ind.		1614	14	14	13	0	1	0.94	0	0.6	0.87
	′13-14	1014	14	14	15	U	1	0.94	0	0.6	0.67
											ı
	1					<u> </u>					

Table C1 continued: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Student Enrollment of 2499 or less.

District	Year	Total Enroll- ment	Number of Violations	Number of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Number Other	Rate White	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide											
Information		640324	5687	5145	3556	1197	392	0.65	1.13	0.53	0.68
Southgate Ind.	'14-15	168	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Southgate ind.	′13-14	170	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Todd Co.	′14-15	1949	6	6	6	0	0	.39	0	0	.31
Todd Co.	′13-14	1973	7	7	4	0	3	0.25	0	1.62	0.35
Trigg Co.	′14-15	1976	7	7	7	0	0	.42	0	0	.35
Trigg Co.	′13-14	2013	3	3	2	0	1	0.12	0	0.68	0.15
Trimble Co.	′14-15	1350	10	9	9	0	0	.70	0	0	.67
Timble Co.	′13-14	1377	4	4	4	0	0	0.3	0	0	0.29
Union Co.	'14-15	2243	27	25	20	5	0	1.05	2.16	0	1.11
Omon Co.	′13-14	2244	18	18	16	1	1	0.84	0.4	1.04	0.8
Walton-	′14-15	1542	22	20	19	0	1	1.31	0	1.28	1.30
Verona Ind.	′13-14	1522	21	20	19	1	0	1.32	6.25	0	1.31
Washington	'14-1 5	1671	1	1	0	1	0	0	.68	0	.06
Co.	′13-14	1644	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Webster Co.	'14-1 5	2156	26	23	18	2	3	.99	3.92	1.02	1.07
Webster Co.	′13-14	2128	15	15	13	0	2	0.73	0	0.72	0.7
West Point	'14-15	145	1	1	1	0	0	.75	0	0	.69
Ind.	′13-14	120	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Williamsburg	′14-15	793	9	9	9	0	0	1.20	0	0	1.13
Ind.	'13-14	802	4	4	4	0	0	0.53	0	0	0.5
Williamstown	'14-15	834	2	2	2	0	0	.26	0	0	.24
Ind.	′13-14	854	2	2	2	0	0	0.25	0	0	0.23
Wolfe Co.	'14-15	1304	1	1	1	0	0	.08	0	0	.08
WOILE CO.	′13-14	1321	17	15	15	0	0	1.15	0	0	1.14

Table C2: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Enrollment of 2500 - 4999.

District		Total Enroll- ment	Number of Violations	Number of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Number Other	Rate White	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide											
Information	Year	640324	5687	5145	3556	1197	392	0.65	1.13	0.53	0.68
Adair Co.	′14-15	2623	12	12	11	1	0	.46	1.75	0	.46
	'13-14	2590	10	9	7	1	1	0.3	1.69	0.56	0.35
Allen Co.	'14-15	2981	16	16	16	0	0	.57	0	0	.54
	′13-14	2858	14	14	12	0	2	0.45	0	1.38	0.49
Anderson	'14-15	3672	46	43	38	0	5	1.14	0	1.73	1.17
Co.	'13-14	3752	17	14	13	0	1	0.38	0	0.35	0.37
Ashland Ind.	'14-15	3040	19	19	17	1	1	.63	.85	.45	.63
1 151114110 11101	'13-14	3037	18	17	15	2	0	0.55	1.56	0	0.56
Bardstown	′14-15	2504	19	17	15	0	2	.86	0	.56	.68
Ind.	'13-14	2548	22	19	10	7	2	0.56	1.6	0.6	0.75
Barren Co.	'14-15	4781	36	33	32	0	1	.72	0	.38	.69
Darren Co.	'13-14	4847	25	21	19	0	1	0.42	0	0.4	0.43
Bell Co.	′14-15	2831	35	30	30	0	0	1.08	0	0	1.06
Ben Co.	′13-14	2863	20	15	15	0	0	0.53	0	0	0.52
Bourbon Co.	'14-15	2643	26	24	21	2	1	.97	2.17	.25	.91
Bourbon Co.	'13-14	2661	27	24	23	0	1	1.05	0	0.27	0.9
Bowling	'14-15	3947	27	25	10	8	7	.44	1.06	.75	.63
Green Ind.	′13-14	3946	42	33	10	19	4	0.43	2.47	0.47	0.84
D 1.C	′14-15	3168	9	9	9	0	0	.30	0	0	.28
Boyd Co.	′13-14	3191	16	14	14	0	0	0.46	0	0	0.44
D 1 C	'14-15	2586	23	20	18	0	2	.76	0	1.12	.77
Boyle Co.	′13-14	2589	27	23	21	0	2	0.87	0	1.32	0.89
Breckinridge	′14-15	2701	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Co.	′13-14	2703	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Calloway	′14-15	3053	8	7	5	1	1	.18	2.27	.41	.22
Co.	′13-14	3014	12	12	10	0	2	37	0	0.9	0.4
Campbell	′14-15	4872	9	8	8	0	0	.18	0	0	.16
Co.	′13-14	4808	8	7	5	1	1	0.11	1.18	0.4	0.15
	′14-15	4537	6	6	6	0	0	.14	0	0	.13
Carter Co.	'13-14	4554	11	11	11	0	0	0.25	0	0	0.24
	'14-15	3261	32	31	29	2	0	.92	4.65	0	.95
Clay Co.	′13-14	3267	36	31	30	1	0	0.94	2.86	0	0.95
	′14-15	2922	3	3	3	0	0	.11	0	0	.10
Corbin Ind.	′13-14	2883	17	16	15	0	1	0.54	0	0.9	0.55
Covington	'14-15	3832	61	54	26	21	7	1.39	1.74	.93	1.41
Ind.	′13-14	3828	80	76	39	26	11	1.95	2.3	1.58	1.99
-110.	15-14	3020	00	70	39	20	11	1.53	2.5	1.30	1.23

Table C2: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Enrollment of
2500 - 4999.

				2300 -	7333.						
District		Total Enroll- ment	Number of Violations	Number of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Number Other	Rate White	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide Information	Year	640324	5687	5145	3556	1197	392	0.65	1.13	0.53	0.68
Fort Thomas	'14-15	3000	9	9	9	0	0	.33	0	0	.30
Ind.	′13-14	2925	6	6	6	0	0	0.22	0	0	0.21
Count Co	'14-15	3833	50	47	45	0	2	1.27	0	0.71	1.23
Grant Co.	′13-14	3843	70	60	55	0	5	1.54	0	2	1.56
Cmayaa Ca	′14-15	4341	53	48	43	1	4	1.12	1.51	0.95	1.11
Graves Co.	′13-14	4414	36	32	24	2	6	0.61	3.23	1.47	0.72
Gravaan Ca	′14-15	4191	90	85	80	1	4	2.00	3.70	2.53	2.03
Grayson Co.	'13-14	4194	69	59	57	0	2	1.42	0	1.3	1.41
Greenup Co.	'14-1 5	2829	12	12	12	0	0	.44	0	0	.42
Greenup Co.	'13-14	2833	12	12	12	0	0	0.44	0	0	0.42
Harlan Co.	'14-1 5	3953	12	12	12	0	0	.32	0	0	.30
Tiarian Co.	'13-14	4047	12	12	10	2	0	0.26	2.38	0	0.3
Harrison Co.	'14-15	2953	26	23	19	1	3	.70	1.79	1.78	.78
Trairison Co.	'13-14	2960	10	10	8	2	0	0.29	3.17	0	0.34
Johnson Co.	'14-15	3681	4	4	4	0	0	.11	0	0	.11
Johnson Co.	'13-14	3681	6	4	4	0	0	0.11	0	0	0.11
Knox Co.	'14-15	4406	28	27	26	1	0	.61	1.72	0	.61
Kilox Co.	′13-14	4377	21	20	19	1	0	0.45	1.67	0	0.46
Letcher Co.	'14-15	3097	12	11	11	0	0	.36	0	0	.36
Leteller Co.	'13-14	3173	18	16	16	0	0	0.51	0	0	0.5
Lincoln Co.	'14-15	3739	71	55	48	1	6	1.41	1.69	2.15	1.47
Efficient Co.	′13-14	3808	59	53	49	2	2	1.41	3.08	0.72	1.39
Logan Co.	'14-15	3424	10	10	7	1	2	.22	1.12	1.08	.29
Logan Co.	'13-14	3431	16	14	13	1	0	0.41	0.98	0	0.41
Marion Co.	′14-15	3136	17	16	14	0	2	.52	0	1.01	.51
Wanton Co.	′13-14	3109	20	20	18	2	0	0.67	0.85	0	0.64
Marshall Co.	′14-15	4687	44	44	43	0	1	.95	0	0.71	.94
Warshan Co.	'13-14	4597	17	17	16	0	1	0.36	0	0.87	0.37
Mason Co.	'14-15	2712	13	12	9	1	2	.40	.48	.85	.44
Wason Co.	'13-14	2760	17	16	11	2	3	0.47	0.89	1.42	0.58
McCreary	'14-15	2758	18	17	17	0	0	.63	0	0	.62
Co.	'13-14	2868	23	21	21	0	0	0.75	0	0	0.73
Meade Co.	′14-15	4889	38	36	35	0	1	.78	0	.28	.74
Meade Co.	′13-14	4931	31	31	26	3	2	0.57	3.8	0.63	0.63
Mercer Co.	'14-15	2838	11	11	8	0	3	.34	0	.84	.39
MICICOI CO.	'13-14	2858	43	41	35	2	4	1.44	1.87	1.23	1.43

Table C2 continued: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Enrollment of 2500 - 4999.

		Total Enroll-	Number of	Number of	Numbe	Numb er	Numb er	Rate	Rate	Rate	Total
District		ment	Violations	Violators	r White	Black	Other	White	Black	Other	Rate
State-wide	.,										
Information	Year	640324	5687	5145	3556	1197	392	0.65	1.13	0.53	0.68
Montgomery	'14-15	4688	49	44	42	2	0	.99	1.90	0	.94
Co.	′13-14	4835	116*	94	85	3	6	1.91	2.73	2.18	1.94
Nelson Co.	'14-15	4537	53	48	44	1	3	1.04	1.33	1.38	1.06
	'13-15	4527	46	42	38	3	1	0.89	4.23	0.51	0.93
Ohio Co.	'14-15	4043	12	11	11	0	0	.30	0	0	.27
	'13-15	4034	15	14	14	0	0	0.38	0	0	0.35
Owensboro	'14-15	4640	13	13	9	1	3	.30	.14	.32	.28
Ind.	'13-15	4582	30	28	18	8	2	0.6	1.13	0.23	0.61
Paducah	'14-15	2843	31	28	3	22	3	.26	1.78	.64	.98
Ind.	'13-15	2848	30	29	8	19	2	0.72	1.51	0.42	1.02
Perry Co.	'14-15	4004	4	4	4	0	0	.10	0	0	.10
Perry Co.	'13-15	4020	10	10	10	0	0	0.26	0	0	0.25
Rockcastle	'14-15	2783	2	2	2	0	0	.07	0	0	.07
Co.	'13-15	2794	3	3	3	0	0	0.11	0	0	0.11
Rowan Co.	'14-15	3115	49	45	44	1	0	1.52	1.85	0	1.44
Rowall Co.	'13-15	3200	46	44	41	2	1	1.37	3.7	0.63	1.38
Russell Co.	'14-15	2918	17	16	16	0	0	.61	0	0	.55
Russell Co.	'13-15	2937	7	6	5	0	1	0.18	0	0.5	0.2
Simpson	'14-15	2887	19	16	13	2	1	.56	.64	.39	.55
Co.	'13-15	2865	25	25	22	3	0	0.95	1	0	0.87
Spencer	'14-15	2811	8	6	6	0	0	.23	0	0	.21
Co.	'13-15	2800	11	11	10	0	1	0.39	0	0.49	0.39
Taylor Co	'14-15	2589	20	16	14	0	2	.59	0	1.30	.62
Taylor Co.	'13-15	2567	23	21	19	1	1	0.8	2.44	0.72	0.82
Wayne Co.	'14-15	3204	30	28	25	1	2	.86	1.20	.87	.87
wayne co.	'13-15	3173	29	29	27	2	0	0.93	2.53	0	0.91
Whitley	'14-15	4181	61	53	51	1	1	1.25	5.00	1.33	1.27
Co.	'13-15	4212	74	69	68	0	1	1.64	0	1.89	1.64
Woodford	'14-15	3951	31	26	23	0	3	.75	0	.41	.66
Co.	'13-15	3957	21	21	16	4	1	0.52	2.35	0.14	0.53

^{**}After thorough review of the Montgomery County Schools' data, it was determined that there were (51) Board Violations miscoded as "other." The deadline for the release of this report precludes correcting this error.

Table C3: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Enrollment of 5000 - 9999.

		Total	Number	Number							
District		Enroll-	of Violations	of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Number Other	Rate White	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide		ment	Violations	Violators	white	DIACK	Other	wille	DIACK	Other	Nate
Information	Year	640324	5687	5145	3556	1197	392	0.65	1.13	0.53	0.68
Clarietia e Ca	'14-1 5	8676	433	335	152	151	32	3.19	5.24	3.09	3.86
Christian Co.	′13-14	8640	271	217	117	88	12	2.41	3.1	1.27	2.51
Clault Ca	'14-15	5399	51	50	45	3	2	.98	.88	.42	.93
Clark Co.	′13-14	5417	18	18	16	1	1	0.35	0.29	0.23	0.33
Florid Co	'14-15	5902	24	24	23	0	1	.40	0	1.56	.41
Floyd Co.	′13-14	5963	28	26	25	0	1	0.42	0	1.96	0.44
Franklin Co.	'14-15	6139	41	39	21	7	11	.45	1.20	1.28	.64
Flankini Co.	′13-14	6053	54	47	27	11	9	0.58	1.9	1.1	0.78
Henderson	'14-15	7148	68	66	44	14	8	.75	2.23	1.17	.92
Co.	′13-14	7145	68	63	50	10	3	0.85	1.57	0.47	0.88
Hopkins Co.	'14-15	6774	23	21	12	7	2	.22	1.09	.31	.31
Hopkins Co.	′13-14	6853	19	19	14	3	2	0.25	0.44	0.33	0.28
Jessamine Co.	'14-15	7778	69	64	55	6	3	.84	1.33	.40	.82
Jessamme Co.	'13-14	7670	27	27	24	2	1	0.36	0.52	0.15	0.35
Laurel Co.	'14-15	9901	136	121	119	2	0	1.38	2.02	0	1.34
Laurer Co.	'13-14	9053	164	131	125	2	4	1.44	1.96	1.52	1.45
McCracken	'14-15	6712	57	56	44	5	7	.75	1.79	1.31	.83
Co.	'13-14	6662	28	28	27	1	0	0.46	0.37	0	0.42
Muhlenberg	'14-15	4938	31	31	27	3	1	.60	1.42	.40	.63
Co.	'13-14	5001	35	32	32	0	0	0.7	0	0	0.64
Pike Co.	'14-15	8716	15	14	14	0	0	.16	0	0	.16
TIKE CO.	'13-14	8982	33	31	30	0	1	0.34	0	0.93	0.35
Pulaski Co.	'14-15	7960	26	26	25	1	0	.33	.99	0	.33
T draski Co.	'13-14	7889	31	30	30	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.38
Scott Co.	'14-15	8579	74	65	44	13	8	.63	2.28	.75	.76
Scott Co.	'13-14	8453	83	76	59	9	8	0.85	1.63	0.86	0.9
Shelby Co.	'14-15	6752	58	51	27	10	14	.58	1.83	.92	.76
Difference.	'13-14	6787	63	56	37	10	9	0.78	1.72	0.63	0.83

Table C4: Frequency of Law Violations by Race for Districts with Enrollment of 10,000 and more.

District		Total Enroll- ment	Number of Violations	Number of Violators	Number White	Number Black	Number Other	Rate White	Rate Black	Rate Other	Total Rate
State-wide	.,										
Information	Year	640324	5687	5145	3556	1197	392	0.65	1.13	0.53	0.68
Boone Co.	'14-15	19891	251	221	169	17	35	1.02	2.20	1.37	1.11
	′13-14	19567	240	203	152	21	30	0.92	2.87	1.3	1.04
Bullitt Co.	'14-15	12874	107	102	92	2	8	.77	1.49	1.07	.79
Builtt Co.	′13-14	12871	84	76	73	1	2	0.6	0.79	0.3	0.59
Daviess Co.	'14-15	11015	65	63	50	8	5	.52	2.14	.46	.57
Daviess Co.	'13-14	10817	91	85	70	4	11	0.74	1.14	1.11	0.79
Esystta Co	'14-15	39185	331	302	114	130	58	.53	1.48	.64	.77
Fayette Co.	'13-14	38665	280	250	100	103	47	0.47	1.18	0.56	0.65
Hardin Co.	'14-15	13921	62	59	37	14	8	.38	.68	.36	.42
Haruin Co.	'13-14	13924	70	70	57	7	6	0.59	0.33	0.29	0.50
Jefferson Co.	'14-15	96094	1818	1572	527	930	115	1.15	2.68	.74	1.64
Jenerson Co.	'13-14	95794	1428	1291	476	723	92	1.01	2.09	0.65	1.35
Kenton Co.	'14-15	14054	94	90	77	6	7	.62	1.89	.55	.64
Kemon Co.	'13-14	14170	102	102	88	3	11	0.7	0.9	0.85	0.72
Madison Co.	'14-15	11402	37	35	28	1	6	.28	.21	.56	.31
Madison Co.	'13-14	11191	42	39	31	5	3	0.32	1.04	0.31	0.35
Oldborn Cs	'14-15	12008	93	86	66	9	11	.63	3.21	.89	.72
Oldham Co.	'13-14	12017	96	88	75	5	8	0.71	1.69	0.71	0.73
Warran Ca	'14-15	14293	169	154	121	15	18	1.16	1.28	.66	1.08
Warren Co.	'13-14	13968	121	113	85	16	12	0.82	1.44	0.49	0.81