


## **Kentucky Center for School Safety**

Kentucky 2002: Safe Schools Data Project



December 2002

## Kentucky 2002: Safe Schools Data Project

Report Prepared by:

Lynn McCoy-Simandle, Ph.D. Research Associate

David C. May, Ph.D. Research Fellow

## **Kentucky Center for School Safety**

Jon R. Akers Executive Director

Shannon Means Associate Director

Eastern Kentucky University 105 Stratton Building 521 Lancaster Avenue Richmond, KY 40475-3102

Toll Free 1-877-805-4277

www.kysafeschools.org



# **Table of Contents**

Introduction 1
Historical Perspective2
Data Collection – Year Four2
Section I – Using this Report 4
Section II – State Level Data 6
Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations8 Disciplinary Actions for Law Violations11
Section III – Regional Level Data21
Section IV – District Level Data25
Section V – Summary62

re Kentucky schools safe? Again this year this question remains a priority in the minds of parents and educators. Strange that this question would be so ever-present in the public's mind when only ten years ago it was generally accepted that schools were safe. Then came a string of highly publicized school shootings and everything changed. At the beginning of the 2001-2002 school year, the fear that had gripped our nation following the spate of school shootings began to be put into proper prospective; however, all that changed on September 11<sup>th</sup> 2001 when a terrorist attack stung the nation and plunged the public into an environment of fear when we began to ask, "Are we, as a nation, safe?"

As we again prepare to examine board policy and law violations that occur at school, on school property, or during school-sponsored events, keep in mind that questions about school safety cannot be answered unconditionally. Many factors have to be considered as they relate to school. For example, the physical structure of the school is one factor while the school's emotional climate is something totally different. Additionally, each factor has to be considered on a continuum from safe to unsafe and all points in between. Only through a comprehensive analysis of the numerous factors of what constitutes a safe school can we come close to understanding the safety of a school. Regardless of the current climate of your school there is always room for improvement.

As this fourth Safe Schools Data report is published, we urge schools and communities to use this data in conjunction with school officials to help make our communities safer – both in school and out-of-school.

he Kentucky Center for School Safety (KCSS) has reported discipline data from school districts since the Safe Schools Data Project began in 1998 as a pilot project. In the beginning, with partial funding from a federal grant, the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE) collaborated with the Research Triangle Institute (RTI) in North Carolina to design an electronic reporting system. Individual schools completed specific datagathering worksheets and then entered the data into a Web database managed by RTI. After arranging and sorting the data for interpretation



purposes, KCSS and KDE received the data for analysis and a report was issued. For the second year, 1999-2000, the data collection process remained essentially unchanged; however, additional attendance data were collected regarding suspensions and expulsions. KCSS contracted with R.E.A.C.H. of Louisville, Inc. to analyze, interpret, and write the project report. Three separate reports were issued organized around state, regional and district-level summaries. In the third year of the collection process as in previous years, data were entered into an electronic system operated by the Research Triangle Institute and each district confirmed electronic completion of the data. The data set was then sent to R.E.A.C.H. for entry into a statistical analysis program and then forwarded to KCSS for interpretation, analysis, and writing. The third report combined district, regional and state data into one report and was issued in March 2002.

n the fourth year of data collection, a major change occurred in the aforementioned procedures. School districts no longer enter data into the RTI system, but now electronically transport their data directly to KDE. (Jefferson County is the only district that did not use the STI software in 2001-2002, but will be using the same system as all other districts for the 2002-2003 reporting.) This change eliminates the additional



chance of human error when numbers are entered into one system and then re-entered into another. Once KDE staff receives all districts' data, printouts are sent to each district for a final verification of the data's accuracy. Following a final check of the data, superintendents return a signed verification sheet to KDE.

As in past years, the data are first reported for all students. Data for Caucasian and African-American students are then disaggregated when the number of African-

American students in the district is large enough to allow reporting without violating the Federal Educational Rights and Privacy Act. Asian American, Hispanic American, American Indian, and other racial groups are not reported since their numbers are so small in most Kentucky counties that privacy rules will be violated.

With data definitions remaining constant and with additional training support from KDE and KCSS, data quality has significantly improved over the course of the four years. However, areas that need additional consideration include:

- 1) **Board policy violation categories**. There is still variability across districts as to how they report an incident that is not included in the current list. For example, disturbance on a bus is often coded under class disturbance since that is the closest match from the list.
- 2) Alternative placements. An infraction that results in a suspension may also result in a recommendation for placement in an alternative setting. Consequently, reporting of the alternative placement for the same infraction that resulted in a suspension results in double counting the infraction. Therefore, alternative placements may be better described as an intervention than a disciplinary action.
- 3) <u>Unique identifier</u>. Currently, the data are reported by incident and disciplinary action. Assigning each Kentucky student a unique number that would move with them regardless of where they were enrolled would allow the data to be analyzed more comprehensively. For example, a unique identifier would allow the disaggregation of the data by placement in special education, mobility, low socio-economic, repeat offenders, limited English proficiency, etc.

KCSS has worked diligently over the past four years to provide a report that reflects as accurately as possible a picture of student behavior in Kentucky schools. Each year our confidence has grown in our data collection procedures and this year's report is reflective of the evolutionary process that has occurred.

he primary mission of schools is to promote learning for all students.

Without a safe and orderly learning environment, however, schools cannot

fulfill this mission. The major purpose of the Safe Schools Data Report is to provide educators with a powerful set of tools to promote a safe and healthy learning environment. Tracking school board policy and law violations is essential for assessing school discipline and climate needs and for monitoring the



effects of reform efforts. Discipline data can be used to determine the effectiveness of discipline practices and what discipline practices need to be modified or eliminated, what effective programs or practices need to be enhanced, and what specialized behavior supports need to be developed.

The 2001 Safe Schools Data Report provided districts and schools with basic steps for analyzing data. This year we encourage districts and schools to continue to examine their data closely and we offer the following as additional ways to look at their data.

- 1. Use the data collected for this report as a starting point for analysis not the end point. Along with suspension, expulsion and corporal punishment numbers, compile data for other discipline responses that occurred as a result of an office discipline referral, (e.g., in-school suspension, after-school or Saturday detention, parent behavioral conferences, loss of privileges)
- 2. Sort the data in several ways:
  - a. By month
  - b. By location
  - c. By time
  - d. By gender and ethnicity
  - e. By type, (e.g., what can be grouped as developmental issues, as teachable issues, as warning signals)
- 3. Depict the data graphically or pictorially.
  - a. Bar graphs can be especially useful in differentiating among variables such as type or month of disciplinary action. Offenders can also be graphed to examine recidivism rate.
  - b. Location can be also be mapped, i.e., using a map of the school, place a letter code at the location of each incident. (Using the list of law and board violations, create a legend for infractions).
- 4. Now that the data are sorted and graphed, prepare to analyze the data by posing several questions:
  - a. What are the highest infractions?
    - i. Where and when are they occurring?
  - b. What are your lowest infractions?
    - i. Where and when are they occurring?
  - c. Is there a difference between where and when the highest and lowest infractions are occurring?

- d. Who are your highest offenders?
  - i. Is there a common denominator among repeat offenders, type of infraction occurring most frequently, and location and time of most infractions?
- 5. Sort the data into four categories of intervention:
  - a. <u>Structural Changes</u>. What can be changed regarding the physical structure of the building and grounds that will reduce infractions? For example, can large bushes or trees that allow smokers to avoid observation by staff be trimmed or removed? Can a large playground area be divided to allow closer supervision by staff?
  - b. <u>Organization Changes</u>. What can be changed regarding the school's routines and procedures that will reduce infractions? For example, how can supervision be increased in areas with high infractions? How can class release times be staggered to reduce the number of students in a small hallway during class changes?
  - c. <u>Rule and Policy Changes</u>. What can be changed regarding the school's rules and regulations that will reduce infractions? For example, how can dress code violations be addressed with other interventions besides suspensions? How can policies that mandate automatic suspension be reserved for the most serious infractions like weapons and drug possession?
  - d. <u>Instructional Changes</u>. What can be changed regarding the school's curriculum that can reduce infractions? For example, if bullying behaviors are problematic, what curriculum supports can be put in place to help prevent bullying and change school norms? What school-wide rules and policies need to be implemented or revised? How are rules taught and practiced in the classroom?
- 6. Create a priority list of interventions.
- 7. Determine professional development needs for staff relating to interventions planned.
- 8. Establish a short-term and long-term evaluation plan.

Data should always be reviewed in context. For example, a school experiencing a suspension increase could be experiencing any or all of the following: (1) a rising level of student misbehavior and school disorder; (2) an administrative accentuation on commission of offenses; or (3) a building with physical plant or staffing problems. The context should drive the intervention plan.

he Safe Schools Data Project collects data from all Kentucky public schools regarding the commission of law violations and board violations that result in one of five disciplinary actions.


Kentucky's total population in public schools has been over 625,000 students for the duration of the data collection. Of that total, slightly less than 8% each year are offenders, i.e., students who commit an offense that results in an expulsion (with or without services), suspension, alternative

## Section II State Level Data

placement, or corporal punishment. Lesser disciplinary actions are not reported to the project, (e.g., parent conferences, detentions, in-school suspensions). In reviewing the upcoming data regarding commission of board and law violations, keep in mind that offenders comprise a very small percentage of the total number of students enrolled in Kentucky's public schools. To illustrate that point, **Chart 1** shows the number of offenders compared to total population.

Chart 1

Kentucky Enrollment Compared to Student Offenders 2001-2002





**Chart 2** illustrates the percentage of offenders by population group. White offenders comprise 84.9% of offenders, African-Americans 13.2%, and all other racial groups, 1.9%. Since Kentucky's student population is approximately 10% African-American, their representation in the offender group is slightly larger than their proportion in the general student population.

Chart 2

#### **Student Population by Ethnicity**

#### Offenders by Population Group






The term offender as used in Charts 1 and 2 refers to an individual student involved in committing an incident of prohibited behavior. An offender, however, may commit multiple offenses. Multiple infractions are included in disciplinary actions.

Disciplinary Actions for Violations of Board Policy


Chart 3 provides the total number of disciplinary actions for school board policy violations for the past three years. Disciplinary actions for the current year were 3.8% higher than the total for 2000-2001; however, '01-02 totals were 5.5% lower than the number reported in the '99-00 school year. While an increase does pose questions, fluctuations from year to year are not uncommon in the early stages of reporting.

#### Total Disciplinary Actions for Board Violations




A categorical break down of disciplinary actions for board policy violations is depicted in **Chart 4**. Five of the categories have shown a decrease since the 1999-2000 year. On the other hand, a steady increase is noted in fighting (up 12.2% from1999-2000) threat/intimidation (up 4.9%), defiance of authority (up 19.3%), and dangerous instruments (carrying or using) (up 18.9%). Since the increases are noted in the more serious board violations, this steady increase is troubling and suggests that individual schools and their districts should carefully examine factors that may be contributing to this increase. While it could be that these findings indicate that students are becoming more violent, other explanations also exist. Questions that need to be asked include: (1) Are districts less tolerant of behavior that is related to violence; (2) Are increased numbers of School Resource Officers (SROs) and/or increased supervision by staff bringing about more apprehensions for inappropriate behaviors. These are just a few of the questions that need to be examined in the discussion.

## Disciplinary Actions for Board Violations by Category




With regard to specific disciplinary actions, the results presented in **Chart 5** indicate that the number of out-of-school suspensions has increased each year of reporting. There were 13.0% more suspensions in 2001-2002 than the 1999-2000 school year. Deeper analysis into suspensions indicate that the two largest districts, Jefferson and Fayette, made substantial decreases in the number of total suspensions; thus, the increase in the state numbers are spread across the rest of the state. Expulsions, however, continued to decrease in number. The number of students expelled with services in '01-02 was less than half the number expelled in '99-00, (130 and 269 respectively). Expulsions without services continued to fall, but not as dramatically. This decrease in expulsions probably contributes partially to the increase in suspensions since infractions that may have resulted in an expulsion in earlier years are now resulting in suspensions instead. Nevertheless, it is incumbent upon schools and districts to make efforts to keep students in school as much as possible.

## **Board Policy Violations Comparison of Disciplinary Actions**



Disciplinary actions for board policy violations are disaggregated by gender and ethnicity in Chart 6. The results presented in Chart 6 indicate that the number of disciplinary actions for board violations increased in 2001-2002 for males (up 3.5% from 2002-2001), females (up 4.8%), and white, non-Hispanics (up 4.7%) while the number decreased for Black, non-Hispanics (3.2%). There was also a substantial increase (59%) in violations by all other ethnic groups, although the actual increase in violations is quite small when compared to whites and blacks. The Kentucky Department of Education reports that approximately 10.3% of Kentucky's students are African-American. While the proportion of African-American disciplinary actions for board violations dropped from 20.5% in 2000-2001 to 19.1% in 2001-2002, African-Americans are still over-represented in disciplinary actions. In comparison, the proportion of disciplinary actions among white students (approximately 86.6% of the population) increased slightly (from 78.2% of total disciplinary actions for board violations in 2000-2001 to 78.9% of the total in 2001-2002). An examination of the interaction between race and gender indicates that African-American females account for 24.0% of female board violations while African American males account for 17.5% of male violations indicating over-representation of African-Americans is more pronounced with females than males. (See Chart 12 in Appendix B.) The proportion of African-American offenders (13.2%) as shown in Chart 2 is only slightly higher than their proportion (10.3%) in the total student population. Thus, the overrepresentation of African-Americans in disciplinary actions is related to recidivism. Schools and districts should explore alternative strategies that will reduce the overrepresentation of African-Americans in disciplinary actions.

## Total Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations by Population Group



Disciplinary
Actions for
Law
Violations
in
Kentucky
Schools


he FBI Uniform Crime Reporting (UCR) Form was adopted at the beginning of this reporting process as a format for reporting law violations on school grounds or at school-related activities. Under this system, *Part I* crimes involve an illegal act directed against a person or property and are generally considered to be more serious offenses. *Part II* law violations may result in an arrest, but are considered less serious. Definitions of *Part I* and *Part II* crimes can be found in **Appendix A**. The following charts show the reported incidents of *Part I* and *Part II* law violations from 1999-2002.

Disciplinary actions for *Part I* law violations are depicted in **Chart 7**. Although the number of disciplinary actions for *Part 1* law violations decreased between 1999-

2000 and 2000-2001, the data reported for 2001-2002 reflects an increase of 22.0% over 2002-2001 and 13.0% over the 1999-2000 school year.

#### Total Disciplinary Actions for Part I Law Violations


Chart 7



Part I law violations relate to acts against a person or property and are generally considered to be more serious offenses. Refer to Appendix A for definitions of *Part I* and *Part II* law violations.

**Chart 8** shows the disciplinary actions for *Part I* law violations by category. There have been no reported incidents of homicide and forcible rape during the three years. A comparison of data from 1999-2000 and 20001-2002 reveals that larceny-theft decreased by 17.4% and motor vehicle theft decreased by 81.8% while burglary and robbery increased by 95.3% and 57.7% respectively. Arson also showed an increase of 34.4%. Disciplinary actions for aggravated assaults increased by 71% in 2001-2002 over the 1999-2000 year. Since an assault is directed towards a person not property, this increase is most troublesome and suggests a pressing need for districts to scrutinize this increase.


## Disciplinary Actions for Part I Law Violations by Category



The results presented in **Chart 9** depict an increase of 8.6% in disciplinary actions for Part II law violations from 1999-2000 to 2001-2002. This steady increase suggests that a deeper examination of the cause of these violations is needed at the local level.


#### Total Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations


Chart 9




Part II law violations may result in an arrest, but are considered to be less serious than Part I crimes. Refer to Appendix A for definitions of Part I and Part II law violations.

Disciplinary actions for *Part II* law violations by category are depicted in **Charts 10** and **11**. Although drunkenness and runaways decreased by 21.1% and 75.5% respectively, most categories have been up and down over the three years. This is particularly evident in the weapon offenses. While the number of Weapon A- handgun and Weapon-C other firearm violations increased, the number of Weapon D – all other weapons decreased. Adding all weapons together, there has been a 20.3% decrease in total weapons. This uneven pattern may indicate that there is still some inconsistency in categorization of weapons by school administrators. On the other hand, disciplinary actions for drug abuse violations have shown a steady increase with this year's data showing a 49.9% increase over 1999-2000 totals. Increased enforcement and consistency in administrative responses may partially contribute to the increase; however, this distressing inclination suggests that all educators, parents and community members need to work together to stem this tide.






Overall, disciplinary actions relating to law violations have shown a steady increase as illustrated in **Chart 12**. From the 1999-2000 school year until the 2001-2002 school year, there was a 9.1% increase in disciplinary actions for *Part I* and *Part II* law violations combined. Can any of this be attributed to an additional alertness following the tragedy of September 11<sup>th</sup>? Or is this the normal fluctuations incurred when data reporting is in the early stages and the process is still being honed? Additional time and analysis is necessary to determine cause and effect; however, the upward trend is still cause for additional questioning.




Disciplinary actions for *Part I* and *Part II* law violations are reported by ethnicity and gender in **Charts 13** and **14**. Here we see that representation of African-Americans in disciplinary actions for *Part I* and *Part II* law violations for 2002-2002 is 36.4% and 22.7% respectively. In Kentucky schools, African-Americans students are 10.3% of the total population. Consequently, African-Americans receive disciplinary actions for *Part I* law violations at nearly three times the rate expected from their representation in the student population and at twice the expected rate for *Part II* law violations. This trend follows national trends, as the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) <u>Crime in the United States - 2001</u> reveals that more than twice as many African-American juveniles are arrested as should be proportionate to the population. These findings suggest a national problem as well as a state one and suggest the need for further exploration at all levels. As noted in **Chart 2**, African-American offenders represent 13% of the total offenders. Since the offender number refers to a single count of individuals not to multiple offenses, the overrepresentation of African-Americans is related to recidivism.

## Total Disciplinary Actions for Part I Law Violations by Gender and Ethnicity




## Total Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations by Gender and Ethnicity




Disciplinary actions for all law violations are depicted in **Chart 15**. As seen with board violations, expulsions with and without services have declined since the 1999-2000 school year, but suspensions have seen a steady increase (23.1%) from 1999-2000 to 2001-2002.





The concern over rising suspensions is magnified when considering the number of instructional days lost in the state of Kentucky to suspensions (See **Chart 16** below). The number of suspension days increased 9% in 2001-2002 over the 2000-2001 school year; however, this 9% converts to a total days lost of 16,797. With mounting concern over academic achievement, all schools must make every effort feasible to reduce the time out-of-school due to suspensions.




entucky's school districts are divided into eight service regions (Map 1) by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE) as shown in the map below. This section of the report will disaggregate the school safety data by these geographical regions to examine regional differences in disciplinary actions of board policy and law violations.

## Section III Regional Level Data


#### Kentucky Service Center Regions Enrollment Percentage African-Amer. 1. West 69,413 12.2 2. Southwest 96,649 7.3 91,074 33.9 3. Jefferson Co. 4. North 91,123 3.4 5. Central 97,722 12.0 6. South Central 85,393 2.1 7. Northeast 52,411 1.6 8. Southeast 41,898 .7

Map 1




To facilitate comparisons between regions, the standardized measurement of rate per 100 is used on the following maps. The rate listed indicated how many incidents of disciplinary actions occurred in relation to every 100 students in the region.

Map 2 shows the regional rate of disciplinary actions for board policy violations for all students. Jefferson County reports the lowest rate, 8.30 per 100, and the central region the highest, 16.19.




Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations 2001-2002 – All Students

**Disciplinary actions for law violations** are shown on **Map 3**. The Southwest region reports the lowest rate at .71 per 100 and Jefferson County at 2.88 reports the highest rate.




Disciplinary Actions for Law Violations 2001-2002 – All Students

Map 4 displays the disciplinary actions for white students for board violations. Jefferson County reports the lowest rate, 5.42 per 100, for disciplinary actions for board violations while the south central region reports the highest rate, 14.25.




Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations 2001-2002 – White Students

The lowest rate of **disciplinary actions of white students for law violations** (**Map 5**) is reported by the Southwest region, .69 per 100, while the highest rate is reported by Jefferson County, 2.17; however, note that the difference between the highest rate and the lowest rate is little more than one student per hundred.




Disciplinary Actions for Law Violations 2001-2002 – White Students

Jefferson County reports the lowest rate of disciplinary actions for African-American students for board policy violations, 14.20, while the west region reports the highest rate of 43.26 per 100. (Map 6).



Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations 2001-2002 – African-American Students

The southeast region reports the lowest rate, .96, of **disciplinary actions for African-American students for law violations** while Jefferson County has the highest rate at 4.40 per 100 as shown in **Map 7**.



Disciplinary Actions for Law Violations 2001-2002 – African-American Students

istricts are encouraged to examine their data over the three years of reporting.

Additionally, districts are encouraged to make comparisons to other districts of similar size, to their region, and to the state. Building level data should make similar comparisons with other schools in their district as well as comparisons to their district, region and the state. Data should be reviewed in context to understand the full meaning of the data and to avoid misinterpretation.

# Section IV District Level Data

Occurrence of School District Disciplinary Consequences for Law and Board Violations

actions for law and board violations for each Kentucky school district from the 1999-2000 school year until the 2001-2002 school year. County districts (in bold type) are organized alphabetically with independent school districts shown beneath the county school district they adjoin. The data in this table represent the raw number of reported disciplinary consequences associated with specific incidents. Attendance numbers collected by the Kentucky Department of Education (KDE) are shown in the enrollment column. Membership data are collected once yearly and is the most accurate snapshot of a district's total number of students.

Note: Local school boards are responsible for determining what disciplinary consequences are permissible in their schools. Some school boards have enacted policies prohibiting the use of corporal punishment; therefore, the data contained in the following table under corporal punishment reflects only those districts where it is allowed.

Table 1

## District Totals – Disciplinary Consequences of Law and Board Violations 1999-2002

(School Districts Grouped by County Alphabetically with Independent Districts Listed Below Their County)

- 1= Expulsions with educational services
- 2= Expulsions without educational services
- 3= Suspensions
- **4= Alternative Placements**
- **5= Corporal Punishment**

L = Law violations B = Board violations

5- Corporal Punishment				Law \	/iolatio	ns		<b>Board Violations</b>					
School District Name	Year	Enroll.	L1	L2	L3	L4	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5		
ADAIR CO	'01-02	2582	0	0	21	3	1	0	465	6	0		
	'00-01	2567	0	0	8	1	0	0	374	1	3		
	'99-00	2516	0	0	30	5	0	1	282	9	0		
	'01-02	2980	1	1	29	2	6	0	273	6	18		
ALLEN CO	'00-01	2954	3	1	19	9	9	1	167	27	19		
	'99-00	3007	8	0	0	10	10	0	215	11	2		
	'01-02	3486	5	1	10	0	0	0	466	0	0		
ANDERSON CO	'00-01	3454	2	0	10	0	0	0	143	4	0		
	'99-00	3400	5	3	2	0	0	0	257	0	0		
	'01-02	1342	3	0	2	0	4	1	107	27	0		
BALLARD CO	'00-01	1390	3	0	0	10	0	0	80	12	0		
	'99-00	1398	42	0	67	28	24	0	104	36	0		
	'01-02	3975	0	1	73	0	0	0	420	8	0		
BARREN CO	'00-01	3874	0	0	32	0	0	0	354	2	0		
	'99-00	3761	0	0	18	5	0	0	277	4	0		
	'01-02	752	0	0	0	0	0	0	74	0	0		
CAVERNA IND	'00-01	803	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	'99-00	863	7	0	0	0	0	0	97	0	0		
	'01-02	1971	0	0	6	1	0	0	69	4	0		
GLASGOW IND	'00-01	2024	0	0	3	1	0	0	89	9	0		
	'99-00	2081	0	0	5	0	0	0	91	2	0		
	'01-02	1938	4	0	14	2	0	0	255	1	62		
BATH CO	'00-01	1898	0	0	16	0	0	1	345	0	107		
	'99-00	1876	0	1	36	18	0	0	227	18	21		
	'01-02	3055	0	1	46	0	1	0	221	0	307		
BELL CO	'00-01	3088	0	0	0	27	1	0	225	12	493		
	'99-00	3119	1	0	7	17	0	0	244	7	422		
MIDDLESBORO IND	'01-02	1753	0	0	7	2	0	0	538	83	0		
	'00-01	1727	0	0	4	1	0	0	247	22	0		
	'99-00	1766	0	0	1	0	0	0	446	11	0		
PINEVILLE IND	'01-02	572	0	0	6	0	0	0	53	0	0		
	'00-01	578	0	0	1	0	0	0	55	0	0		
	'99-00	629	0	0	1	0	0	0	27	90	0		
	'01-02	13545	0	1	159	0	0	0	1206	0	0		
BOONE CO	'00-01	13195	0	0	107	0	0	*0	1495	0	0		
*Corrected Data	'99-00	12791	0	0	155	0	0	0	1021	0	0		

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected Data

Law Violations Board Violations

			Board Violations								
School District Name	Year	Enroll.	L1	L2	L3	L4	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5
WALTON VERONA IND	'01-02	1037	0	0	2	0	0	0	100	0	0
	'00-01	1004	0	0	2	1	0	0	123	0	0
	'99-00	976	0	0	3	3	0	0	121	0	0
	'01-02	2742	9	0	17	34	0	0	65	31	0
BOURBON CO	'00-01	2717	15	0	1	89	0	0	9	39	0
	<b>'99-00</b>	2718	14	0	0	32	0	0	7	69	0
	'01-02	665	0	0	7	0	0	0	80	0	0
PARIS IND	'00-01	656	0	0	4	0	0	0	39	1	0
	<b>'99-00</b>	679	0	0	3	2	0	0	63	2	0
	'01-02	3436	0	0	85	1	0	0	101	0	0
BOYD CO	'00-01	3435	5	0	36	0	2	1	109	294	0
	<b>'99-00</b>	3488	0	0	44	2	0	0	135	436	0
	'01-02	3241	2	1	39	0	0	0	241	0	0
ASHLAND IND	'00-01	3306	0	0	24	1	0	0	192	0	0
	<b>'99-00</b>	3345	0	0	28	3	1	0	194	18	0
	'01-02	638	0	0	4	0	0	0	11	0	6
FAIRVIEW IND	'00-01	657	0	2	7	0	0	0	18	0	0
	<b>'99-00</b>	654	0	1	4	0	0	0	44	0	0
	'01-02	2711	0	0	29	0	0	0	41	0	0
BOYLE CO	'00-01	2699	10	0	17	0	0	0	39	2	0
	<b>'99-00</b>	2668	0	2	16	2	0	0	83	9	0
	'01-02	1816	4	0	3	0	0	0	218	1	0
DANVILLE IND	'00-01	1793	2	0	5	0	0	0	223	18	0
	'99-00	1766	7	2	1	5	1	0	237	0	0
	'01-02	1216	0	0	8	3	0	0	127	16	0
BRACKEN CO	'00-01	1192	0	0	3	2	0	0	86	10	0
	'99-00	1175	4	0	9	6	0	0	92	0	0
	'01-02	267	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0
AUGUSTA IND	'00-01	283	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	3	0
	'99-00	286	0	0	0	7	2	0	18	3	0
	'01-02	2215	0	0	32	3	0	0	438	31	2
BREATHITT CO	'00-01	2302	10	0	17	1	10	6	171	12	4
	'99-00	2418	7	1	19	7	1	1	840	179	30
	'01-02	498	0	0	16	0	0	0	21	0	0
JACKSON IND	'00-01	458	0	0	1	1	0	0	35	0	0
	'99-00	423	1	2	3	0	0	0	41	0	0
BRECKINRIDGE CO	'01-02	2697	5	0	3	0	2	0	201	0	42
	'00-01	2693	2	0	1	2	0	0	253	15	88
	'99-00	2699	6	0	0	1	0	0	208	201	111
	'01-02	273	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0
CLOVERPORT IND	'00-01	287	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	0
	'99-00	303	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	6	0

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected Data

			L	aw Vi	olation	S					
<b>School District Name</b>	Year	Enroll	L1	L2	L3	L4	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5
BULLITT CO	'01-02	10801	19	4	78	0	11	1	949	0	0
	'00-01	10625	22	1	61	3	1	1	962	10	0
	'99-00	10657	18	5	59	3	6	1	823	192	0
	'01-02	2226	1	0	23	0	0	0	253	0	51
BUTLER CO	'00-01	2261	0	0	29	11	0	0	237	11	37
	'99-00	2239	0	0	20	6	0	0	207	2	28
	'01-02	1986	0	0	35	0	1	0	399	0	103
CALDWELL CO	'00-01	1998	0	1	8	3	0	1	333	3	74
	'99-00	2058	0	0	56	0	0	0	250	4	63
	'01-02	2937	0	0	42	0	0	0	252	3	1
CALLOWAY CO	'00-01	3023	0	0	23	1	0	0	217	6	0
	'99-00	3065	0	0	36	0	0	0	369	4	0
	'01-02	1559	0	0	9	2	0	0	146	3	0
MURRAY IND	'00-01	1490	5	0	9	6	0	0	86	0	0
	'99-00	1401	0	0	17	0	0	0	8	0	0
	'01-02	4618	1	5	116	0	2	0	664	0	0
CAMPBELL CO	'00-01	4675	1	2	51	1	0	0	686	0	0
	'99-00	4684	12	1	79	7	1	0	617	20	0
BELLEVUE IND	'01-02	887	3	0	5	0	0	0	97	0	0
	'00-01	903	0	0	8	0	0	0	81	1	0
	'99-00	910	0	0	5	0	0	0	77	0	0
	'01-02	1100	0	0	3	0	0	0	285	0	0
DAYTON IND	'00-01	1108	0	0	0	0	0	1	305	0	0
	'99-00	1153	0	0	2	0	0	0	126	0	0
	'01-02	2298	0	0	6	0	0	0	64	0	0
FORT THOMAS IND	'00-01	2327	0	0	4	0	0	0	52	1	0
	'99-00	2323	0	0	2	0	0	0	85	3	0
	'01-02	2579	0	0	62	0	0	0	912	0	0
NEWPORT IND	'00-01	2593	0	0	45	0	0	0	745	0	0
	'99-00	2641	0	0	16	0	0	0	522	0	0
	'01-02	278	0	0	2	0	0	0	102	0	0
SILVER GROVE IND	'00-01	261	0	0	0	0	0	0	142	0	0
	'99-00	254	0	0	0	0	0	0	22	0	0
SOUTHGATE IND	'01-02	190	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
	'00-01	201	0	0	1	0	0	0	25	0	0
	'99-00	193	0	0	0	0	0	0	36	4	0
	'01-02	841	0	0	1	1	0	0	60	23	7
CARLISLE CO	'00-01	855	1	0	0	0	0	0	30	38	7
	'99-00	856	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	28	37
	'01-02	1791	2	0	23	0	0	0	279	0	0
CARROLL CO	'00-01	1764	0	0	39	6	0	0	436	4	0
	'99-00	1780	0	0	39	5	0	0	495	21	0

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected Data

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected Data

			L	_aw V	iolatio:	าร	<b>Board Violations</b>				
School District Name	Year	Enroll	L1	L2	L3	L4	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5
FLEMING CO	'01-02	2439	0	2	11	0	0	1	424	1	54
	'00-01	2413	0	1	25	4	0	0	278	5	105
	'99-00	2419	0	0	5	12	0	0	122	2	36
	'01-02	6979	1	0	69	0	0	1	1085	0	205
FLOYD CO	'00-01	7144	3	1	98	2	3	0	1221	5	147
	'99-00	7276	0	4	79	6	0	0	1197	1597	279
	'01-02	5810	1	0	80	0	0	0	1950	0	0
FRANKLIN CO	'00-01	5804	0	0	74	*4	0	0	1525	0	0
	'99-00	5840	0	5	116	1	0	0	1370	0	0
	'01-02	903	0	0	15	0	0	0	183	0	0
FRANKFORT IND	'00-01	928	1	0	56	8	0	0	254	0	0
	'99-00	916	0	0	17	3	0	0	248	2	0
	'01-02	789	0	0	3	0	6	0	98	7	61
FULTON CO	'00-01	791	1	0	0	0	8	3	212	0	149
	'99-00	843	0	2	1	0	14	0	364	0	183
	'01-02	513	0	0	0	0	0	0	108	73	14
FULTON IND	'00-01	497	2	0	0	0	1	0	66	*363	11
	'99-00	484	0	0	0	4	0	0	164	19	35
	'01-02	1458	0	0	8	0	0	0	683	0	0
GALLATIN CO	'00-01	1472	0	0	27	3	0	0	369	0	0
	'99-00	1449	1	0	18	0	6	0	207	0	0
	'01-02	2455	1	0	23	0	4	0	303	0	0
GARRARD CO	'00-01	2396	1	0	21	1	1	0	484	7	0
	'99-00	2329	0	0	20	0	0	0	521	0	0
	'01-02	3663	0	0	44	0	0	0	498	0	0
GRANT CO	'00-01	3645	1	0	33	0	0	0	590	0	0
	'99-00	3558	0	0	36	10	0	0	521	2	0
	'01-02	745	0	0	6	0	0	0	40	0	0
WILLIAMSTOWN IND	'00-01	700	0	0	6	0	0	0	34	0	0
	'99-00	704	0	0	10	0	0	0	36	0	0
	'01-02	4364	0	0	4	0	0	0	201	6	6
GRAVES CO	'00-01	4344	0	0	13	0	0	0	106	13	25
	'99-00	4404	0	0	0	0	0	1	237	0	35
MAYFIELD IND	'01-02	1416	0	0	3	0	3	0	59	25	15
	'00-01	1412	1	0	1	1	2	1	84	41	3
	'99-00	1392	3	0	0	0	1	1	78	13	2
	'01-02	4086	0	0	37	0	0	0	262	4	90
GRAYSON CO	'00-01	4084	0	0	88	15	0	0	268	35	45
	'99-00	4106	0	0	37	7	0	0	437	1066	47
	'01-02	1704	1	0	7	2	1	0	98	1	0
GREEN CO	'00-01	1684	2	0	6	3	0	0	77	0	0
	'99-00	1706	2	0	0	1	0	0	50	0	0

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected Data

**Law Violations Board Violations School District Name** Year Enroll. L1 L2 L3 L4 В1 **B2 B3 B4 B5** 01-02 **WASHINGTON CO** '00-01 **'99-00** 01-02 WAYNE CO 00-01 99-00 '01-02 MONTICELLO IND 00-01 99-00 '01-02 PROVIDENCE IND '00-01 99-00 01-02 WEBSTER CO 00-01 99-00 01-02 WHITLEY CO '00-01 **'99-00** 01-02 '00-01 CORBIN IND <sup>'</sup>99-00 01-02 WILLIAMSBURG IND '00-01 **'99-00** 01-02 **WOLFE CO** '00-01 99-00 01-02 WOODFORD CO 00-01 **'99-00** 

<sup>\*</sup>Corrected Data

## Standard Scale of Measurement

he following tables utilize a standard scale of measurement, rate per 100, to facilitate comparison between districts of dissimilar sizes. Therefore, the rate listed indicates how many incidents occurred in relation to every 100 students in the given districts. Districts are grouped by size to allow for more meaningful comparisons and due to the small number of expulsions across the state, expulsions with and without services are combined in these tables. District rates for

disciplinary actions for all students are shown in **Table 2**. The same data are shown in **Tables 3** and **4**, but have been disaggregated to show the rates for white and African-American students.

Note: A relatively small number of incidents can have a more dramatic effect on the rate per 100 in very small districts and in districts with a small number of African-Americans which may distort interpretation.

Districts <u>not found</u> in **Tables 3** and **4** were not reported at the district level because the number of African-American students was so small that reporting the numbers might make them "personally identifiable" in violation of federal privacy laws (Federal Educational Rights and Privacy Act, FERPA). Because their numbers were so small in most counties the concern for violating the privacy laws also results in the omission entirely of Asian, Hispanic, American Indian and other racial groups from the tables.

1. Expulsion with educational services

- 2. Expulsion without educational services
- 3. Suspension
- 4. Alternative placement
- 5. Corporal punishment

## 2000-2002 Disciplinary Consequence Rates for Law and Board Violations

Table 2

School Districts with Fewer than 2,500 Students
Rate per 100 Students

L = Law violations B = Board violations

Law Violations	<b>Board Violations</b>
----------------	-------------------------

District Name	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Anchorage Ind.	'01-02	437	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
	'00-01	436	.00	.00	.00	.00	.0	.0	.0
Augusta Ind.	'01-02	267	.00	.00	.00	.00	4.49	.00	.00
	'00-01	351	.00	.00	.00	.00	6.3	.9	.0
Ballard Co.	'01-02	1342	.22	.15	.00	.37	7.97	2.01	.00
	'00-01	1359	.20	.00	.70	.00	5.9	.9	.0
Barbourville Ind.	'01-02	687	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.15	.00
	'00-01	675	.00	.30	.00	.00	1.3	.1	.0
Bardstown Ind.	'01-02	1925	.00	.47	.00	.00	23.22	.00	.00
	'00-01	1864	00	.00	.00	.00	29.5	.0	.0
Bath Co.	'01-02	1938	.21	.72	.10	.00	.13	.05	3.20
	'00-01	1895	.00	.80	.00	.05	18.2	.0	5.6
Beechwood Ind.	'01-02	1006	.00	.80	.00	.00	2.88	.00	.00
	'00-01	998	.00	.20	.00	.00	3.3	.0	.0
Bellevue Ind.	'01-02	887	.34	.56	.00	.00	10.94	.00	.00
	'00-01	894	.00	.90	.00	.00	9.1	.1	.0
Berea Ind.	'01-02	1062	.00	.09	.00	.00	6.03	.66	.00
	'00-01	1033	.00	.60	.00	.00	7.6	.5	.0
Bracken Co.	'01-02	1216	.00	.66	.25	.00	10.44	1.32	.00
	'00-01	1184	.00	.30	.20	.00	7.3	.8	.0
Breathitt Co.	'01-02	2215	.00	1.44	.14	.00	19.77	1.40	.09
	'00-01	2193	.46	.80	.00	.73	7.8	.5	.2
Burgin Ind.	'01-02	383	.26	1.04	.00	.00	13.05	.00	.00
	'00-01	376	.80	.80	.30	1.30	1.3	.0	.0
Butler Co.	'01-02	2226	.04	1.03	.00	.00	11.37	.00	2.30
	'00-01	2173	.00	1.30	.50	.00	10.9	.5	1.7
Caldwell Co.	'01-02	1986	.00	1.76	.00	.05	20.09	.00	5.19
	'00-01	1995	.05	.40	.20	.05	16.7	.2	3.7
Campbellsville Ind	'01-02	1245	.00	.48	.00	.16	9.40	.08	.16
	'00-01	1229	.00	1.40	.30	.00	6.0	.9	4.3
Carlisle Co.	'01-02	841	.00	.12	.12	.00	7.13	2.73	.83
	'00-01	857	.12	.00	.00	.00	3.5	4.4	.8
Carroll Co.	'01-02	1791	.24	1.28	.00	.00	15.58	.00	.00
	'00-01	1729	.00	2.30	.30	.00	25.2	.2	.0

Law Violations

**Board Violations** 

				Violati	••••		Doar	u Viola	
District Name	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Casey Co.	'01-02	2390	.00	1.00	.00	.00	13.35	.00	.00
	'00-01	2336	.00	.10	.00	.00	9.6	.0	.0
Caverna Ind.	'01-02	752	.00	.00	.00	.00	9.84	.00	.00
	'00-01	779	.00	.00	.00	.00	9.6	.0	.0
Clinton Co.	'01-02	1502	.00	1.73	.00	.00	10.59	.13	9.25
	'00-01	1453	.41	.40	.00	.14	8.1	.3	5.2
Cloverport Ind.	'01-02	273	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.83	.00	.00
	'00-01	276	.00	.00	.00	.00	.0	21.7	.0
Corbin Ind.	'01-02	2048	.00	.88	.00	.00	2.34	.05	.00
	'00-01	1996	.00	.00	.00	.00	3.3	.1	.0
Crittenden Co.	'01-02	1343	.15	.07	.00	.07	3.57	.00	11.32
	'00-01	1371	1.09	.60	.00	.00	1.6	.0	.0
Cumberland Co.	'01-02	1157	.09	.26	.00	.00	12.53	1.21	.00
	'00-01	1149	.00	.00	.10	.17	20.9	2.0	.3
Danville Ind.	'01-02	1816	.22	.17	.00	.00	12.00	.06	.00
	'00-01	1793	.11	.30	.00	.00	12.4	1.0	.0
Dawson Springs	'01-02	710	.00	1.27	.00	.00	5.63	.85	.00
Ind.	'00-01	701	.14	.90	.10	.00	10.4	1.7	.0
Dayton Ind.	'01-02	1100	.00	.27	.00	.00	25.91	.00	.00
	'00-01	1110	.00	.00	.00	.09	27.5	.0	.0
East Bernstadt Ind.	'01-02	480	.00	.00	.00	.00	5.83	.00	.00
	'00-01	473	.00	.00	.00	.00	3.6	13.7	.0
Edmonson Co.	'01-02	1956	.72	.77	.00	.10	1.79	.66	.51
	'00-01	1910	.05	.30	.30	.00	3.6	.1	1.6
Elizabethtown Ind.	'01-02	2199	.18	.09	.00	.18	10.69	.55	.00
	'00-01	2139	.19	.00	.00	.00	10.6	.7	.0
Elliott Co.	'01-02	1194	.34	.42	.00	.08	35.09	.08	3.10
	'00-01	1183	.59	1.00	.00	.00	19.4	.0	1.8
Eminence Ind.	'01-02	498	.00	.20	.00	.40	.60	.00	.00
	'00-01	481	.00	.20	.00	.21	5.6	.8	.0
Erlanger-Elsmere	'01-02	2138	.33	.98	.05	.05	15.58	.05	.00
	'00-01	2107	.14	.00	.00	.00	20.8	.0	.0
Fairview Ind.	'01-02	638	.00	.63	.00	.00	1.72	.00	.94
	'00-01	648	.31	1.10	.00	.00	2.8	.0	.0
Fleming Co.	'01-02	2439	.08	.45	.00	.04	17.38	.04	2.21
	'00-01	2369	.04	1.10	.20	.00	11.7	.2	4.4
Fort Thomas Ind.	'01-02	2298	.00	.26	.00	.00	2.79	.00	.00
	'00-01	2322	.00	.20	.00	.00	2.2	.0	.0

			Law	Violati	ons	E	Board V	iolations	}
District Name	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Frankfort Ind.	'01-02	903	.00	1.66	.00	.00	20.27	.00	.00
	'00-01	893	.11	6.3	.9	.00	28.4	.0	.0
Fulton Co.	'01-02	789	.00	.38	.00	.76	12.42	.89	7.73
	'00-01	767	.13	.0	.0	1.4	27.6	.0	19.4
Fulton Ind.	'01-02	513	.00	.00	.00	.00	21.05	14.23	2.73
	'00-01	513	.39	.0	.0	.19	12.9	70.8	2.1
Gallatin Co.	'01-02	1458	.00	.55	.00	.00	46.84	.00	.00
	'00-01	1398	.00	1.9	.2	.00	26.4	.0	.0
Garrard Co.	'01-02	2455	.04	.94	.00	.16	8.43	.00	.00
	'00-01	2381	.04	.9	.0	.04	20.3	.3	.0
Glasgow Ind.	'01-02	1971	.00	.30	.05	.00	3.50	.20	.00
	'00-01	2002	.00	.1	.0	.00	4.4	.4	.0
Green Co.	'01-02	1704	.06	.41	.12	.06	5.75	.06	.00
	'00-01	1690	.12	.4	.2	.00	4.6	.0	.0
Hancock Co.	'01-02	1572	.06	.13	.00	.00	5.73	.00	.00
	'00-01	1527	.07	.0	.1	.26	5.4	12.6	.0
Harlan Ind.	'01-02	888	.11	1.80	.00	.00	36.04	.00	.00
	'00-01	862	.00	2.4	.5	.00	37.2	.0	.0
Harrodsburg Ind.	'01-02	931	.00	.75	.00	.00	16.00	.00	.32
	'00-01	910	.00	1.3	.0	.00	10.7	.0	1.1
Hart Co.	'01-02	2327	.00	.09	.00	.00	10.44	.21	1.80
	'00-01	2226	.00	.0	.1	.00	6.0	1.5	1.6
Hazard Ind.	'01-02	1025	.00	.78	.00	.00	4.68	.00	10.73
	'00-01	994	.00	1.2	.0	.00	5.0	.0	5.4
Henry Co.	'01-02	2082	.29	1.01	.00	.00	7.25	.00	.00
	'00-01	2084	.24	.9	.0	.00	5.7	.2	.0
Hickman Co.	'01-02	783	.00	.13	.00	.00	3.19	2.43	1.40
	'00-01	784	.00	.1	.0	.00	2.2	4.1	1.7
Jackson Co.	'01-02	2342	.00	.00	.47	.00	1.89	1.11	.00
	'00-01	2295	.09	.3	.4	.22	6.5	.9	.0
Jackson Ind.	'01-02	498	.00	3.21	.00	.00	4.21	.00	.00
	'00-01	464	.00	.2	.2	.00	7.5	.0	.0
Jenkins Ind.	'01-02	515	.00	.00	.00	.19	30.68	.00	.00
	'00-01	529	.00	.0	.2	.00	28.7	.0	.0
LaRue Co.	'01-02	2342	.04	.43	.04	.09	6.79	.04	.00
	'00-01	2303	.04	.0	.0	.00	8.4	.0	.5
Lee Co.	'01-02	1321	.00	.83	.00	.00	18.17	.00	.00
	'00-01	1323	.08	3.2	.0	.00	20.8	.0	.0
Leslie Co.	'01-02	2180	.00	.23	.00	.00	2.89	.00	.00
	'00-01	2183	.05	.3	1.1	.00	1.8	1.6	.3
Lewis Co.	'01-02	2409	.00	2.37	.00	.00	27.90	.00	.71
	'00-01	2407	.00	.5	.0	.00	20.6	2.4	2.0
Livingston Co.	'01-02	1431	.00	.84	.00	.00	8.94	.28	.00
	'00-01	1413	.00	.0	.1	.00	7.2	1.2	.0

			<u>Law</u>	Violati	ons	E	Board V	olations	
District Name	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Ludlow Ind.	'01-02	1034	.00	.77	.00	.00	9.19	.00	.00
	'00-01	1010	.00	.0	.2	.00	8.5	.1	.0
Lyon Co.	'01-02	992	.00	.00	.00	.00	2.82	.00	.00
	'00-01	1001	.00	0.	.8	.00	7.7	2.4	.0
Mayfield Ind.	'01-02	1416	.00	.21	.00	.21	4.17	1.77	1.06
	'00-01	1412	.07	.1	.1	.22	6.1	3.0	.2
McLean Co.	'01-02	1597	.00	.56	.00	.00	.31	.00	.00
	'00-01	1564	.13	.3	.0	.00	2.4	.0	.0
Menifee Co.	'01-02	1121	.18	1.87	.62	.09	13.74	.54	.00
	'00-01	1097	.00	.0	.0	.18	16.1	19.1	.0
Mercer Co.	'01-02	2139	.14	.47	.00	.00	5.19	.00	.00
	'00-01	2153	.00	.3	.0	.00	5.2	.6	.0
Metcalfe Co.	'01-02	1551	.00	.97	.06	.06	15.73	.06	.00
	'00-01	1564	.00	.4	.3	.00	12.7	.8	.0
Middlesboro Ind.	'01-02	1753	.00	.40	.11	.00	30.69	4.73	.00
	'00-01	1629	.00	.2	.1	.00	15.2	1.4	.0
Monroe Co.	'01-02	2005	.05	.45	.05	.00	3.99	4.44	1.75
	'00-01	1973	.00	.1	.0	.00	1.7	.6	.9
Monticello Ind.	'01-02	814	.00	.37	.00	.00	7.62	.00	2.95
	'00-01	826	.00	1.0	.0	.00	8.1	4.5	6.2
Morgan Co.	'01-02	2238	.00	.31	.00	.00	5.63	.00	4.47
	'00-01	2224	.00	.2	.0	.00	5.8	.0	3.1
Murray Ind.	'01-02	1559	.00	.58	.13	.00	9.36	.19	.00
	'00-01	1475	.34	.6	.4	.00	5.8	.0	.0
Nicholas Co.	'01-02	1132	.09	1.94	.18	.00	10.07	.09	.00
	'00-01	1146	.09	1.1	.0	.09	5.7	.3	.0
Owen Co.	'01-02	1862	.11	.86	.05	.00	11.98	.11	.00
	'00-01	1829	.11	1.5	.0	.00	7.7	.1	.0
Owsley Co.	'01-02	891	.56	1.46	.11	.00	29.52	.34	.00
	'00-01	882	.11	6.0	.0	.11	19.7	40.4	.0
Paintsville Ind.	'01-02	694	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.87	.00	.00
	'00-01	715	.00	.3	.0	.00	2.5	.0	1.0
Paris Ind.	'01-02	665	.00	1.05	.00	.00	12.03	.00	.00
	'00-01	659	.00	.6	.0	.00	5.9	.2	.0
Pikeville Ind.	'01-02	1205	.00	.75	.08	.00	4.65	.08	2.49
	'00-01	1213	.00	.6	.0	.00	2.7	.0	2.4
Pineville Ind.	'01-02	572	.00	1.05	.00	.00	9.27	.00	.00
	'00-01	551	.00	.2	.0	.00	10.0	.0	.0
Providence Ind.	'01-02	418	.00	.00	.00	.00	15.07	.00	.00
	'00-01	411	.24	.0	.0	.24	22.1	.0	3.2
Raceland Ind.	'01-02	961	.00	.52	.00	.00	3.85	.00	3.12
	'00-01	979	.00	.7	.0	.00	3.9	.0	.8
Robertson Co.	'01-02	389	.51	.77	.00	.00	6.94	.00	3.60
	'00-01	381	0	0	0	.00	7.6	.0	1.6

			Law \	Violatio	ons		Board V	iolatior	าร
District Name	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	B3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Russell Ind.	'01-02	2097	.00	.00	.00	.00	5.44	.00	.00
	'00-01	2659	.00	2.0	.6	.00	6.4	1.5	.0
Russellville Ind.	'01-02	1276	.00	.86	.00	.00	8.62	.16	.00
	'00-01	1289	.00	.6	.2	.00	8.0	.5	.8
Science Hill Ind.	'01-02	454	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.98	.00	.00
	'00-01	435	.00	.2	.0	.00	1.4	.0	.7
Silver Grove Ind.	'01-02	278	.00	.72	.00	.00	36.69	.00	.00
	'00-01	279	0	0	0	.00	50.9*	.0	.0
Somerset Ind.	'01-02	1570	.00	.57	.00	.00	11.15	.00	.00
	'00-01	1547	.00	.3	.1	.13	7.2	8.9	.0
Southgate Ind.	'01-02	190	.00	.00	.00	.00	1.58	.00	.00
-	'00-01	189	.00	.5	.0	.00	13.2	.0	.0
Spencer Co.	'01-02	2210	.00	1.90	.00	.00	14.25	.05	.00
	'00-01	2082	.10	1.0	.0	.00	7.1	.1	.0
Taylor Co.	'01-02	2555	.00	.59	.35	.00	5.56	.47	.00
,	'00-01	2494	.00	.4	.0	.00	3.2	.2	.0
Todd Co.	'01-02	1975	.41	.46	.05	.10	13.42	.51	.00
	'00-01	1952	.41	.1	.0	.15	15.3	1.1	.6
Trigg Co.	'01-02	2040	.10	.88	.10	.00	18.53	1.18	5.69
	'00-01	1981	.05	1.0	.0	.05	28.3	.5	5.9
Trimble Co.	'01-02	1530	.00	.59	.00	.00	4.77	.00	.00
	'00-01	1491	.13	1.3	.0	.00	6.4	.1	.0
Union Co.	'01-02	2369	.08	1.98	.00	.17	9.54	.00	.00
	'00-01	2320	.26	4.1	.0	.00	5.1	.0	.0
Walton Verona	'01-02	1037	.00	.19	.00	.00	9.64	.00	.00
Ind.	'00-01	977	.00	.2	.1	.00	12.6	.0	.0
Washington Co.	'01-02	1781	.00	1.18	.11	.00	2.58	.22	.00
J	'00-01	1816	.00	.5	.3	.00	5.0	.0	.0
Webster Co.	'01-02	1940	.15	.77	.00	.05	8.40	.05	.00
	'00-01	1895	.32	.3	.0	.05	5.6	.1	.0
West Point Ind.	'01-02	152	.00	.66	.00	.00	10.53	.00	10.53
	'00-01	143	0	0	0	.00	.0	.0	.0
Williamsburg Ind.	'01-02	803	.00	.25	.00	.00	1.74	.00	.00
	'00-01	763	.52	.3	.4	.00	7.6	.4	.1
Williamstown Ind.	'01-02	745	.00	.81	.00	.00	5.37	.00	.00
	'00-01	711	.00	.8	.0	.00	4.8	.0	.0
Wolfe Co.	'01-02	1321	.00	.23	.00	.00	10.90	.23	2.57
	'00-01	1295	.00	.6	.5	.00	12.0	.5	9.7
*Includes a regional of								1	

			Law	Violatio	ons	E	Board Vi	olation	S
District Name	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Adair Co.	'01-02	2582	.00	.81	.12	.04	18.01	.23	.00
	'00-01	2522	.00	.3	.0	.00	14.8	.0	.1
Allen Co.	'01-02	2980	.07	.97	.07	.20	9.16	.20	.60
	'00-01	2924	.14	.6	.3	.34	5.7	.9	.6
Anderson Co.	'01-02	3486	.17	.29	.00	.00	13.37	.00	.00
	'00-01	3399	.06	.3	.2	.00	4.2	.1	.0
Ashland Ind.	'01-02	3241	.09	1.20	.00	.00	7.44	.00	.00
	'00-01	3268	.00	.7	.0	.00	5.9	.0	.0
Barren Co.	'01-02	3975	.03	1.84	.00	.00	10.57	.20	.00
	'00-01	3839	.00	.8	.0	.00	9.2	.1	.0
Bell Co.	'01-02	3055	.03	1.51	.00	.03	7.23	.00	10.05
	'00-01	3038	.00	.0	.9	.03	7.4	.4	16.2
Bourbon Co.	'01-02	2742	.33	.62	1.24	.00	2.37	1.13	.00
	'00-01	2680	.56	.0	3.3	.00	.3	1.5	.0
Bowling Green	'01-02	3442	.00	.20	.00	.12	18.19	.00	.00
Ind.	'00-01	3402	.00	.4	.0	.00	16.3	.1	.0
Boyd Co.	'01-02	3436	.00	2.47	.03	.00	2.94	.00	.00
	'00-01	3382	.15	1.1	.0	.09	3.2	8.7	.0
Boyle Co.	'01-02	2711	.00	1.07	.00	.00	1.51	.00	.00
	'00-01	2652	.38	.6	.0	.00	1.5	.1	.0
Breckinridge Co.	'01-02	2697	.19	.11	.00	.07	7.45	.00	1.56
	'00-01	2653	.08	.0	.1	.00	9.5	.6	3.3
Calloway Co.	'01-02	2937	.00	1.43	.00	.00	8.58	.10	.03
	'00-01	2958	.00	.8	.0	.00	7.3	.2	.0
Campbell Co.	'01-02	4618	.13	2.51	.00	.04	14.38	.00	.00
	'00-01	4629	.06	1.1	.0	.00	14.8	.0	.0
Carter Co.	'01-02	4719	.06	2.39	.00	.04	11.06	.00	.11
	'00-01	4561	.04	1.3	.0	.07	9.8	.0	.0
Clay Co.	'01-02	4037	.10	.30	.37	.07	21.77	.10	.00
	'00-01	3993	.08	1.7	.4	.05	27.1	.0	.0
Covington Ind.	'01-02	4439	.09	1.82	.00	.02	60.42	.07	.00
	'00-01	4415	.02	3.6	.0	.00	21.2	.0	.0
Estill Co.	'01-02	2627	.04	2.02	.00	.04	18.42	.00	.00
	'00-01	2592	.15	1.8	.1	.12	9.7	.0	.0
Grant Co.	'01-02	3663	.00	1.20	.00	.00	13.60	.00	.00
	'00-01	3592	.03	.9	.0	.00	16.4	.0	.0
Graves Co.	'01-02	4364	.00	.09	.00	.00	4.61	.14	.14
	'00-01	4294	.00	.3	.0	.00	2.5	.3	.6
Grayson Co.	'01-02	4086	.00	.91	.00	.00	6.41	.10	2.20
	'00-01	3970	.00	2.2	.4	.00	6.8	.9	1.1
Greenup Co.	'01-02	3105	.00	.87	.00	.00	16.62	.03	2.22
	'00-01	3090	.00	1.3	.0	.00	11.3	.2	4.6

			Law	Violatio	ns	Вс	oard Vio	olations	3
<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Harrison Co.	'01-02	3141	.00	2.07	.00	.03	10.73	.06	.00
	'00-01	3123	.00	2.2	.3	.00	10.2	.0	.0
Johnson Co.	'01-02	3692	.00	.98	.03	.00	14.08	.00	1.65
	'00-01	3694	.00	.7	.1	.00	4.1	.1	1.6
Knott Co.	'01-02	2879	.03	.94	.00	.03	9.17	.03	.56
	'00-01	2898	.00	.5	.4	.00	4.5	.4	1.8
Knox Co.	'01-02	4743	.04	.99	.15	.00	16.78	.27	.00
	'00-01	4709	.00	.7	.2	.00	10.3	.8	.0
Lawrence Co.	'01-02	2675	.00	.67	.00	.00	15.14	.07	.07
	'00-01	2704	.04	1.3	.6	.00	10.8	.3	.8
Letcher Co.	'01-02	3557	.08	1.04	.06	.03	13.49	2.64	.00
	'00-01	3577	.17	.4	.0	.00	11.6	.7	.0
Lincoln Co.	'01-02	4069	.22	.32	.00	.57	7.99	.22	3.02
	'00-01	3993	.63	.6	.0	.53	8.9	.0	3.5
Logan Co.	'01-02	3179	.00	.41	.03	.00	6.54	.19	1.07
	'00-01	3090	.00	.3	.1	.00	2.8	.4	.0
Magoffin Co.	'01-02	2464	.00	.81	.00	.00	13.23	.20	.00
	'00-01	2471	.00	1.7	.0	.00	10.9	.5	.0
Marion Co.	'01-02	3019	.00	.60	.00	.00	10.53	.03	.00
	'00-01	2981	.03	.0	.5	.00	7.7	.1	.0
Marshall Co.	'01-02	4711	.08	1.27	.11	.02	6.67	2.53	.02
	'00-01	4706	.17	.7	.0	.11	5.5	.0	.0
Martin Co.	'01-02	2454	.00	.73	.00	.00	16.46	.04	2.40
	'00-01	2511	.40	.4	.0	.00	19.7	.1	1.2
Mason Co.	'01-02	2691	.30	2.75	.04	.07	16.72	.37	.00
	'00-01	2605	.27	2.6	.1	.04	19.0	.6	.0
McCreary Co.	'01-02	3332	.21	.72	.00	.15	22.06	.00	17.89
	'00-01	3243	.03	.1	.0	.00	16.8	.0	19.1
Meade Co.	'01-02	4511	.00	.44	.13	.00	8.11	.27	.00
	'00-01	4432	.00	1.4	.0	.00	6.9	.1	.0
Montgomery Co.	'01-02	3880	.00	1.39	.03	.00	21.24	.00	4.46
	'00-01	3857	.00	1.4	.2	.00	16.9	.4	4.2
Nelson Co.	'01-02	4564	.13	1.38	.02	.02	3.70	4.56	.00
	'00-01	4437	.05	.6	.3	.07	2.9	3.7	.0
Newport Ind.	'01-02	2579	.00	2.40	.00	.00	35.36	.00	.00
	'00-01	2554	.00	1.8	.0	.00	29.2	.0	.0
Ohio Co.	'01-02	3954	.00	.91	.08	.00	10.12	.08	.00
	'00-01	3930	.00	.3	.4	.00	9.7	.5	.0
Owensboro Ind.	'01-02	3889	.00	.80	.00	.00	5.97	.08	.00
	'00-01	3902	.00	.5	.3	.00	6.0	16.7	.0
Paducah Ind.	'01-02	3012	.03	.60	.00	.80	30.88	.73	.00
	'00-01	3035	.20	.0	.0	.53	24.1	.8	.0
Pendleton Co.	'01-02	2883	.00	.52	.00	.00	15.89	.62	.00
i	'00-01	2861	.03	.9	.1	.00	17.2	.4	.0

**Board Violations Law Violations District Name** Year Total L1,2 L3 B1,2 В3 **B4 B5** L4 11.84 State Rate for all ·01-02 .05 .10 .03 .34 625683 1.22 .69 students '00-01 615923 .07 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 .09 9.04 .75 Perry Co. ·01-02 4544 .07 .18 .07 1.10 4572 .35 ·00-01 .0 .02 .1 7.5 .7 1.2 Powell Co. <sup>6</sup>01-02 2572 .00 .86 .00 .04 .00 .00 22.12 '00-01 2523 .08 .5 .04 .6 2.4 15.0 .0 Rockcastle Co. '01-02 2929 .00 1.84 .03 .00 7.44 .07 .14 .3 .3 '00-01 2880 .00 .9 .03 9.0 .9 '01-02 2972 .87 .00 .00 Rowan Co. .07 .00 7.44 .00 2916 <sup>'00-01</sup> .00 .9 .0 .00 5.6 .0 .0 <sup>6</sup>01-02 .04 1.79 Russell Co. 2736 .00 .22 .00 9.14 .00 2659 <sup>6</sup>00-01 .00 2.0 .6 .19 9.7 .0 .0 Simpson Co. <sup>6</sup>01-02 2951 .03 .00 12.30 .00 .00 .81 .00 '00-01 2864 .14 .4 5.3 .1 .0 .03 .0 Wayne Co. <sup>6</sup>01-02 2532 .00 .95 .00 .47 .00 10.03 2.05 .2 '00-01 2495 .00 .5 .00 10.6 .9 1.6 Whitley Co. **'01-02** 4468 .00 .98 .07 .00 12.67 .74 2.89 <sup>'00-01</sup> .00 4411 .02 1.1 .2 13.9 .6 1.7 Woodford Co. ·01-02 3765 .00 1.62 .00 .03 12.32 .00 .00 <sup>'</sup>00-01 3761 .48 1.2 .0 .0 Ö .03 8.6

## School Districts with 5,000 to 9,999 Students Rate per 100 Students

			Law	/ Violatio	ons	E	Board Vi	olations	
<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Christian Co.	'01-02	9004	.12	.97	.33	.02	31.42	1.23	.43
	'00-01	8777	.21	.4	0.	.01	22.4	.7	.3
Clark Co.	'01-02	5205	.04	1.19	.00	.06	15.39	.06	.12
	'00-01	5007	.02	.2	.0	.00	13.1	1.4	.2
Floyd Co.	'01-02	6979	.01	.99	.00	.01	15.55	.00	2.94
	'00-01	6931	.06	1.4	.0	.04	17.6	.1	2.1
Franklin Co.	'01-02	5810	.02	1.38	.00	.00	33.56	.00	.00
	'00-01	5740	.00	1.3	.1	.00	26.6	.0	.0
Harlan Co.	'01-02	4937	.00	1.60	.08	.00	24.25	1.52	3.95
	'00-01	5000	.00	1.2	.2	.12	25.2	3.2	2.6
Henderson Co.	'01-02	6876	.13	1.19	.10	.01	12.62	.12	.00
	'00-01	6819	.15	.7	.6	.10	11.4	.5	.0
Hopkins Co.	'01-02	6915	.00	.72	.00	.01	11.97	.04	.04
	'00-01	6754	.00	.4	.0	.09	8.0	.0	.0

Law Violations

**Board Violations** 

District Name	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for all	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Jessamine Co.	'01-02	6651	.09	1.13	.00	.15	16.06	.05	.00
	'00-01	6399	.23	1.3	.0	.05	14.1	.0	.0
Laurel Co.	'01-02	8542	.15	1.21	.00	.02	9.65	.01	.00
	'00-01	8206	.16	.7	.1	.02	5.9	.0	.0
Madison Co.	'01-02	9138	.04	.47	.00	.00	6.38	.01	.00
	'00-01	8118	.01	.4	.2	.07	6.2	.9	.0
McCracken Co.	'01-02	6551	.29	.47	.34	.11	2.49	2.96	.00
	'00-01	6436	.08	.0	.0	.23	1.3	1.7	.0
Muhlenberg Co.	'01-02	4989	.00	.64	.06	.00	2.26	.00	.00
	'00-01	4968	.02	.1	.0	.00	1.6	.1	.0
Oldham Co.	'01-02	9063	.02	1.46	.00	.00	2.09	.00	.00
	'00-01	8758	.01	1.5	.1	.00	2.2	.0	.0
Pulaski Co.	'01-02	7453	.00	1.07	.01	.00	11.38	.01	1.96
	'00-01	7308	.03	.9	.1	.00	7.6	.0	1.5
Scott Co.	'01-02	5976	.00	1.24	.00	.00	17.09	.10	.02
	'00-01	5678	.00	1.6	.0	.11	17.5	.5	.0
Shelby Co.	'01-02	5225	.04	1.68	.00	.00	9.05	.00	.00
·	'00-01	5032	.12	2.3	.0	.02	9.9	.9	.0

#### School Districts with 10,000 or More Students Rate per 100 Students

Law Violations

#### **Board Violations**

<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate for	'01-02	625683	.05	1.22	.10	.03	11.84	.34	.69
all students	'00-01	615923	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Boone Co.	'01-02	13545	.01	1.17	.00	.00	8.90	.00	.00
	'00-01	13065	.00	.8	0.	*.00	11.4	.0	0.
Bullitt Co.	'01-02	10801	.21	.72	.00	.11	8.79	.00	.00
	'00-01	10462	.22	.6	.0	.02	9.2	.1	.0
Daviess Co.	'01-02	10205	.00	.91	.06	.00	7.67	.25	.00
	'00-01	10031	.00	.5	.4	.00	8.6	1.0	.0
Fayette Co.	'01-02	32525	.02	1.94	.01	.00	18.04	.01	.00
	'00-01	31926	.03	3.0	.0	.00	21.7	.0	0.
Hardin Co.	'01-02	12815	.00	.59	.00	.00	13.48	.02	.00
	'00-01	12561	.04	.6	.0	.07	11.8	.4	0.
Jefferson Co.	'01-02	90637	.00	2.51	.38	.00	7.97	.37	.00
	'00-01	89020	.01	2.1	.2	.00	11.3	.3	0.
Kenton Co.	'01-02	12163	.12	1.11	.24	.00	10.06	.08	.00
	'00-01	11886	.06	.5	.3	.02	12.8	.2	0.
Pike Co.	'01-02	10304	.00	.84	.09	.03	8.92	.16	5.09
	'00-01	10272	.03	1.3	.1	.01	8.9	.1	2.8
Warren Co.	'01-02	10930	.01	.52	.00	.01	9.66	.10	.20
	'00-01	10554	.05	.9	.2	.03	4.7	.2	.2

<sup>\*</sup> Corrected Data

# White Students: 2000-2002 Rate of Disciplinary Consequences for Law and Board Violations

Table 3

- 1. Expulsion with educational services
- 2. Expulsion without educational services
- 3. Suspension
- 4. Alternative placement
- 5. Corporal punishment

School Districts with Fewer than 2,500 Students
Rate per 100 Students

L = Law violations B = Board violations

	Law Violations	Board Violations
--	----------------	------------------

District Name	Year	Total	White	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
				·			•			
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	541903	.05	1.04	.07	.03	10.67	.30	.76
	'00-01	615923	539705	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Augusta Ind.	'01-02	267	257	.00	.00	.00	.00	4.67	.00	.00
	'00-01	351	338	0	0	0	0	6.5	.9	.0
Ballard Co.	'01-02	1342	1270	.24	.16	.00	.31	8.11	2.05	.00
	'00-01	1359	1291	.23	0	.7	0	5	.5	0
Barbourville	'01-02	687	661	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.15	.00
Ind.	'00-01	675	648	0	.3	0	0	1.4	0	0
Bardstown Ind.	'01-02	1925	1414	.00	.42	.00	.00	19.31	.00	.00
	'00-01	1864	1382	0	0	0	0	28.4	0	0
Bath Co.	'01-02	1938	1900	.21	.74	.11	.00	13.21	.05	3.26
	'00-01	1895	1859	0	.9	0	.05	18.1	0	5.8
Beechwood	'01-02	1006	939	.00	.75	.00	.00	2.88	.00	.00
Ind.	'00-01	998	975	0	.2	0	0	3.3	0	0
Bellevue Ind.	'01-02	887	862	.35	.46	.00	.00	11.14	.00	.00
	'00-01	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Berea Ind.	'01-02	1062	967	.00	.10	.00	.00	6.31	.72	.00
	'00-01	1033	937	0	.6	0	0	7.7	.4	0
Breathitt Co.	'01-02	2215	2193	.00	1.41	.14	.00	19.88	1.37	.09
	'00-01	2193	2180	.46	.8	0	.69	7.8	.6	.2
Butler Co.	'01-02	2226	2193	.05	1.05	.00	.00	11.31	.00	2.33
	'00-01	2173	2146	0	1.4	.5	0	11	.5	1.7
Caldwell Co.	'01-02	1986	1815	.00	1.54	.00	.00	17.52	.00	4.41
	'00-01	1995	1820	0	.3	.2	0	14.6	.2	3.6
Campbellsville	'01-02	1245	1022	.00	.30	.00	.10	8.22	.00	.20
Ind	'00-01	1229	1013	0	1.6	.4	0	5.7	.9	4.6
Carroll Co.	'01-02	1791	1700	.12	1.29	.00	.00	15.29	.00	.00
	'00-01	1729	1641	0	2.3	.4	0	25.4	.2	0
Caverna Ind.	'01-02	752	605	.00	.00	.00	.00	8.26	.00	.00
	'00-01	779	627	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Crittenden Co.	'01-02	1343	1309	.15	.08	.00	.08	3.51	.00	10.77
	'00-01	1371	1341	1.12	.6	0	0	1.6	0	0
Cumberland	'01-02	1157	1101	.09	.18	.00	.00	11.99	.91	.00
Co.	'00-01	1149	1092	0	0	0	.09	20.5	1.9	.3
Danville Ind.	'01-02	1816	1216	.33	.00	.00	.00	9.70	.08	.00
	'00-01	1793	1220	0	.2	0	0	10.1	.6	0
East Bernstadt	'01-02	480	457	.00	.00	.00	.00	6.13	.00	.00
Ind.	'00-01	473	455	0	0	0	0	3.7	13.8	0
Edmonson Co.	'01-02	1956	1925	.62	.73	.00	.05	1.77	.52	.33
Editionioni Co.	'00-01	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
**Doloted for priv									I	

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for white students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

				Law	Violati	ions		Board V	iolatio	ns
District Name	Year	Total	White	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	541903	.05	1.04	.07	.03	10.67	.30	.76
	'00-01	615923	539705	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Eminence Ind.	'01-02	498	411	.00	.24	.00	.49	.73	.00	.00
	'00-01	480	481	0	0	0	0	4.8	.8	0
Elizabethtown	'01-02	2199	1737	.12	.12	.00	.23	7.14	.52	.00
Ind.	'00-01	2139	1714	.18	.1	0	0	8	.3	0
Erlanger-	'01-02	2138	1861	.21	.75	.05	.05	15.31	.05	.00
Elsmere	'00-01	2107	1837	.16	0	0	0	21	0	0
Fleming Co.	'01-02	2439	2363	.04	.47	.00	.04	17.10	.04	2.29
	'00-01	2369	2305	.04	1	.2	0	11.6	.2	4.5
Fort Thomas	'01-02	2298	2270	.00	.26	.00	.00	2.82	.00	.00
Ind.	'00-01	2322	2292	0	.2	0	0	2.3	0	0
Frankfort Ind.	'01-02	903	712	.00	1.83	.00	.00	16.99	.00	.00
	'00-01	893	717	.14	7.3	.8	0	23.7	0	0
Fulton Co.	'01-02	789	536	.00	.56	.00	.37	9.33	.19	8.40
	'00-01	767	521	0	0	0	.77	23.8	0	14.6
Fulton Ind.	'01-02	513	235	.00	.00	.00	.00	15.32	6.38	2.13
	'00-01	513	239	.84	0	0	.42	8.8	30.1	.4
Gallatin Co.	'01-02	1458	1396	.00	.50	.00	.00	47.71	.00	.00
	'00-01	1398	1351	0	1.9	.2	0	27.2	0	0
Garrard Co.	'01-02	2455	2338	.04	.90	.00	.17	11.93	.00	.00
0	'00-01	2381	2273	.04	.9	0	.04	20.3	.3	0
Glasgow Ind.	'01-02	1971	1645	.00	.36	.06	.00	3.22	.12	.00
0 0	'00-01	2002	1710	0	.1	.1	0	4.3	.4	0
Green Co.	'01-02	1704	1632	.06	.43	.12	.06	5.70	.06	.00
Hanasak Ca	'00-01	1690	1627	.12	.4	.2	0	4.7	0	0
Hancock Co.	'01-02	1572	1536	.07	.07	.00	.00	5.66	.00	.00
Harlan Ind.	'00-01 '01-02	1527	1487	.07	0	.1	.27	5 36.75	11.1	0
папап ши.	'00-01	888	800 777	.13	1.75	.00	.00		.00	.00
Harrodsburg	'01-02	864 931	717	.00	2.1 .70	.6 .00	.00	36.9 15.85	.00	.42
Ind.	'00-01	910	713	_	1.1	_	_	11.2	_	
Hart Co.	'01-02	2327	2191	.00	.09	.00	.00	10.50	.23	1.1 1.73
Tiait Co.	'00-01	2226	2112	0	0	.00	0.00	6.1	1.5	1.73
Hazard Ind.	'01-02	1025	870	.00	.69	.00	.00	4.02	.00	8.39
riazara iria.	'00-01	994	840	0	1.3	0.00	.00	3.6	.00	5.1
Henry Co.	'01-02	2082	2018	.30	.99	.00	.00	7.38	.00	.00
	'00-01	2084	2025	.25	.9	0	0	5.8	.2	0
Hickman Co.	'01-02	783	652	.00	.00	.00	.00	2.15	2.61	1.23
	'00-01	784	649	0	0	0	0	2	2.8	1.4
Jenkins Ind.	'01-02	515	498	.00	.00	.00	.20	31.53	.00	.00
23	'00-01	529	511	0	0	.2	0	29.7	0	0
LaRue Co.	'01-02	2342	2181	.05	.46	.05	.00	6.42	.05	.00
	'00-01	2303	2162	.05	0	0	0	7	0	.6

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

\*\*\* State rate for '01-02 is for white students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

				Lav	v Viola	tions		Board V	/iolatio					
<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	White	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5				
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	541903	.05	1.04	.07	.03	10.67	.30	.76				
	'00-01	615923	539705	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68				
Lyon Co.	'01-02	992	961	.00	.00	.00	.00	2.91	.00	.00				
,	'00-01	1001	970	0	0	.7	0	6.8	2.2	0				
Mayfield Ind.	'01-02	1416	904	.00	.33	.00	.22	2.65	1.33	.88				
,	'00-01	1376	883	.11	0	.1	.23	4.9	1.7	.3				
Menifee Co.	'01-02	1121	1096	.18	1.64	.64	.09	13.69	.46	.00				
	'00-01	1097	1083	0	0	0	.18	16	19.3	0				
Mercer Co.	'01-02	2139	2098	.14	.48	.00	.00	5.29	.00	.00				
	'00-01	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**				
Metcalfe Co.	'01-02	1551	1507	.00	.93	.07	.07	15.46	.07	.00				
	'00-01	1564	1491	0	.5	.3	0	13.3	.8	0				
Middlesboro	'01-02	1753	1582	.00	.44	.13	.00	29.14	4.49	.00				
Ind.	'00-01	1629	1488	0	.3	.1	0	15.3	1.5	0				
Monroe Co.	'01-02	2005	1884	.05	.48	.05	.00	3.82	4.56	1.65				
	'00-01	1973	1861	0	.1	0	0	1.6	.5	1				
Monticello Ind.	'01-02	814	792	.00	.38	.00	.00	7.58	.00	3.03				
Wienacono ma.	'00-01	826	805	0	1	0	0	7.8	4.6	6.2				
Morgan Co.	'01-02	2238	2224	.00	.31	.00	.00	5.67	.00	4.50				
morgan co.	'00-01	2224	2208	0	.2	0	0	5.8	0	3.1				
Murray Ind.	'01-02	1559	1343	.00	.67	.15	.00	9.31	.15	.00				
waray ma.	'00-01	1475	1280	.39	.7	.5	0	4.9	0	0				
Owen Co.	'01-02	1862	1782	.11	.84	.06	.00	12.12	.11	.00				
O 11 O 0 1	'00-01	1829	1784	.11	1.6	0	0	7.7	.1	0				
Paris Ind.	'01-02	665	471	.00	.42	.00	.00	11.04	.00	.00				
i and ma.	'00-01	659	474	0	.8	0	0	3.4	0	0				
Pikeville Ind.	'01-02	1205	1133	.00	.79	.09	.00	4.85	.09	2.65				
	'00-01	1213	1140	0	.6	0	0	2.7	0	2.5				
Pineville Ind.	'01-02	572	549	.00	1.09	.00	.00	9.29	.00	.00				
	'00-01	551	525	0	.2	0	0	9.9	0	0				
Providence	'01-02	418	308	.00	.00	.00	.00	16.23	.00	.00				
Ind.	'00-01	411	305	0	0	0	0	24.6	0	4.3				
Russell Ind.	'01-02	2097	2011	.00	.00	.00	.00	5.62	.00	.00				
	'00-01							0.02						
Russellville	'01-02	1276	890	.00	.56	.00	.00	6.52	.00	.00				
Ind.	'00-01	1289	944	0	.3	.1	0	5.5	.3	.4				
Somerset Ind.	'01-02	1570	1389	.00	.50	.00	.00	10.51	.00	.00				
Comorcot ma.	'00-01	1546	1400	0	.3	0	.14	7.6	9.1	0				
Spencer Co.	'01-02	2210	2155	.00	1.95	.00	.00	14.48	.05	.00				
5p000.	'00-01	2082	2030	.1	.9	0	0	7.1	.1	0				
Todd Co.	'01-02	1975	1713	.41	.47	.06	.12	12.43	.47	.00				
. 344 33.	'00-01	1952	1687	.47	0	0	.06	13.1	.8	.5				
Trigg Co.	'01-02	2040	1720	.12	.87	.00	.00	15.35	.99	7.67				
99 00.	'00-01	1981	1676	.06	1.1	0	0	24.9	.55	5.4				
Union Co.	'01-02	2369	2061	.05	1.60	.00	.15	7.62	.00	.00				
Gillott CO.	'00-01	2320	2029	.15	3.5	.00	0	4.3	.00	0.00				
	(20) rose		2029	. 10	ა.ა	U	U	4.3	U	U				

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

\*\*\* State rate for '01-02 is for white students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

					v Viola	tions	<b>Board Violations</b>				
District Name	Year	Total	White	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5	
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	541903	.05	1.04	.07	.03	10.67	.30	.76	
	'00-01	615923	539705	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68	
Washington	'01-02	1781	1574	.00	1.21	.13	.00	2.16	.25	.00	
Co.	'00-01	1816	1606	0	.6	.4	0	4.9	0	0	
Webster Co.	'01-02	1940	1883	.16	.74	.00	.05	8.39	.05	.00	
	'00-01	1895	1844	.33	.3	0	.05	5.6	.1	0	
Williamsburg	'01-02	803	778	.00	.26	.00	.00	1.54	.00	.00	
Ind.	'00-01	763	741	.54	.3	.4	0	7.8	.4	.1	

#### School Districts with 2,500 to 4,999 Students Rate per 100 Students

**Law Violations Board Violations District Name** Year Total White L1,2 L3 L4 B1,2 **B3 B4 B5** State Rate\*\*\* 01-02 625683 541903 .05 1.04 .07 .03 10.67 .30 .76 '00-01 615923 539705 .07 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 Adair Co. '01-02 2582 2456 .00 .86 .12 .00 17.96 .24 .00 '00-01 2522 2415 .00 .3 14.5 .0 .1 .0 .00 Allen Co. 01-02 2980 2906 .07 .93 .07 .21 9.15 .21 .62 '00-01 2924 2862 .10 .7 .3 .35 5.8 .9 .7 Anderson Co. '01-02 3486 3356 .18 27 .00 .00 13.38 .00 .00 3399 '00-01 3276 .06 .2 .00 4.2 .1 .0 Ashland Ind. 01-02 3241 3034 .10 1.09 .00 .00 7.42 .00 .00 .00 '00-01 3268 3075 8. .0 .00 5.9 .0 .0 Barren Co. '01-02 3975 3900 .03 1.87 .00 .00 10.49 .21 .00 '00-01 3839 3767 .00 .00 8. .0 9.2 .1 .0 Bourbon Co. 01-02 2742 2561 .31 .66 1.33 .00 2.15 1.09 .00 '00-01 2680 2521 .48 3.5 .00 1.5 .0 .3 .0 **Bowling Green** 01-02 3442 2284 .00 .13 .00 04 12.78 .00 .00 Ind. '00-01 3402 2303 .00 .00 12.2 .0 .6 .0 .1 Boyd Co. 01-02 3436 3238 .00 2.59 .03 .00 2.93 .00 .00 '00-01 3382 3317 .15 .09 1.1 .0 3.2 8.9 .0 Boyle Co. 01-02 2711 2612 .00 1.11 .00 .00 1.53 .00 .00 '00-01 2652 2560 .39 .7 .00 1.4 .0 .0 .0 Breckinridge 01-02 2697 2576 .16 80. .00 .08 7.34 .00 1.40 Co. '00-01 2653 2555 .08 .00 9.0 .5 3.2 .0 .1 2937 Calloway Co. 01-02 2851 .00 1.44 .00 .00 8.42 .11 .04 '00-01 2958 2869 .00 8. .0 .00 7.0 .2 .0 Campbell Co. '01-02 4618 4509 .13 2.53 .00 .02 14.26 .00 .00 4629 4526 .04 '00-01 .00 14.6 .0 1.1 .0 .0 3987 .38 Clay Co. '01-02 4037 .10 .30 .08 21.67 .10 .00 '00-01 3993 3944 .08 .05 1.7 .4 26.9 .0 .0 Covington Ind. '01-02 4439 3187 .06 1.35 .00 .03 52.56 .06 .00 '00-01 4415 .03 3.2 .00 20.9 3231 .0 .0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for white students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

				La	w Violat	ions		Board V	iolation	S
<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	White	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	541903	.05	1.04	.07	.03	10.67	.30	.76
	'00-01	615923	539705	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Estill Co.	'01-02	2627	2602	.04	2.00	.00	.04	18.49	.00	.00
	'00-01	2592	2569	.12	1.7	.1	.00	9.3	.0	.0
Graves Co.	'01-02	4364	4201	.00	.10	.00	.00	4.17	.10	.14
	'00-01	4294	4203	.00	.3	.0	.00	2.4	.3	.5
Grayson Co.	'01-02	4086	4037	.00	.89	.00	.00	6.12	.10	2.13
	'00-01	3970	3920	.00	2.2	.4	.00	6.6	.8	1.1
Greenup Co.	'01-02	3105	3039	.00	.82	.00	.00	16.58	.03	2.24
	'00-01	3090	3033	.00	1.3	.0	.00	10.9	.2	4.6
Harrison Co.	'01-02	3141	2963	.00	2.06	.00	.03	11.00	.07	.00
	'00-01	3123	2953	.00	2.3	.3	.00	10.1	.0	.0
Knott Co.	'01-02	2879	2854	.04	.95	.00	.04	9.11	.04	.56
	'00-01	2898	2869	.00	.5	.5	.00	4.6	.5	1.8
Knox Co.	'01-02	4743	4695	.04	1.00	.15	.00	16.85	.28	.00
	'00-01	4709	4666	.00	.7	.2	.00	10.3	.8	.0
Lincoln Co.	'01-02	4069	3906	.20	.33	.00	.59	7.78	.20	2.97
	'00-01	3993	3845	.65	.7	.0	.55	9.2	.0	3.6
Logan Co.	'01-02	3179	3041	.00	.39	.00	.00	6.22	.16	.72
	'00-01	3090	2955	.00	.2	.0	.00	2.3	.4	.0
Marion Co.	'01-02	3019	2709	.00	.55	.00	.00	10.04	.04	.00
	'00-01	2981	2680	.04	.0	.6	.00	7.8	.1	.0
Mason Co.	'01-02	2691	2364	.34	2.79	.04	.08	16.20	.38	.00
	'00-01	2605	2290	.31	2.6	.0	.00	19.3	.7	.0
McCreary Co.	'01-02	3332	3281	.21	.73	.00	.15	21.64	.00	18.10
•	'00-01	3243	3192	.03	.1	.0	.00	16.7	.0	19.5
Meade Co.	'01-02	4511	4285	.00	.37	.12	.00	7.86	.28	.00
	'00-01	4432	4216	.00	1.4	.0	.00	6.8	.1	.0
Montgomery	'01-02	3880	3689	.00	1.44	.03	.00	20.87	.00	4.69
Co.	'00-01	3857	3660	.00	1.4	.2	.00	17.0	.4	4.4
Nelson Co.	'01-02	4564	4432	.14	1.40	.02	.02	3.75	4.65	.00
	'00-01	4437	4298	.05	.7	.3	.07	3.0	3.7	.0
Newport Ind.	'01-02	2579	2209	.00	2.26	.00	.00	35.22	.00	.00
	'00-01	2554	2233	.00	1.7	.0	.00	29.3	.0	.0
Ohio Co.	'01-02	3954	3881	.00	.93	.08	.00	10.05	.08	.00
	'00-01	3930	3854	.00	.3	.4	.00	9.8	.5	.0
Owensboro	'01-02	3889	2998	.00	.67	.00	.00	5.10	.07	.00
Ind.	'00-01	3902	3026	.00	.6	.3	.00	5.0	14.8	.0
Paducah Ind.	'01-02	3012	1369	.07	.37	.00	.66	13.73	.29	.00
	'00-01	3035	1450	.07	.0	.0	.55	14.7	.1	.0
Pendleton Co.	'01-02	2883	2828	.00	.50	.00	.00	15.77	.64	.00
	'00-01	2861	2818	.04	.9	.1	.00	17.1	.4	.0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons
\*\*\* State rate for '01-02 is for white students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

		_		La	w Viola	tions	=	Board Violations					
<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	White	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5			
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	541903	.05	1.04	.07	.03	10.67	.30	.76			
	'00-01	615923	539705	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68			
Perry Co.	'01-02	4544	4480	.07	.18	.09	.07	9.13	.76	1.12			
	'00-01	4572	4499	.36	.1	.0	.02	7.6	.7	1.2			
Powell Co.	'01-02	2572	2535	.00	.87	.00	.00	22.45	.04	.00			
	'00-01	2523	2484	.08	2.4	.5	.04	15.0	.6	.0			
Rowan Co.	'01-02	2972	2895	.07	.86	.00	.00	7.63	.00	.00			
	'00-01	2916	2844	.00	.9	.0	.00	5.7	.0	.0			
Russell Co.	'01-02	2736	2703	.00	.22	.04	.00	9.03	1.81	.00			
	'00-01	2659	2634	.00	2.1	.6	.00	5.7	12	1.0			
Simpson Co.	'01-02	2951	2496	.04	.72	.00	.00	10.82	.00	.00			
	'00-01	2864	2433	.08	.3	.0	.04	4.6	.1	.0			
Taylor Co.	'01-02	2555	2497	.00	.60	.32	.00	5.65	.44	.00			
	'00-01	2494	2441	0	.5	0	0	3.2	.2	0			
Wayne Co.	'01-02	2532	2463	.00	.97	.00	.00	10.15	.49	2.03			
	'00-01	2495	2426	.00	.5	.2	.00	10.8	.9	1.6			
Woodford Co.	'01-02	3765	3357	.00	1.64	.00	.00	11.59	.00	.00			
	'00-01	3761	3363	.54	1.1	.0	.03	8.0	.0	.0			

School Districts with 5,000 to 9,999 Students

## Rate per 100 Students

				La	w Viola	ations		Board V	iolatio	ns
<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	White	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	B3	B4	B5
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	541903	.05	1.04	.07	.03	10.67	.30	.76
	'00-01	615923	539705	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Christian Co.	'01-02	9004	5409	.11	.43	.18	.00	16.93	.52	.50
	'00-01	8777	5297	.11	.2	.0	.00	12.9	.3	.3
Clark Co.	'01-02	5205	4766	.04	1.24	.00	.06	14.04	.04	.08
	'00-01	5007	4608	.04	.2	.0	.00	13.3	1.2	.1
Floyd Co.	'01-02	6979	6939	.01	.99	.00	.01	15.41	.00	2.75
	'00-01	6931	6897	.06	1.4	.0	.04	17.5	.1	2.1
Franklin Co.	'01-02	5810	5085	.02	1.30	.00	.00	32.61	.00	.00
	'00-01	5740	5083	.00	1.3	.1	.00	25.2	.0	.0
Harlan Co.	'01-02	4937	4812	.00	1.52	.06	.00	24.13	1.35	4.03
	'00-01	5000	4865	.00	1.2	.2	.10	25.0	3.1	2.7
Henderson Co.	'01-02	6876	6074	.12	1.09	.12	.02	10.93	.10	.00
	'00-01	6819	6031	.17	.6	.6	.05	10.7	.4	.0
Hopkins Co.	'01-02	6915	6086	.00	.79	.00	.02	11.63	.05	.05
	'00-01	6754	5988	.00	.4	.0	.10	7.9	.0	.0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons
\*\*\* State rate for '01-02 is for white students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

**Law Violations Board Violations** B1,2 **District Name** Year **Total** White L1,2 L3 L4 **B3 B4 B5** State Rate\*\*\* 01-02 625683 541903 1.04 10.67 .30 .76 .05 .07 .03 00-01 615923 539705 1.12 .68 .07 .15 .04 11.13 .78 01-02 6651 6277 .10 1.13 .00 .16 15.64 .05 .00 Jessamine Co. '00-01 6399 6055 .23 1.3 .05 13.8 .0 .0 .0 8542 8377 Laurel Co. '01-02 .16 1.22 .00 .02 9.79 .01 .00 .01 '00-01 8206 8039 .16 6.0 .0 .7 .1 .0 8320 47 '01-02 9138 .04 .00 .00 6.09 .01 .00 Madison Co. .00 '00-01 8118 8049 .4 .1 .05 5.3 8. .0 .10 McCracken '01-02 6551 6232 .27 45 .27 2.25 2.89 .00 Co. '00-01 6436 6127 80. .0 .0 .20 1.3 1.7 .0 2.26 Muhlenbera '01-02 4989 4731 .00 .61 .06 .00 .00 .00 Co. '00-01 4968 4717 .02 .1 .0 .00 1.7 .1 .0 Oldham Co. 01-02 9063 8566 .00 .02 1.40 .00 .00 1.95 .00 .00 2.2 '00-01 8758 8275 .01 1.5 .1 .0 .0 '01-02 7453 Pulaski Co. 7305 .00 1.10 .01 .00 11.31 .01 1.94 7308 .03 .00 .0 '00-01 7177 .9 .1 7.7 1.6 5390 Scott Co. 01-02 5976 .00 1.21 .00 15.83 .09 .02 .00 00-01 5678 5116 .00 1.4 15.7 .0 .12 .4 .0 4227 .00 Shelby Co. 01-02 5225 .05 1.63 .00 .00 8.59 .00 .0 '00-01 5032 4106 .10 2.4 .0 .02 9.5 .9

#### School Districts with 10,000 or More Students Rate per 100 Students

#### **Board Violations Law Violations District Name** Year Total White L1,2 L3 L4 B1,2 **B3 B4 B5** State Rate\*\*\* 01-02 625683 541903 .05 1.04 .07 .03 10.67 .30 .76 '00-01 615923 539705 .07 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 Boone Co. '01-02 13545 12698 .00 1.17 .00 .00 8.84 .00 .00 .00\* '00-01 13065 12303 .00 8. 0. 11.5 .0 .0 10619 Bullitt Co. '01-02 10801 .22 .71 .00 .11 8.67 .00 .00 '00-01 10462 10310 22 .6 0. .02 9.3 .1 0. 01-02 10205 9718 .00 .85 .06 .00 7.20 .24 .00 Daviess Co. '00-01 10031 .00 .00 9559 .5 4 8.2 .9 .0 Fayette Co. 01-02 32525 .00 .01 22271 1.41 .00 13.19 .00 .00 31926 '00-01 22106 .01 2.1 .0 .00 15.0 .0 .0 .00 11.44 .00 Hardin Co. '01-02 12815 9968 .50 .00 .00 .01 '00-01 12561 .04 .04 .3 9757 .6 0. 9.9 .0 .00 Jefferson Co. '01-02 90637 54389 1.94 .25 .00 5.28 .19 .00 .2 '00-01 89020 54255 .00 .2 7.9 .2 .00 .0 Kenton Co. 01-02 12163 11758 .11 1.09 .25 .00 9.93 .09 .00 .3 .02 .2 '00-01 11886 11515 .05 12.6 .5 .0 10304 Pike Co. '01-02 10234 .00 .85 .09 .03 8.88 .16 5.12 '00-01 .00 .07 .17 Warren Co. '01-02 10930 9174 .01 .52 .01 8.80 .03 '00-01 10554 8910 .2 .04 .9 .1 4.3 .1

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for white students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

1. Expulsion with educational services

- 2. Expulsion without educational services
- 3. Suspension
- 4. Alternative placement
- 5. Corporal punishment

#### African-American Students: 2000-2002 Rate of Disciplinary Consequences for Law and Board Violations

Table 4

School Districts with Fewer than 2,500 Students

Rate per 100 Students

L = Law violations B = Board violations

				Lav	w Violati	ions	j	Board V	iolatio	ns
District Name	Year	Total	Af-Am	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	64229	.04	2.84	.36	.05	22.96	.76	.26
	'00-01	615923	61569	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Augusta Ind.	'01-02	267	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
	'00-01	351	13	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Ballard Co.	'01-02	1342	48	.00	.00	.00	2.08	4.17	.00	.00
	'00-01	1359	51	.0	.0	2.0	.0	29.4	11.8	.0
Barbourville Ind.	'01-02	687	20	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
	'00-01	675	19	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	5.3	.0
Bardstown Ind.	'01-02	1925	473	.00	.63	.00	.00	35.10	.00	.00
	'00-01	1864	557	.0	.0	.0	.0	34.2	.0	.0
Bath Co.	'01-02	1938	26	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
	'00-01	1895	25	.0	.0	.0	.0	24.0	.0	.0
Beechwood Ind.	'01-02	1006	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
	'00-01	998	15	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Bellevue Ind.	'01-02	887	12	.00	.00	.00	.00	8.33	.00	.00
	'00-01	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Berea Ind.	'01-02	1062	46	.00	.00	.00	.00	6.52	.00	.00
	'00-01	1033	55	.0	.0	.0	.0	10.9	1.8	.0
Breathitt Co.	'01-02	2215	19	.00	5.26	.00	.00	10.53	5.26	.00
	'00-01	2193	11	.0	.0	.0	9.1	.0	.0	.0
Butler Co.	'01-02	2226	19	.00	.00	.00	.00	26.32	.00	.00
	'00-01	2173	16	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Caldwell Co.	'01-02	1986	142	.00	4.93	.00	.70	56.34	0	15.49
	'00-01	1995	145	.7	1.4	.0	.7	43.4	.0	4.1
Campbellsville	'01-02	1245	178	.00	1.69	.00	.56	17.42	.56	.00
Ind.	'00-01	1229	180	.0	.6	.0	.0	8.9	1.1	3.3
Carroll Co.	'01-02	1791	29	.00	3.45	.00	.00	62.07	.00	.00
	'00-01	1729	31	.0	.0	.0	.0	54.8	.0	.0
Caverna Ind.	'01-02	752	130	.00	.00	.00	.00	16.92	.00	.00
	'00-01	779	135	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Crittenden Co.	'01-02	1343	11	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	9.09
	'00-01	1371	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Cumberland Co.	'01-02	1157	37	.00	2.70	.00	.00	27.03	8.11	.00
	'00-01	1149	37	.0	.0	2.7	2.7	27.0	.0	2.7
Danville Ind.	'01-02	1816	456	.00	.44	.00	.00	20.83	.00	.00
	'00-01	1793	438	.5	.5	.0	.0	19.4	2.3	.0
East Bernstadt	'01-02	480	15	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
Ind.	'00-01	473	12	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	16.7	.0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for African-American students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

		Law Violations Board Violations									
District Name	Year	Total	Af-Am	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5	
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	64229	.04	2.84	.36	.05	22.96	.76	.26	
	'00-01	615923	61569	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68	
Edmonson Co.	'01-02	1956	11	9.09	.00	.00	9.09	9.09	27.27	18.18	
	'00-01	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	
Elizabethtown	'01-02	2199	299	.67	.00	.00	.00	31.77	.67	.00	
Ind.	'00-01	2139	282	.4	.0	.0	.0	27.0	2.5	.0	
Eminence Ind.	'01-02	498	56	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
	'00-01	481	54	.0	1.9	.0	1.9	14.8	1.9	0	
Erlanger-Elsmere	'01-02	2138	213	.47	1.88	.00	.00	21.60	.00	.00	
	'00-01	2107	218	.0	.0	.0	.0	22.5	.0	.0	
Fleming Co.	'01-02	2439	46	.00	.00	.00	.00	23.91	.00	.00	
	'00-01	2369	41	.0	2.4	.0	.0	24.4	.0	4.9	
Fort Thomas Ind.	'01-02	2298	11	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
	'00-01	2322	11	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	
Frankfort Ind.	'01-02	903	179	.00	1.12	.00	.00	31.84	.00	.00	
	'00-01	893	160	.0	1.9	.6	.0	51.3	.0	.0	
Fulton Co.	'01-02	789	247	.00	.00	.00	1.62	19.43	2.43	6.07	
	'00-01	767	240	.4	.0	.0	2.9	35.8	.0	30.4	
Fulton Ind.*	'01-02	513	260	.00	.00	.00	.00	26.15	21.92	3.46	
	'00-01	513	249	.0	.0	.0	.0	16.5	115.3	3.6	
Gallatin Co.	'01-02	1458	18	.00	5.56	.00	.00	88.89	.00	.00	
	'00-01	1398	16	.0	6.3	.0	.0	6.3	.0	.0	
Garrard Co.	'01-02	2455	69	.00	.00	.00	.00	34.78	.00	.00	
	'00-01	2381	65	.0	.0	.0	.0	29.2	1.5	.0	
Glasgow Ind.	'01-02	1971	259	.00	.00	.00	.00	5.02	.77	.00	
	'00-01	2002	229	.0	.4	.0	.0	6.6	.9	.0	
Green Co.	'01-02	1704	46	.00	.00	.00	.00	10.87	.00	.00	
	'00-01	1690	47	.0	.0	.0	.0	6.6	.9	.0	
Hancock Co.*	'01-02	1572	16	.00	6.25	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	
	'00-01	1527	17	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	64.7	.0	
Harlan Ind.	'01-02	888	58	.00	3.45	.00	.00	39.66	.00	.00	
	'00-01	864	61	.0	8.2	.0	.0	55.7	.0	.0	
Harrodsburg Ind.	'01-02	931	159	.00	.63	.00	.00	18.24	.00	.00	
	'00-01	910	155	.0	2.6	.0	.0	11.6	.0	1.3	
Hart Co.	'01-02	2327	107	.00	.00	.00	.00	11.21	.00	1.87	
	'00-01	2226	95	.0	.0	.0	.0	4.2	1.1	.0	
Hazard Ind.	'01-02	1025	118	.00	1.69	.00	.00	11.02	.00	30.51	
	'00-01	994	119	.0	.8	.0	.0	16.8	.0	9.2	
Henry Co.	'01-02	2082	26	.00	3.85	.00	.00	7.69	.00	.00	
-	'00-01	2084	31	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	
Hickman Co.	'01-02	783	119	.00	.84	.00	.00	9.24	1.68	2.52	
	'00-01	784	123	.0	.8	.0	.0	3.3	11.4	3.3	
*Reporting error	for B4, al	ternative	placemer	nts							

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons
\*\*\* State rate for '01-02 is for African-American students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

				Lav	w Violati	ions	ı	Board Vi	iolations	
District Name	Year	Total	AfAm	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	64229	.04	2.84	.36	.05	22.96	.76	.26
	'00-01	615923	61569	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Jenkins Ind.	'01-02	515	15	.00	.00	.00	.00	6.67	.00	.00
	'00-01	529	16	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
LaRue Co.	'01-02	2342	103	.00	.00	.00	.97	16.50	.00	.00
	'00-01	2303	86	.0	.0	.0	.0	24.4	.0	0.
Lyon Co.	'01-02	992	22	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
	'00-01	1001	25	.0	.0	4.0	.0	44	12	0.
Mayfield Ind.	'01-02	1416	351	.00	.00	.00	.00	9.40	3.70	1.99
	'00-01	1376	346	.0	.0	.0	.0	10.7	6.9	0.
Menifee Co.	'01-02	1121	19	.00	10.53	.00	.00	21.05	5.26	.00
	'00-01	1097	10	.0	.0	.0	.0	40	.0	.0
Mercer Co.	'01-02	2139	13	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
	'00-01	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**	**
Metcalfe Co.	'01-02	1551	32	.00	3.13	.00	.00	15.63	.00	.00
	'00-01	1564	32	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Middlesboro	'01-02	1753	130	.00	.00	.00	.00	59.23	9.23	.00
Ind.	'00-01	1629	118	.0	.0	.0	.0	15.3	.0	.0
Monroe Co.	'01-02	2005	93	.00	.00	.00	.00	8.60	2.15	1.08
	'00-01	1973	91	.0	.0	.0	.0	4.4	1.1	.0
Monticello Ind.	'01-02	814	14	.00	.00	.00	.00	14.29	.00	.00
	'00-01	826	14	.0	.0	.0	.0	28.6	.0	7.1
Murray Ind.	'01-02	1559	139	.00	.00	.00	.00	11.51	.72	.00
	'00-01	1475	122	.0	0.	0.	.0	16.4	.0	0.
Owen Co.	'01-02	1862	15	.00	6.67	.00	.00	46.67	.00	.00
	'00-01	1829	20	.0	.0	.0	.0	20	.0	.0
Paris Ind.	'01-02	665	173	.00	2.89	.00	.00	16.18	.00	.00
	'00-01	659	164	.0	.0	.0	.0	13.4	.6	.0
Pikeville Ind.	'01-02	1205	34	.00	.00	.00	.00	2.94	.00	.00
	'00-01	1213	35	.0	.0	.0	.0	2.9	.0	.0
Pineville Ind.	'01-02	572	14	.00	.00	.00	.00	14.29	.00	.00
	'00-01	551	17	.0	.0	.0	.0	17.6	.0	.0
Providence Ind.	'01-02	418	106	.00	.00	.00	.00	4.72	.00	.00
	'00-01	411	103	1.0	.0	.0	1.0	10.7	.0	.0
Russell Ind.	'01-02	2097	15	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00	.00
	'00-01	2106	19	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0
Russellville Ind.	'01-02	1276	337	.00	1.48	.00	.00	15.13	.59	.00
	'00-01	1289	322	.0	1.2	.3	.0	15.8	1.2	1.9
Somerset Ind.	'01-02	1570	113	.00	1.77	.00	.00	25.66	.00	.00
_	'00-01	1546	89	.0	.0	1.1	.0	5.6	11.2	.0
Spencer Co.	'01-02	2210	39	.00	.00	.00	.00	7.69	.00	.00
	'00-01	2082	35	.0	2.9	.0	.0	5.7	.0	.0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

\*\*\* State rate for '01-02 is for African-American students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

				Lav	v Violati	ons	Board Violations			
<b>District Name</b>	Year	Total	AfAm	L1,2	L3	L4	B1,2	В3	B4	B5
State Rate***	'01-02	625683	64229	.04	2.84	.36	.05	22.96	.76	.26
	'00-01	615923	61569	.07	1.12	.15	.04	11.13	.78	.68
Todd Co.	'01-02	1975	234	.43	.43	.00	.00	21.37	.85	.00
	'00-01	1952	234	.0	.4	0.	.9	28.6	2.1	.9
Trigg Co.	'01-02	2040	286	.00	.70	.35	.00	32.17	2.45	8.74
	'00-01	1981	272	.0	.4	.0	.4	52.6	.7	5.1
Union Co.	'01-02	2369	286	.35	4.20	.00	.35	20.63	.00	.00
	'00-01	2320	275	1.1	8.0	.0	.0	11.6	.0	.0
Washington Co.	'01-02	1781	170	.00	1.18	.00	.00	7.06	.00	.00
	'00-01	1816	179	.0	.0	.0	.0	6.1	.0	.0
Webster Co.	'01-02	1940	23	.00	4.35	.00	.00	8.70	.00	.00
	'00-01	1895	28	.0	.0	.0	.0	10.7	.0	.0
Williamsburg	'01-02	803	14	.00	.00	.00	.00	14.29	.00	.00
Ind.	'00-01	763	12	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0	.0

## School Districts with 2,500 to 4,999 Students Rate per 100 Students

**Board Violations Law Violations** Af-Am L1,2 B1,2 **B4 District Name** Year Total L3 L4 **B3 B5** State Rate\*\*\* <sup>6</sup>01-02 625683 64229 .04 2.84 .36 .05 22.96 .76 .26 '00-01 615923 61569 .07 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 Adair Co. 01-02 2582 83 .00 .00 .00 1.20 28.92 .00 .00 **'00-01** 2522 17.9 78 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 Allen Co. **'01-02** 2980 29 .00 .00 .00 .00 17.24 .00 .00 '00-01 2924 31 3.2 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 Anderson Co. 01-02 3486 103 .00 .97 .00 .00 13.59 .00 .00 '00-01 3399 99 .0 .0 .0 .0 5.1 .0 .0 Ashland Ind. **'01-02** 3241 136 .00 4.41 .00 .00 10.29 .00 .00 <sup>6</sup>00-01 3268 130 .0 .0 .0 .0 5.4 .0 .0 Barren Co. **'01-02** 3975 28 .00 .00 .00 .00 39.29 .00 .00 '00-01 3839 39 .0 .0 .0 .0 12.8 .0 .0 Bourbon Co. **'01-02** 2742 107 .93 .00 .00 .00 4.67 1.87 .00 <sup>6</sup>00-01 2680 107 1.9 .0 .9 .0 1.9 .0 Boyd Co. **'01-02** 3436 45 .00 2.22 .00 .00 11.11 .00 .00 <sup>6</sup>00-01 44 3382 .0 .0 .0 .0 4.5 .0 .0 **Bowling Green '01-02** 3442 747 .00 .54 .00 .27 38.82 .00 .00 '00-01 Ind. Boyle Co. ·01-02 2711 24 .00 .00 .00 .00 4.17 .00 .00 <sup>'00-01</sup> 2652 28 10.7 .0 .0 .0 .0 3.6 .0 .00 3.37 Breckinridge **'01-02** 2697 89 1.12 1.12 .00 .00 10.11 <sup>'00-01</sup> 2653 82 25.6 .0 .0 7.3

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for African-American students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

**Law Violations Board Violations** Year Total AfAm L1,2 L3 B1.2 **District Name** L4 **B3 B4 B5** State Rate 01-02 625683 64229 .04 2.84 .36 .05 22.96 .76 .26 .07 '00-01 615923 61569 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 Calloway Co. ·01-02 2937 35 .00 2.86 .00 .00 20.00 .00 .00 **'00-01** 2958 37 .0 .0 .0 .0 18.9 2.7 .0 Campbell Co. ·01-02 4618 178 .00 5.56 .00 .00 8.33 .00 .00 ·00-01 4629 32 28.1 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 Clay Co. 01-02 4037 31 .00 .00 .00 .00 29.03 .00 .00 27 ·00-01 3993 .0 3.7 .0 55.6 .0 .0 .0 82.98 Covington Ind. <sup>6</sup>01-02 4439 1046 .19 3.06 .00 .00 .00 .00 **'00-01** 4415 992 .0 5.0 0. 0. 23.5 0. 0. Estill Co. **'01-02** 2627 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 13 .00 <sup>6</sup>00-01 2592 18.2 27.3 11 9.1 .0 63.6 .0 0. Graves Co. **'01-02** 4364 70 .00 .00 .00 24.29 2.86 .00 .00 <sup>6</sup>00-01 4294 43 2.3 4.7 .0 .0 .0 11.6 .0 Grayson Co. 01-02 4086 15 .00 6.67 .00 .00 26.27 .00 13.33 47.1 ·00-01 3970 17 5.9 17.6 5.9 .0 5.9 .0 Greenup Co. **'01-02** 3105 32 .00 .00 .00 .00 12.50 .00 .00 '00-01 33 42.4 3.0 3090 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 Harlan Co. **'01-02** 4937 111 .00 5.41 .90 .00 30.63 9.01 .90 <sup>6</sup>00-01 5000 126 8. 1.6 34.9 .0 .0 9.5 .0 **'01-02** 3141 95 .00 4.21 .00 .00 4.21 .00 .00 Harrison Co. <sup>'</sup>00-01 3123 102 .0 .0 .0 .0 16.7 .0 .0 Knott Co. **'01-02** 2879 25 .00 .00 .00 .00 16.00 .00 .00 2898 3.4 **'00-01** 29 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 4743 31 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 Knox Co. 01-02 16.13 4709 29 **'00-01** 20.7 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 61-02 4069 112 .89 .00 .00 .00 .00 1.79 Lincoln Co. 15.18 <sup>'00-01</sup> 3993 111 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 3179 8.26 Logan Co. 01-02 121 .00 .83 .83 .00 13.22 .83 <sup>'00-01</sup> 3090 123 .0 1.6 1.6 .0 14.6 8. .0 248 .00 1.21 .00 .00 Marion Co. 01-02 3019 00 18.55 .00 '00-01 2981 246 .0 .0 .0 .0 8.5 .0 .0 01-02 2691 259 .00 2.70 .00 00 20.08 39 .00 Mason Co. '00-01 2605 255 2.4 .4 .0 15.3 .0 .0 .0 McCreary Co. **'01-02** 3332 42 .00 .00 .00 00 57.14 00 .00 **'00-01** 3242 45 .0 .0 .0 .0 22.2 .0 .0 20.33 Meade Co. 01-02 4511 123 .00 1.63 .00 .00 .00 .00 125 '00-01 4432 .0 1.6 .0 .0 12.0 .0 .0 .00 .00 44.35 Montgomery 01-02 3880 115 .87 .00 .00 .00 '00-01 3857 126 .0 8. .0 .0 16.7 1.6 .0 Muhlenberg Co. 01-02 4989 212 .00 1.42 .00 00 2.83 .00 .00 '00-01 4968 216 .0 .0 .0 .0 .5 .0 .0 2.33 Nelson Co. **'01-02** 4564 .00 1.16 .00 00 3.49 00 86 '00-01 4437 91 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 3.3 .0

<sup>\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for African-American students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

**Law Violations Board Violations** B1,2 **District Name** Year **Total AfAm** L1,2 L3 **B3 B4** L4 **B5** 625683 State Rate\*\*\* 01-02 64229 2.84 36 22.96 .76 .26 .04 .05 '00-01 615923 61569 1.12 11.13 .07 .15 .04 .78 .68 Newport Ind. <sup>'01-02</sup> 2579 241 .00 4.15 .00 .00 41.91 .00 .00 <u>0</u>0-01 39.4 2554 193 .0 3.6 .0 .0 .0 .0 Ohio Co. **'01-02** 3954 26 .00 .00 15.38 .00 .00 .00 .00 '00-01 3930 28 .0 .0 .0 .0 7.1 .0 .0 10.41 Owensboro Ind. **'01-02** 3889 653 .00 1.38 .00 .00 .15 .00 '00-01 3902 673 .0 .1 .4 .0 26.0 .0 11.1 Paducah Ind. 01-02 3012 1496 .00 .80 .00 1.00 48.66 1.20 .00 <sup>'00-01</sup> 3035 1466 .3 .0 .5 34.2 1.4 .1 .0 Pendleton Co. '01-02 2883 35 .00 .00 .00 .00 31.43 .00 .00 00-01 24 4.2 41.7 2861 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 Perry Co. 01-02 4544 26 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 <sup>'00-01</sup> 33 4572 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 Powell Co. 01-02 2572 13 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 15 **'00-01** 2523 .0 13.3 .0 .0 33.3 .0 .0 Rowan Co. 01-02 2972 37 2.70 .00 00 .00 .00 .00 .00 **'00-01** 2916 32 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 Russell Co. 01-02 2736 17 .00 .00 .00 00 29.41 .00 .00 \*\* \*\* **'00-01** Simpson Co. **'01-02** 2951 361 .00 1.66 .00 00 25.76 .00 .00 **'00-01** 2864 355 10.7 .3 .6 .6 .0 .0 .0 Taylor Co. **'01-02** 2555 30 .00 00 3.33 00 .00 3.33 .00 **'00-01** 2494 27 .0 .0 .0 3.7 .0 .0 .0 Wayne Co. 01-02 2532 59 .00 .00 .00 00 .00 .00 3.39 '00-01 2495 58 .0 .0 .0 .0 1.7 1.7 1.7 Woodford Co. **'01-02** 3765 242 .00 2.48 00 41 22.73 .00 .00 '00-01 3761 250 .0 3.2 .0 .0 15.2 .0 .0

#### School Districts with 5,000 to 9,999 Students Rate per 100 Students

#### **Board Violations** Law Violations B1,2 **District Name** Year **Total** Af-Am L1,2 L3 L4 **B3 B4 B5** .76 01-02 625683 64229 .04 2.84 .36 .05 22.96 .26 **State Rate** '00-01 615923 61569 .07 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 **'01-02** 9004 3186 .13 1.98 .63 .06 58.35 2.57 .38 Christian Co. ·00-01 3120 .2 8777 .3 .6 .0 .0 40.3 1.4 Clark Co. 01-02 5205 324 .00 .93 .00 .00 38.27 .31 .62 <sup>'00-01</sup> 5007 315 .0 .3 13.0 4.8 1.3 .0 .0 .00 Floyd Co. 01-02 6979 20 .00 .00 .00 50.00 .00 .00 <sup>'00-01</sup> 6931 16 .0 .0 .0 .0 68.8 .0 18.8 5810 .00 2.66 .00 Franklin Co. ·01-02 527 .00 50.66 .00 .00 '00-01 5740 512 .0 1.4 .0 .0 43.0

<sup>.\*\*</sup>Deleted for privacy reasons

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for African-American students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

**Law Violations Board Violations** B1,2 **District Name** Year Total Af-Am L1,2 L3 L4 B3 B4 B5 State Rate\*\*\* 01-02 625683 64229 2.84 .36 22.96 .76 .04 .05 .26 615923 '00-01 61569 .07 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 **'01-02** 6876 653 .31 2.45 .00 .00 29.10 .31 .00 Henderson Co. ·00-01 6819 661 .0 1.8 .6 19.8 1.2 1.1 .0 01-02 6915 720 .14 15.97 Hopkins Co. .00 .00 .00 .00 .00 .3 '00-01 6754 665 .0 .0 9.3 .0 .0 .0 Jessamine Co. **'01-02** 6651 233 .00 1.29 .00 .00 32.62 .00 .00 **'00-01** 6399 244 .4 1.6 .0 .0 23 .0 .0 Laurel Co. 01-02 8542 79 .00 1.27 .00 .00 3.80 .00 .00 '00-01 8206 87 .0 .0 .0 1.1 5.7 .0 .0 Madison Co. **'01-02** 9138 511 .20 .59 .00 .00 12.72 .00 .00 **'00-01** 8825 490 .2 .2 .4 12.7 2.2 .0 .0 McCracken Co. **'01-02** 6551 177 1.13 1.69 1.69 .56 11.86 5.65 .00 **'00-01** 6436 183 .0 1.6 3.3 0. .0 1.1 .0 Oldham Co. 01-02 9063 269 .00 4.09 .00 .00 7.43 .00 .00 **'00-01** 8758 257 .0 2.7 0. .0 5.4 .0 .0 Pulaski Co. **'01-02** 7453 50 .00 .00 .00 .00 26.00 .00 8.00 '00-01 7308 47 .0 .0 .0 .0 12.8 .0 2.1 Scott Co. **'01-02** 5976 361 .00 2.22 .00 .00 38.50 .28 .00 '00-01 5678 270 .0 3.8 .0 .0 41.9 1.4 .0 Shelby Co. **'01-02** 5225 550 .00 3.09 .00 .00 14.36 .00 .00 '00-01 5032 534 .4 3.2 .0 18 1.7 .0 .0

## School Districts with 10,000 or More Students Rate per 100 Students

Law Violations **Board Violations** Total B1.2 **District Name** Year Af-Am L1,2 L3 L4 **B3 B4 B5** 01-02 State Rate\*\*\* 625683 64229 .04 2.84 .36 .05 22.96 .76 .26 '00-01 615923 61569 .07 1.12 .15 .04 11.13 .78 .68 01-02 13545 .35 1.75 .00 17.19 .00 .00 Boone Co. 285 .00 <sup>'00-01</sup> 13065 .0\* 257 .0 1.6 .0 18.7 .0 .0 <sup>6</sup>01-02 10801 53 .00 .00 .00 .00 26.42 .00 .00 Bullitt Co. 3.6 **'00-01** 10462 56 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 3.4701-02 10205 288 .00 .00 .00 22.92 .00 Daviess Co. .69 '00-01 10031 283 .0 1.8 .4 .0 16.6 2.8 .0 01-02 32525 7580 .05 3.84 .01 .00 Fayette Co. 35.42 .01 .00 <sup>6</sup>00-01 31926 7451 .1 6.3 0. 0. 46.1 .0 .0 .05 **'01-02** 12815 1846 .00 .98 .00 .00 24.16 .00 Hardin Co. **'00-01** 12561 1890 .0 .7 .1 .3 23.2 .9 .0 3.74Jefferson Co. **'01-02** 90637 30912 .00 .66 .00 13.46 .74 .00 **'00-01** 89020 29881 .0 3.4 .3 .0 19.1 .6 .0 Kenton Co. 01-02 12163 .00 .72 .00 00 29.71 .00 .00 138 '00-01 11886 132 .0 8. 8. .0 33.3 1.5 .0 Warren Co. **'01-02** 10930 989 .00 .81 .00 .00 20.63 .40 .61 '00-01 10554 955 .1 1.0 6. .0 10.2 .5

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> State rate for '01-02 is for African-American students while the state rate for '00-01 is for all students.

he current Safe Schools Report reflects four years of data collection and analysis by the Kentucky Center for School Safety. During that time districts have responded admirably in upgrading and refining their data collection and entry procedures; consequently, confidence in the accuracy of the numbers has improved dramatically. Simultaneously, KDE and KCSS have polished their collective efforts thereby producing a report without additional agency involvement. All of these efforts have resulted in a report that is not only comprehensive with a high level of accuracy, but is also available (for the first time) before the start of comprehensive planning.

The report does pose a number of questions that warrant discussion at the state, district and school levels.

- 1. What are the factors relating to the significant increases in:
  - a. Drug Abuse,
  - b. Fighting,
  - c. Threatening/intimidation (bullying and harassment),
  - d. Dangerous instruments (carrying or using), and
  - e. Aggravated assault
- 2. With the overrepresentation of African-Americans in disciplinary actions related to repeat offenders, what strategies can be implemented to target this population and reduce recidivism?
- 3. Will increased supervision and less tolerance of prohibited behavior ultimately lead to a safer school and a better learning environment?
- 4. Are students' concerns over school safety forging better relationships with teachers and thereby breaking the "teenage code of silence" regarding informing their teachers about student infractions? Additionally, are students using anonymous hotlines, electronic and school safety drop boxes to inform on offenders?

While questions such as these require the wisdom of school staff, parents, community members and students themselves, the end result of a safe learning environment should be the impetus for a combined effort.

The Kentucky Center for School Safety plans to incorporate into their site visits to the districts the results of this data. KCSS will also continue to emphasize technical assistance and training focused on issues of concern detailed in this report, such as behavior management training, crisis management, substance abuse prevention, etc.


The Kentucky Center for School Safety remains ready to assist schools and communities in improving the safety of all students to ensure that learning can occur so that Kentucky's students can compete academically with the rest of the nation.

# Appendix B\*

**Charts of Board Policy Violations** 


\*Additional data have been reported for the 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 schools years that were not reported in the 1999-2000 report.

# Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations Totals by Category




**Board Policy Violation** 

# Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations Totals by Population Group




**Population Group** 

# Board Policy Violations Comparison of Disciplinary Actions



**Disciplinary Action** 


# **Board Policy Violations Out-of-School Suspensions**



**Board Policy Violation** 


<sup>\*</sup>Review of the 1999-2000 report disclosed a discrepancy between the total reported and the sum of all categories. Further analysis indicates that the total, 65508, is correct and is therefore used consistently throughout the report.

#### **Board Policy Violations Expulsions with Services**




**Board Policy Violation** 

### **Board Policy Violations Expulsions without Services**




**Board Policy Violation** 

#### **Board Policy Violations Alternative Placements**




#### **Board Policy Violations Corporal Punishment**




**Board Policy Violation** 

#### Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations By Gender 2001-2002




**Board Policy Violation** 

#### Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations By Race 2001-2002




**Board Policy Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations Males by Race 2001-2002




**Board Policy Violation** 

#### **Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations** Females by Race 2001-2002



**Board Policy Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Board Policy Violations Females by Race 2001-2002




## **APPENDIX C\***


#### **Charts of Law Violations**

\*Additional data have been reported for the 2000-2001 and 2001-2002 school years that were not reported in the 1999-2000 report.

#### **Part I Law Violations**




### Disciplinary Actions for Part I Law Violations Totals by Population Group




**Population Group** 

#### **Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations Totals by Category**




**Law Violation** 

#### **Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations Totals by Category**




### Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations Totals by Population Group




**Population Group** 

#### **Disciplinary Actions for Incidents Involving Weapons**




Weapon

### Law Violations Comparison of Disciplinary Actions




**Disciplinary Action** 

#### **Part I Law Violations Out-of-School Suspensions**




Law Violation

#### **Part I Law Violations Expulsions with Services**




**Law Violation** 

#### **Part I Law Violations Expulsions without Services**




Law Violation

### Part I Law Violations Alternative Placements




**Law Violation** 

### Part II Law Violations Out-of-School Suspensions




**Law Violation** 

### Part II Law Violations Out-of-School Suspensions




**Law Violation** 

### Part II Law Violations Expulsions with Services




**Law Violation** 

### Part II Law Violations Expulsions with Services




**Law Violation** 

### Part II Law Violations Expulsions without Services




**Law Violation** 

### Part II Law Violations Expulsions without Services




Law Violation

### Part II Law Violations Alternative Placements




**Law Violation** 

#### **Part II Law Violations Alternative Placements**




**Law Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Part I Law Violations by Gender 2001-2002




**Law Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations by Gender 2001-2002




**Law Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations by Gender 2001-2002




**Law Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Part I Law Violations by Race 2001-2002




**Law Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations by Race 2001-2002



**Law Violation** 

### Disciplinary Actions for Part II Law Violations by Race 2001-2002




**Law Violation** 

# Appendix D


**District Maps of Board Policy and Law Violations** 

#### Suspensions for Violations of School Board Rule Rates per 100 Students




#### **Expulsions for Violations of School Board Rules (with and without services)**

**2001-2002** school year




#### **Alternative Placements for Violations of School Board Rules**

**2001-2002** school year




#### **Corporal Punishments for Violations of School Board Rules**

**2001-2002** school year





#### **Suspensions for Law Violations**







#### **Expulsions for Law Violations (with and without services)**






#### **Alternative Placements for Law Violations**







### **Suspensions of African-American Students for Violations of School Board Rules**




## **Expulsions of African-American Students for Violations of School Board Rules (with and without services)**



### Alternative Placements of African-American Students for Violations of School Board Rules



### Corporal Punishments of African-American Students for Violations of School Board Rules




# **Appendix E**

**Regional Maps of Board Policy and Law Violations** 


## **Expulsions (with and without services) for Law Violations: Regional Rate per 100 Students**

**2001-2002** school year




Statewide Rate = .05

### **Suspensions for Law Violations:** Regional Rate per 100 Students 2001-2002 school year




**Statewide Rate = 1.22** 

### **Alternative Placements for Law Violations:** Regional Rate per 100 Students 2001-2002 school year




**Statewide Rate = .10** 

## **Expulsions (with and without services) for Board Violations: Regional Rate per 100 Students**




**Statewide Rate = .03** 

## **Suspensions for Board Violations: Regional Rate per 100 Students**




**Statewide Rate = 11.84** 

### **Alternative Placements for Board Violations:** Regional Rate per 100 Students 2001-2002 school year




**Statewide Rate = .34** 

#### Corporal Punishments for Board Violations: Regional Rate per 100 Students




**Statewide Rate = .69** 

## **Suspensions for Board Violations: Rate per 100 Elementary School Students**




Statewide Rate = 4.07

#### Alternative Placements for Board Violations: Rate per 100 Elementary School Students




Statewide Rate = .10

# **Corporal Punishment for Board Violations: Rate per 100 Elementary School Students**




Statewide Rate = 1.37

## **Suspensions for Law Violations:** Rate per 100 Elementary School Students




**Statewide Rate = .28** 

### **Suspensions for Board Violations: Rate per 100 Middle School Students**




**Statewide Rate = 18.10** 

#### Alternative Placements for Board Violations: Rate per 100 Middle School Students




**Statewide Rate = .48** 

### **Corporal Punishments for Board Violations: Rate per 100 Middle School Students**




**Statewide Rate = .49** 

## **Suspensions for Law Violations:** Rate per 100 Middle School Students




**Statewide Rate = 1.80** 

#### Alternative Placements for Law Violations: Rate per 100 Middle School Students




**Statewide Rate = .14** 

## **Suspensions for Board Violations: Rate per 100 High School Students**




Statewide Rate = 20.51

#### Alternative Placements for Board Violations: Rate per 100 High School Students




**Statewide Rate = .64** 

### **Corporal Punishments for Board Violations:** Rate per 100 High School Students 2001-2002 School Year




Statewide Rate = .13

#### Suspensions for Law Violations: Rate per 100 High School Students




Statewide Rate = 2.36

#### Alternative Placements for Law Violations: Rate per 100 High School Students



**Statewide Rate = .21** 

### **Drug Abuse Violations:** Rate per 100 Students 2001-2002 school year



**Statewide Rate = .49**